

# MEETING OF THE PUBLIC INPUT AND INFORMATION SESSION OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY

Council Chamber  
Tom Davies Square

Tuesday March 1, 2016  
Commencement: 6:05 p.m.

## HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BRIAN BIGGER, IN THE CHAIR

Present Councillors Dutrisac, Kirwan, Jakubo, Sizer, McIntosh, Cormier, Landry-Altmann, Mayor Bigger

City Officials Kevin Fowke, Interim Chief Administrative Officer, Tony Cecutti, General Manager of Infrastructure Services; Ron Foster, Auditor General; Ed Stankiewicz, Acting Chief Financial Officer / City Treasurer; David Shelsted, Director of Roads and Transportation Services; Brigitte Sobush, Deputy City Clerk, Danielle Wicklander, Legislative Compliance Co-Ordinator; Lisa Locken, Clerk's Service Assistant

## MOMENT OF SILENT REFLECTION

## DECLARATIONS OF PECUNIARY INTEREST AND THE GENERAL NATURE THEREOF

None declared.

Welcome and Opening Remarks The Chair welcomed those in attendance and advised that the purpose of the session was to receive input regarding the Maley Drive Extension.

## PRESENTATION

Item 1 Presentation on Maley Drive Extension and Widening Project Report dated February 19, 2016 from the General Manager of Infrastructure Services General regarding Maley Drive Extension and Widening Project.

Tony Cecutti, General Manager of Infrastructure Services, provided an electronic presentation, for information only, regarding the Maley Drive Extension and Widening Project.

Rules of Procedure PS2016-01 Landry-Altmann/Kirwan: THAT the City of Greater Sudbury temporarily suspends Article 32.02(2) of the City of Greater Sudbury Procedure By-law 2011-235, which outlines the rules for speakers to limit their presentations to five (5) minutes so as to allow speakers the opportunity to provide a maximum of ten

Rules of Procedure  
(Cont'd)

(10) minute presentation at the Public Input Session of March 1, 2016 regarding the Maley Drive Extension project.

**CARRIED BY UNANIMOUS CONSENT**

**PUBLIC INPUT**

A speakers' list was available and delegations were heard in the order in which they appeared on that list.

David Boyce, Chamber of Commerce

David Boyce provided an electronic presentation supporting the Maley Drive extension on behalf of the Chamber of Commerce. They believe that it would benefit residents and businesses, re-direct slurry trucks and lessen congestion on Lasalle Boulevard.

Thomas Price

Thomas Price provided an electronic presentation outlining road safety and feels that the money could be better spent on fixing current roads than investing in Maley Drive.

John Lindsay, Friendly to Seniors

John Lindsay spoke on behalf of Friendly to Seniors advising that seniors want present roads repaired before building any new roads.

Gord Slade

Gord Slade, a retired Engineer felt that the biggest users of Maley Drive would be City vehicles and he would like to see a feasibility study completed before any money is spent on the project.

Recess

At 8:04 p.m. the Public Input Session recessed.

Reconvened

At 8:12 p.m. the Public Input Session reconvened.

Dr. David Robinson,  
Laurentian University  
Institute for Northern  
Ontario Research and  
Development

Dr. David Robinson was unable to present however he provided a hand-out regarding Maley Drive, how not to do a cost-benefit analysis.

Dot Klein

Dot Klein, a registered nurse, spoke on behalf of senior citizens and is concerned about the health and safety in developing Maley Drive.

Peter Desotti

Peter Desotti advocated that we do not build new roads or build extensions of current roads. He feels we need a flexible needs program to supplement transit services in order to ease gridlock.

Jacques Leclerc

Jacques Leclerc is a resident that lives near the proposed Maley Drive extension and would like to see the City use recycled tires mixed with asphalt for the roads in the development.

Mathieu Labonté

Mathieu Labonté provided an electronic presentation outlining the fact that the cost benefit analysis does not take in to account Phase Two of the Maley Drive extension. He feels Phase Two must be completed in order to provide full benefits of this project.

James Waddell

James Waddell would like assurance that the extension will be completed by local resources and he is concerned that the project will not be delivered on time.

Fred Twilley

Fred Twilley stated that a northern by-pass route combined with relocation of the railways from the downtown core would be a much better solution than the Maley Drive extension.

Rachelle Niemela

Rachelle Niemela is a resident of New Sudbury for over thirty (30) years and she does not feel that Maley Drive will benefit her and the unexpected costs associated with Maley Drive will cause taxes to rise.

Steve May

Steve May spoke on behalf of future generations; he is concerned that building more roads will increase congestion and he is concerned about the species at risk along the Maley Drive corridor.

Travis Morgan

Travis Morgan voiced concerns about the potential economic loss if we build another by-pass around the City. He also is not in favour of the Maley Drive project when the City is so far behind in maintaining current roads.

Motion to Accept  
Submissions Regarding  
Maley Drive

The following resolution was presented from the floor:

PS2016-02 Landry-Altmann/Cormier: THAT the City of Greater Sudbury directs the Office of the City Clerk to accept submissions regarding Maley Drive by 4:00 p.m. on Friday March 18, 2016.

AND THAT they be made public following the deadline.

**CARRIED**

Adjournment

Dutrisac/Landry-Altmann: THAT this meeting does now adjourn.  
Time: 9:21 p.m.

**CARRIED**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brigitte Sobush, Deputy City Clerk