

COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE AGENDA

Community Services Committee Meeting

Monday, October 5, 2015

Tom Davies Square

COUNCILLOR RENE LAPIERRE, CHAIR

Fern Cormier, Vice-Chair

6:00 p.m. COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING COMMITTEE ROOM C-11

Council and Committee Meetings are accessible. For more information regarding accessibility, please call 3-1-1 or email clerks@greatersudbury.ca.

<u>DECLARATIONS OF PECUNIARY INTEREST AND THE GENERAL NATURE</u>
<u>THEREOF</u>

COMMUNITY DELEGATIONS

 Canadian Mental Health Association (ELECTRONIC PRESENTATION) (FOR INFORMATION ONLY) 4 - 5

 Marion Quigley, Chief Executive Officer, Canadian Mental Health Association

(The Canadian Mental Health Association would like to address the Community Services Committee regarding an update on the implementation of the Harm Reduction Home also know as the Managed Alcohol Program for the Sudbury/Manitoulin Region.)

PRESENTATIONS

- Emergency Services Action Plan Update Back Care Program (ELECTRONIC PRESENTATION) (FOR INFORMATION ONLY)
 - Joseph Nicholls, Deputy Chief of Emergency Services

(The Back Care Program will focus on key areas to improve employee wellness while reducing the frequency and duration of lost time associated with back injuries.)

CONSENT AGENDA

(For the purpose of convenience and for expediting meetings, matters of business of repetitive or routine nature are included in the Consent Agenda, and all such matters of business contained in the Consent Agenda are voted on collectively.

A particular matter of business may be singled out from the Consent Agenda for debate or for a separate vote upon the request of any Councillor. In the case of a separate vote, the excluded matter of business is severed from the Consent Agenda, and only the remaining matters of business contained in the Consent Agenda are voted on collectively.

Each and every matter of business contained in the Consent Agenda is recorded separately in the minutes of the meeting.)

CORRESPONDENCE FOR INFORMATION ONLY

C-1. Report dated September 16, 2015 from the General Manager of Health, Social and Emergency Services regarding Managed Alcohol Day Program Pilot Project. 6 - 8

(FOR INFORMATION ONLY)

(This report will provide information about a Managed Alcohol Day Program Pilot Project at 200 Larch Street.)

REGULAR AGENDA

MANAGERS' REPORTS

R-1. Report dated September 4, 2015 from the General Manager of Citizen and Leisure Services regarding Blezard Valley Ball Field.

9 - 14

(RECOMMENDATION PREPARED)

(Council, at the July 6, 2015 Community Services Standing Committee meeting, requested a report to review options and cost estimates regarding relocating the ball field located at Blezard Valley Park.)

R-2. Report dated September 9, 2015 from the General Manager of Growth & Development regarding Update on Film By-law Development - Draft for Review.

15 - 57

(RECOMMENDATION PREPARED)

(Following direction from the Community Services Committee at the August meeting, staff conducted a public input process regarding the draft film by-law. This input has been summarized for the Committee and is being presented along with the revised draft film by-law and amendments to the related existing by-laws.)

<u>ADDENDUM</u>

CIVIC PETITIONS

QUESTION PERIOD AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

NOTICES OF MOTION

<u>ADJOURNMENT</u>



For Information Only

Canadian Mental Health Association

Presented To:	Community Services Committee
Presented:	Monday, Oct 05, 2015
Report Date	Wednesday, Sep 02, 2015
Type:	Community Delegations

Recommendation

For Information Only

The Canadian Mental Health Association would like to address the Community Services Committee regarding an update on the implementation of the Harm Reduction Home also know as the Managed Alcohol Program for the Sudbury/Manitoulin Region.

Signed By

No signatures or approvals were recorded for this report.



Association canadienne pour la santé mentale Sudbury/Manitoulin succursale de Sudbury/Manitoulin Branch

111, rue Elm Street Suite/local 100 Sudbury, ON P3C 1T3

705-675-7247

Toll Free/sans frais: 1-866-285-2642 Warm Line: 705-671-9276/1-866-856-9276 bureau Manitoulin Office C.P./P.O. Box 666 11, rue Meredith Street

Little Current, ON P0P 1K0 705-368-0756

nail/courriel: info@cmha.sudbury.on.ca ite/site Web: www.cmha.sudbury.on.ca

15th July 2015

To Brigitte Sobush,

City of Greater Sudbury PO BOX 5000, STN 'A' 200 Brady Street Sudbury, ON Canada P3A 5P3

Re: Request to present on the Harm Reduction Home at the September and October committee meetings.

On behalf of the Canadian Mental Health Association Sudbury /Manitoulin Branch I would like to request an opportunity to present an update on the implementation of the Harm Reduction Home also known as the Managed Alcohol Program for the Sudbury/ Manitoulin Region. We are looking to further discuss how Council can support this valuable Housing First Initiative.

We are looking for a presentation spot on both the September and October committee meetings as there will be project activities which will be generating new information each month for the committee meetings. The program has been acknowledged and referenced in the Mayor's State of the City address and has received letters of support from a multitude of community leaders.

We feel that this is an opportunity for collaboration with the CMHA to put City of Sudbury's Housing First Initiative at the front and center of the province and save precious city funds in EMS and Police Services use as the home is operating with the goals of:

- 1. Reducing repeat ED visits, hospitalizations, police involvement, EMS use for homeless individuals with history chronic alcohol abuse
- 2. Improvements in health and well-being for program participants
- 3. Transitioning individuals to more stable supportive housing and reducing homelessness.

We look forward to presenting and hope you will consider our request. If you require more information please let us know.

Kind Regards,

Marion Quigley



For Information Only

Managed Alcohol Day Program Pilot Project

Presented To:	Community Services Committee
Presented:	Monday, Oct 05, 2015
Report Date	Wednesday, Sep 16, 2015
Type:	Correspondence for Information Only

Recommendation

For Information Only

Finance Implications

The lease revenue of approximately \$55,000 will be used to offset any additional operating costs associated with this program.

Health Impact Assessment

This program addresses barriers and is intended to enhance services to persons who are chronically homeless. Service data will be collected to monitor the results of program usage.

Background

The need to enhance services for persons who are chronically homeless and have active addictions has been identified within our community. The North East Local Health Integration Network (NELHIN) report that this population are the highest repeat users of Emergency Department services at Health Sciences North. The Greater Sudbury Police report that since 2010 they have responded to over 4,000 incidents of intoxicated persons in the Greater Sudbury Area with 44% occurring in downtown.

Signed By

Report Prepared By

Gail Spencer Coordinator of Shelters and Homelessness Digitally Signed Sep 16, 15

Health Impact Review

Gail Spencer Coordinator of Shelters and Homelessness Digitally Signed Sep 23, 15

Division Review

Luisa Valle Director of Social Services Digitally Signed Sep 17, 15

Recommended by the Department

Tim P. Beadman General Manager of Health, Social and Emergency Services Digitally Signed Sep 23, 15

Recommended by the C.A.O.

Bob Johnston Interim Chief Administrative Officer Digitally Signed Sep 23, 15

In the City of Greater Sudbury's Housing and Homelessness Plan the following priority was identified:

 There is a need to strengthen approaches to preventing homelessness, increase the diversity of emergency shelter options and support individuals with multiple barriers in obtaining and maintaining their housing

Over the past two years the NELHIN, CMHA, hospital, community partners and City staff have been working

together to explore community resources and best practices from other communities for meeting the needs of this vulnerable population.

The NELHIN conducted Value Stream Mapping and Kaizan events (March and May 2014) with over 17 partner agencies. From this a harm reduction initiative was developed that comprised of three priorities:

- 1. Establishing an emergency shelter that allows for persons who are under the influence and provides a safe place for all.
- 2. Developing a managed alcohol program (MAP). MAP's are residential services that follow a harm reduction approach, including supportive counseling, housing, social and clinical health services for persons who are chronically homeless with an active alcohol addiction.
- 3. Providing intensive case management and housing support to support transition to permanent housing.

There is potential for collaboration between the NELHIN and City of Greater Sudbury Homelessness Initiatives to meet these priorities. As a result work on addressing the three priorities has moved ahead as follows:

- 1. The City of Greater Sudbury funded an "Out of the Cold' emergency shelter program (OTC), operated by the Salvation Army, to provide 30 additional cots for persons who were homeless, under the influence of alcohol or drugs, or otherwise disconnected from mainstream shelter services. The program was operated from December 1st, 2014 until April 15th, 2015 at 200 Larch Street, and has been approved to operate again from November 1st, 2015 to April 15th, 2016 under Council resolution CC2015-250.
- 2. The NELHIN has announced committed annual funding of \$1M for the operation of a Managed Alcohol Program in the City of Greater Sudbury. The CMHA has been identified as the service provider to develop and operate the program.
- 3. The City of Greater Sudbury is developing an Intensive Case Management Housing First program in accordance with direction from the federal government for use of the Federal Homelessness Partnering Strategy funding.

Co-Location Plan

It has been recognized that the co-location of the MAP, emergency shelter programs, and a primary health care clinic will be of significant benefit to increased collaboration and efficiencies between operation of services, as well as seamless provision of service to clients.

The CMHA has partnered with the Salvation Army and Centre de Sante Communautaire de Sudbury to develop a co-location plan for a residential MAP, Men's Emergency Shelter Program, Out of the Cold Emergency Shelter program and Corner clinic/ Shkagamik-Kwe Health Centre services. Staff from the CMHA is currently looking at options for a suitable permananet facility within the downtown core.

Managed Alcohol Day Program Pilot Project

In order to start the development of a MAP, connect with potential service users, and take advantage of the existing funding commitment from the NELHIN, the Canadian Mental Health Association has planned to start a MAP Day Program as a Pilot Project. The Pilot Project will run from November 1st, 2015 to April 15th 2016 and will operate from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm. The Pilot will provide components of a full Managed Alcohol Program such as health supports, life skills, social interaction and managed alcohol; however it will not be a residential program. The participants of the Pilot Project will be connected to alternative supports for overnight such as existing shelter services or supportive housing.

The Pilot Project will provide staff an opportunity develop relationships with the service user group and start providing some necessary supports to persons who are chronically homeless with active addictions. Once the residential MAP is planned for opening, a transition plan to will be developed for clients involved in the day program Pilot.

Co-location with the Out of the Cold Emergency Shelter Program

The City of Greater Sudbury has previously approved the operation of the Out of the Cold Emergency Shelter program by the Salvation Army, from November 1st, 2015 to April 15th, 2016 on the main floor of 200 Larch Street Sudbury. This program operates overnight from 8:00 pm until 7:00 am and provides up to 30 cots for persons who are homeless and may be under the influence of drugs or alcohol or otherwise disconnected from mainstream shelter services.

The City will enter into a facility use permit with CMHA to utilize approximately 615 square feet on the main floor at a rate of \$20 per square foot gross. Utilizing some of the space on the main floor of 200 Larch Street for the Managed Alcohol Day Program Pilot Project will be a good fit for both programs. Some clients who utilize the services of the OTC shelter may participate in the MAP Pilot project during the day. The location is downtown, accessible and familiar to this client group. The CMHA and Salvation Army are in agreement with sharing this City owned space for the two programs which operate at different hours.



Request for Decision

Blezard Valley Ball Field

Presented To:	Community Services Committee
Presented:	Monday, Oct 05, 2015
Report Date	Friday, Sep 04, 2015
Type:	Managers' Reports

Recommendation

WHEREAS Council approved a 2015 Capital budget allocation in the amount of \$80,000 to relocate the ball field located at Blezard Valley Park;

AND WHEREAS Council at the July 6, 2015 Community Services Standing Committee requested a report to review options and cost estimates to relocate the field to Centennial Arena Park or to relocate the field at Blezard Valley Park;

AND WHEREAS options and costs have been included for Council's consideration including retrofitting the field at the current location.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City of Greater Sudbury approves option ____ regarding the baseball field located at Blezard Valley Park.

Finance Implications

Council approved a Capital allocation of \$80,000 as part of the 2015 Capital program. The allocation was to address issues related to the existing ball field located at Blezard Valley Park.

Signed By

Report Prepared By

Real Carre
Director of Leisure Services
Digitally Signed Sep 4, 15

Health Impact Review

Real Carre Director of Leisure Services Digitally Signed Sep 4, 15

Division Review

Real Carre Director of Leisure Services Digitally Signed Sep 4, 15

Recommended by the Department

Ron Henderson General Manager of Citizen and Leisure Services Digitally Signed Sep 4, 15

Recommended by the C.A.O.

Bob Johnston Interim Chief Administrative Officer Digitally Signed Sep 4, 15

Health Impact Assessment

The proposed changes of location will not impact the existing service levels due to the options identified to further retrofit or relocate the existing ball field.

Background

Back in 2010 the Royal Meadows subdivision was approved for construction in Blezard Valley. The new subdivision development is abutting the existing Blezard playground. The subdivision was recently completed and the home owner residing next to the park has expressed concerns related to the location of the existing ball field. The ball field backstop abutts the home owner's property and there have been concerns related to the foul balls landing on the owner's property. The department has considered a

number of options in the last few years to attempt to resolve the issues which included relocating adult users to other fields and allow minor baseball exclusive access to the existing field. In addition, the department has installed additional meshing along the backstop with the objective of eliminating the problems. The retrofit has assisted in reducing the concerns expressed by the home owner.

In 2015, Council approved a Capital allocation of \$80,000 to relocate the Blezard Valley ball field within the existing Blezard Valley Park in order to eliminate future issues related to the abutting home owner. The department has requested cost estimates to relocate the ball field within Blezard Valley Park, relocating the field to Centennial Arena Park and to review options for further security/retrofit measures at the existing location. The following is a summary of the cost breakdown.

a) Retrofit to existing ball field

The retrofit would include:

- Baseline fence retrofit removal of approximately 50 feet of the first baseline fencing adjacent to property owner and install fence posts to support additional protective netting to bring the netting height up to same level as existing backstop.
- Backstop retrofit removal of existing backstop, installation of stronger support posts and re-installation of backstop/netting.

Estimated cost: \$10,000

b) Relocate ball field to Centennial Arena site

The following is a cost estimate to relocate the field located at Blezard Valley Park to Centennial Arena. The option would increase the number of fields at Centennial Arena from two fields to three ball fields.

Estimated cost: \$400,500

c) Relocate (reconfigure) ball field at Blezard Valley Park

The option includes repositioning the existing field by relocating the field away from the existing abutting home owner.

Estimated cost: \$66,000

Conclusion:

As requested, options and cost estimates to the Blezard Valley ball field have been prepared for Council's consideration.













260ft. BASEBALL DIAMOND

260ft. BASEBALL DIAMOND

CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT







CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT





Request for Decision

Update on Film By-law Development - Draft for Review

Presented To:	Community Services Committee
Presented:	Monday, Oct 05, 2015
Report Date	Wednesday, Sep 09, 2015
Type:	Managers' Reports

Recommendation

THAT the City of Greater Sudbury replaces the Film Policy (By-law 2005-118) with the Film By-law and related by-law amendments as outlined in the report dated September 9. 2015 from the General Manager of Growth and Development.

Background

At the May 4, 2015 meeting of the Community Services Committee, staff were given direction to draft a Film By-Law and to amend related existing by-laws in order to streamline the permitting system for filming on municipal property. These drafts were presented to the Community Services Committee meeting on August 10, 2015, at which point staff received direction to solicit public input on the drafts as per the Notice Policy

- A public service announcement (PSA) was distributed to the media regarding the Proposed Draft By-laws, outlining the channels for public input.
- This PSA was also shared on the City's social media outlets along with the links to the relevant information on the City's website. This resulted in coverage in four local media outlets with three staff interviews.
- Paper copies of the input form were circulated to all Citizen Service Centres.
- Electronic copies of the input form were available on the City's website.
- Staff hosted a public meeting at Tom Davies Square on August 17th to present the highlights of the Proposed Draft By-laws and to solicit input. Invitation details of this meeting were sent to film stakeholders, the Business Improvement Association and through the media to the general public.

(By-law 2012-204). This was conducted following the plan outlined at that meeting:

Summary of Comments Submitted Regarding the Draft Film By-law

Results from this public input process have been summarized below, including suggestions for how this input has been considered in the revised draft film by-law.

	Public Feedback	Staff Response
Definitions/ Interpretation in By-law	 It would be helpful to have a definition of the term "cultural and heritage resources" (section 16-1-e) and include environment. 	Staff amended section 16-1-e to broaden the list of property and assets to include environment.
	The definitions of "Filming Event" and "Film Production" should be revised to include pre-production and post-production work.	Post-production has been included in the definition.
	 Permitting processes should not be too onerous for small scale productions (e.g.: short films, budgets under \$20,000, film students, two-person crews, etc.), otherwise this could stifle the growth of the industry. 	Staff to work with productions to assist new film permit applicants in the process.

Signed By

Report Prepared By

Emily Trottier Business Development Officer Digitally Signed Sep 9, 15

Division Review

Meredith Armstrong Manager of Tourism and Culture Digitally Signed Sep 9, 15

Recommended by the Department

Paul Baskcomb General Manager of Growth & Development Digitally Signed Sep 9, 15

Recommended by the C.A.O.

Bob Johnston Interim Chief Administrative Officer Digitally Signed Sep 9, 15

	4. The definition of "business day" may be too restrictive considering the fast-paced nature of filming.	Staff is unable to process permit requests during non business days.
Traffic Impacted by Filming	5. Traffic control plans for intermittent interruptions or full closure to a public right of way should follow the same requirements as for City crew work (MTO Book 7). Due to quick turnaround times Paid Duty Police Officers are often employed to manage traffic. Coning and signage are not always in place for filming according to the same standards as, for example, construction work.	This is an operational issue. Staff are recommending that this be at the discretion of the General Manager of Infrastructure Services and may take into account additional conditions on a road occupancy or closure permit.
	 Film crews and vehicles should be more careful so as to ensure access to sidewalks and safe crossing of roads. Film crews have taken over the sidewalks with equipment, forcing residents and children to cross the roads at unsafe spots. 	This is an operational issue. Staff are recommending that this be at the discretion of the General Manager of Infrastructure Services and may take into account additional conditions on a road occupancy or closure permit.
Filming in Parks/Green Spaces	 There should be more stringent regulations when filming on municipal green space and ecologically sensitive areas. 	Staff are recommending that this be at the discretion of the Director of Assets and may take into account additional conditions on a film permit.
	Any filming in parks should have a written agreement with an appropriate code of conduct for cast and crew.	Written film permits would be in place to outline expectations of CGS and film productions, including a code of conduct.
	As an alternative, filming should be encouraged in natural areas that are not protected and the City should create a catalogue of these areas for film companies to browse.	Beyond the scope of this by-law. Classifications of municipal parks are mapped out on the CGS geographic information system and this can serve as a starting point.
	10. The Director of Assets and representatives from the Parks Department may not be the best authorities to ensure that parks are restored to their original state. In some instances a neighbourhood group would be a better choice.	Staff are recommending that this be at the discretion of the Director of Assets and may take into account additional conditions on a film permit.
	11. Ecological reserves and natural parks within urban areas (as identified by the City's geographic information system's maps) should be off limits for filming. In some cases it is difficult to assign a dollar value to the damage in order for proper recourse.	Staff are recommending that this be at the discretion of the Director of Assets and may take into account additional conditions on a film permit.
	12. Vegetation, which includes shorelines and wetlands should not be cut, nor displaced, dug, perturbed or trampled. Similarly, foreign plant matter and toxic substances should not be introduced.	Code of conduct would outline specific activities such as this, and would be included in the permit.
	13. There should be some way to refuse permit requests if activities are expected to damage environmental values.	Staff are recommending that this be at the discretion of the Director of Assets and may take into account additional conditions on a film permit.
Filming in Residential Areas	14. There has been too much filming in specific neighbourhoods (e.g.: Roxborough area), so consider specifying a maximum number of filming days permitted per year in a given location, after which a temporary moratorium should be in place. The by-law should clearly indicate who has authority to permit filming in neighbourhoods that have seen high volumes of film traffic (section 16-1-g).	Staff are recommending that this be at the discretion of the Director of Assets (for film permits) and the General Manager of Infrastructure Services (for road occupancy permits). Consideration may be paid to past filming activities known to the City (section 16-1-g).
	15. There is a fine balance between supporting progress in the film industry and taking over a neighbourhood. For example, rescheduling tree removal around a film shoot – safety should be the first consideration at all times.	Staff will endeavour to avoid issuing permits in areas that are slated for City maintenance or other work (section 16-1-b).
	16. It should be well communicated to film companies that the use of water or other utilities belonging to private residents should not be used without prior consent.	Code of conduct would outline specific activities such as this, and would be included in the permit.
	17. Access to homes must be maintained unless otherwise negotiated with the property owner.	Film and road occupancy permits do not permit film companies to block driveways.
	18. Crew members should not be shouting while filming in residential areas, especially at night when they are packing up from a shoot.	Code of conduct would outline specific activities such as this, and would be included in the permit. Film companies may request a noise by-law exemption when the scene requires it. Staff are recommending that this be at the discretion of the General Manager of Growth & Development.

	19. The disruption caused by filming has been acceptable so far, however night-time filming is a challenge and this should be considered in the by-law when granting parking or film permits in residential areas. In some cases the residents should be consulted prior to a permit being granted (section 16-1-g).	Film by-law includes consideration of filming during times of day that may be considered disruptive (section 16-1-h).
Code of Conduct and Enforcement of By-laws	20. Film crews shooting in the downtown core should be aware that they are in a highly visible business area and should be respectful of the businesses, vehicular traffic and pedestrian traffic.	Code of conduct would outline specific activities such as this, and would be included in the permit.
	21. The code of conduct should be required at each filming location, and not "from time to time" (section 53-c).	Code of conduct would be included in the permits granted for use of municipal property. It is at the film company's discretion as to enforcement on private property.
	22. It is unclear how crew behaviour will be policed on set, especially outside of the City's business hours, and what effective recourse is in place should there be a breach of conduct.	Multiple options are outlined for enforcement, including revoking or suspending existing permits, refusing future permits, imposing a fine, holding the security deposit and pursuing claims through insurance.
	23. By-law enforcement should have a response service standard or another mechanism to ensure quick and fair response times resulting from complaints. Fines should be in place in the event of non compliance with the code of conduct.	Multiple options are outlined for enforcement, including revoking or suspending existing permits, refusing future permits, imposing a fine, holding the security deposit and pursuing claims through insurance.
	24. The wording under the enforcement section is confusing (sections 40 through 42).	Standard wording has been used for by-law enforcement.
	25. All crew members working on a production are employed by the producer/production company, who is the official holder of the film permit. Any disciplinary measures being taken in the case of an offence should be directed at the company whom the individuals are being paid to represent (section 43).	Enforcement would be directed at the person legally responsible for the offence.
	26. There should be clear and enforceable recourse in place in the event of damage to public property.	Multiple options are outlined for enforcement, including revoking or suspending existing permits, refusing future permits, imposing a fine, holding the security deposit and pursuing claims through insurance.
	27. When there is non-compliance with a film permit there should be clear repercussions, e.g.: first it is a warning, then a fine/withheld security deposit, then finally permits are no longer granted.	Multiple options are outlined for enforcement, including revoking or suspending existing permits, refusing future permits, imposing a fine, holding the security deposit and pursuing claims through insurance.
	28. With regards to security deposits (section 28) there should be reference to a timeframe within which the City may use the security deposit if the film company does not rectify an issue.	The timeframe is outlined in section 28 and release of the deposit would be at the discretion of the Director of Assets.
	29. Set etiquette courses and knowledge of industry standards should be a mandatory requirement prior to crew working on a set in Sudbury.	Standards would be set out in the code of conduct. Specific courses required by crew are beyond the scope of this by-law, but will be a consideration during activities to grow the industry.
	30. Although most new crew members are keen to learn from more experienced film industry professionals, there are some that have an attitude of entitlement and are not respecting the neighbourhoods where they are filming.	Standards would be set out in the code of conduct. Specific courses required by crew are beyond the scope of this by-law, but will be a consideration during activities to grow the industry.
Public Notification of Filming	31. When there is to be street closure, intermittent traffic (vehicular/pedestrian) disruption, use of parking, etc. a notification must be circulated to impacted businesses in the area at least one week in advance (including dates, purpose, contact).	Notification for traffic interruptions (not parking) would be required in a form and timeline to be determined by the General Manager of Infrastructure Services.
	32. It is always recommended that this notice be accompanied by a "sign-off sheet" that is signed acknowledging receipt.	Public notification protocols would follow those currently in place for related CGS activities.
	33. In some instances it would be helpful if residents could weigh in on whether filming takes place on public property.	Staff are recommending that this be at the discretion of the Director of Assets (for film permits) and the General Manager of Infrastructure Services (for road occupancy permits). Consideration may be paid to past filming activities known to the City (section 16-1-g).

35. Notification letters need to be more specific regarding the location or parked film trucks and all-right generators that would be running. 36. Notification letters should go out to lenants, but also to homeowners. 37. Only essential film vehicles should be parked in the downtown as much as possible so as not to adversely impact adjacent businesses. 38. Film permits for downtown on-street parking should consider street-level retail businesses and restaurants. 39. Orew vehicles should never be permitted in filming areas. They can be shuttled in from base camp, which should be concentrated in lots instead of lined along streets. 40. Oraft (ranck) trucks should not be parked directly in front of houses because it increases the chances of noise discription. 41. Due high voltime of filming in Sudbury, the development of this by-lew is very timely. The economic impact is felt in multiple areas compromise the quality of the process would help draw more productions to the area. 42. Locations Managers often fill out papearobox while on the road or on set, so all permits and permit applications should incorporate electronic signatures in order to expedite turnaround times on both ends. 43. Information and documentation required from a film company (section 11) refers to indemnification, release of responsibility and insurance, so at should be revised to avoid touglicate terminology. 44. Two weeks is not much time for application for a noise by-law exemption. With many productions, they have not yet found the locations two weeks in advance due to furnicated prep times and schedule changes outside of their control. 45. There seems to be too many grounds for permit refusal (section 16). 46. Refusal of a permit application should be limited to a production company (or its owners) that have been definitively guilty of permit volations in the past, and should not be tied to the individual Location Managers are the link between the production company. The visual between the production company, to the path of the p	34. Film permit approvals should not require the support of the business improvement association (section 24-c-ii), rather the BIA should be notified by the film company of the filming activity in the area.	Staff are recommending that when filming takes place in business improvement area that the BIA be consulted. Permit approval would remain with the Director of Assets (for film permits) and the General Manager of Infrastructure Services (for road occupancy permits).
Deriving of Filming Vehicles 37. Only essential film vehicles should be parked in the downtown as much as possible so as not to adversely impact adjacent businesses. 38. Film permits for downtown on-street parking should consider street-level retail businesses and restaurants. 39. Crew vehicles should never be permitted in filming areas. They can be shuttled in from base camp, which should be concentrated in lots instead of lined along streets. 40. Craft (snack) trucks should not be parked directly in front of houses because it increases the chances of noise disruption. Film Permit 41. Due high volume of filming in Sudauty, the development of this by-law is very timely. The economic impact is left in multiple areas of the community. A smoother and quicker process that does not compromise the quality of the process would help draw more productions to the area. 42. Locations Managers often fill out paperwork while on the road or on set, so all permits and isource and increase of responsibility and insurance, so it should be revised to avoid duplicate terminology. 43. Information and documentation required from a film company (section 11) refers to indemnification, release of responsibility and insurance, so it should be revised to avoid duplicate terminology. 44. Two weeks is to much time for application fro a noise by-law exemption. With many productions, they have not yet found their locations two weeks in advance due to truncated prep times and schedule changes outside of their control. 45. There seems to be too many grounds for permit refusal (section 16). 46. Refusal of a permit application should be limited to a production company (or its owners) that have been definitively guilty of permit violations in the past, and should not be tied to the individual Location Manager (section 16-1-a). 47. It is unclear what guidelines will be used to determine what is considered derogatory and/or offensive (definitions for violation and sexual content – perhaps replace with "criminal inference"), and this sh	location of parked film trucks and all-night generators that would	to the production company to ensure key
as much as possible so as not to adversely impact adjacent businesses. 38. Film permits for downtown on-street parking should consider street-level relatal businesses and restaurants. 39. Crew vehicles should never be permitted in filming areas. They can be shuttled in from base camp, which should be concentrated in lots instead of lined along streets. 40. Craft (anach) trucks should not be parked directly in front of houses because it increases the chances of noise disruption. 41. Due high volume of filming in Studyur, the development of this by-law is very timely. The economic impact is felt in multiple areas of the community. A smoother and quicker process that does not compromise the quality of the process would help draw more productions to the area. 42. Locations Managers often fill out paperwork while on the road or on set, so all permits and permit applications should incorporate electronic signatures in order to expedite turnaround times on both ends. 43. Information and documentation required from a film company (section 11) refers to indemnification, release of responsibility and insurance, so it should be revised to avoid duplicate terminology. 44. Two weeks is to indemnification, release of responsibility and insurance, so it should be revised to avoid duplicate terminology. 45. There seems to be too many grounds for permit refusal (section 16). 46. Refusal of a permit application is hould be limited to a production company (or its owners) that have been definitively guilty of permit violations in the past, and should not be tied to the individual Location Manager (section 16-1-a). 47. It is unclear what guidelines will be used to determine what is considered derogatory and/or offensive (definitions for violent and sexual content – perhaps replace with "criminal inference"), and this should not imply censorship. 48. Given the schedules of most filming in the city, it is unrealistic for an appeal process to be useful (section 31) when a permit is refused. Instead there should be a mechani	——————————————————————————————————————	This provision is covered in section 24-b-i.
street-level retail businesses and reslaurants. 39. Crew whiches should never be permitted in filming areas. They can be shuttled in from base camp, which should be concentrated in lots instead of limed along streets. 40. Craft (snack) trucks should not be parked directly in front of houses because it increases the chances of noise disruption. Film Permit 41. Due high volume of filming in Sudbury, the development of this by-law is very timely. The economic impact is felt in multiple areas of the community. A smoother and quicker process that does not compromise the quality of the process would help draw more productions to the area. 42. Locations Managers often fill out paperwork while on the road or on set, so all permits and permit applications should incorporate electronic signatures in order to expedite furnaround times on both ends. 43. Information and documentation required from a film company (section 11) refers to indemnification, release of responsibility and insurance, on a thould be revised to avoid duplicate terminology. 44. Two weeks is too much time for application for a noise by-law exemption. With many productions, they have not yet found their locations two weeks in advance due to truncated prep times and schedule changes outside of their control. 45. There seems to be too many grounds for permit refusal (section 16). 46. Refusal of a permit application should be limited to a production company (or its owners) that have been definitively guilty of permit violations in the past, and should not be tied to the individual Location Manager (section 16-1-a). 47. It is unclear what guidelines will be used to determine what is considered derogatory and/or offensive (eithnitions for violent and sexual content – perhaps replace with forminal inference*), and this should not imply censorship. 48. Given the schedules of most filming in the city, it is unrealistic for an appeal process to be useful (section 31) when a permit is refused. Instead there should be a mechanism for discussion of possib	 as much as possible so as not to adversely impact adjacent	<u> </u>
They can be shuttled in from base camp, which should be concentrated in lots instead of lined along streets. 40. Craft (sneck) trucks should not be parked directly in front of houses because it increases the chances of noise disruption. 41. Due high volume of liming in Sudbury, the development of this by-law is very timely. The economic impact is felt in mutiple areas of the community. A smoother and quicker process that does not compromise the quality of the process would help draw more productions to the area. 42. Locations Managers often fill out paperwork while on the road or on set, so all permits and permit applications should incorporate electronic signatures in order to expedite turnaround times on both ends. 43. Information and documentation required from a film company (section 11) refers to indemnification, release of responsibility and insurance, so it should be revised to avoid duplicate terminology. 44. Two weeks is advance due to truncated prep times and schedule changes outside of their control. 45. There seems to be too many grounds for permit refusal (section 16). 46. Refusal of a permit application should be limited to a production company (or its owners) that have been definitively guilty of permit vicilations in the past, and should not be tied to the individual Location Manager (section 16-1-a). 47. It is unclear what guidelines will be used to determine what is considered derogatory and/or offensive (definitions for violent and sexual content – perhaps replace with "criminal inference"), and this should not imply censorship. 48. Given the schedules of most filming in the city, it is unrealistic for an appeal process to be useful (section 31) when a permit is production company, the City and the public considered derogatory and/or offensive (definitions for violent and sexual content – perhaps replace with "criminal inference"), and this should not imply censorship. 49. Lead time required to process permits (section 12) refers to four business days. This is unrealistic for the fi		
Nouses because it increases the chances of noise disruption.	39. Crew vehicles should never be permitted in filming areas. They can be shuttled in from base camp, which should be	Staff encourage parking of film vehicles in
Applications by-law is very timely. The economic impact is felt in multiple areas of the community. A smoother and quicker process that does not compromise the quality of the process would help draw more productions to the area. 42. Locations Managers often fill out paperwork while on the road or on set, so all permits and permit applications should incorporate electronic signatures in order to expedite turnaround times on both ends. 43. Information and documentation required from a film company (section 11) refers to indemnification, release of responsibility and insurance, so it should be revised to avoid duplicate terminology. 44. Two weeks is too much time for application for a noise by-law exemption. With many productions, they have not yet found their locations two weeks in advance due to truncated prep times and schedule changes outside of their control. 45. There seems to be too many grounds for permit refusal (section 16). 46. Refusal of a permit application should be limited to a production company (or its owners) that have been definitively guilty of permit violations in the past, and should not be tied to the individual Location Manager (section 16-1-1). 47. It is unclear what guidelines will be used to determine what is considered derogatory and/or offensive (definitions for violent and sexual content – perhaps replace with "criminal interence"), and this should not imply censorship. 48. Given the schedules of most filming in the city, it is unrealistic for an appeal process to be useful (section 31) when a permit is refused. Instead there should be a mechanism for discussion of possible remedies at the time of the infraction. 49. Lead time required to process permits (section 12) refers to four business days. This is unrealistic for the film industry, especially for schedules of felevision series, and could be reduced to 48 hours. Likewise, requiring up to 30 days for more complex shoots makes it nearly impossible to schedule a television series. Consider acknowledging that "potentially h		5 , 5
or on set, so all permits and permit applications should incorporate electronic signatures in order to expedite turnaround times on both ends. 43. Information and documentation required from a film company (section 11) refers to indemnification, release of responsibility and insurance, so it should be revised to avoid duplicate terminology. 44. Two weeks is too much time for application for a noise by-law exemption. With many productions, they have not yet found their locations two weeks in advance due to truncated prep times and schedule changes outside of their control. 45. There seems to be too many grounds for permit refusal (section 16). 46. Refusal of a permit application should be limited to a production company (or its owners) that have been definitively guilty of permit violations in the past, and should not be tied to the individual Location Manager (section 16-1-a). 47. It is unclear what guidelines will be used to determine what is considered derogatory and/or offensive (definitions for violent and sexual content – perhaps replace with "criminal inference"), and this should not imply censorship. 48. Given the schedules of most filming in the city, it is unrealistic for an appeal process to be useful (section 31) when a permit is refused. Instead there should be a mechanism for discussion of possible remedies at the time of the infraction. 49. Lead time required to process permits (section 12) refers to four business days. This is unrealistic for the film industry, especially for schedules of television series, and could be reduced to 48 hours. Likewies, requiring up to 30 days for more complex shoots makes it nearly impossible to schedule a television series. Consider acknowledging that "potentially hazardous activity" may delay permitting processes, but answers should be provided	by-law is very timely. The economic impact is felt in multiple areas of the community. A smoother and quicker process that does not compromise the quality of the process would help draw more	Noted.
different purposes and will all be included in one permit. 44. Two weeks is too much time for application for a noise by-law exemption. With many productions, they have not yet found their locations two weeks in advance due to truncated prep times and schedule changes outside of their control. 45. There seems to be too many grounds for permit refusal (section 16). 46. Refusal of a permit application should be limited to a production company (or its owners) that have been definitively guilty of permit violations in the past, and sexual content – perhaps replace with "criminal inference"), and this should not imply censorship. 48. Given the schedules of most filming in the city, it is unrealistic for an appeal process to be useful (section 12) refers to four business days. This is unrealistic for the film industry, especially for schedules of television series. Consider acknowledging that "potentially hazardous activity" may delay permitting processes, but answers should be provided	or on set, so all permits and permit applications should incorporate electronic signatures in order to expedite turnaround times on both	
exemption. With many productions, they have not yet found their locations two weeks in advance due to truncated prep times and schedule changes outside of their control. 45. There seems to be too many grounds for permit refusal (section 16). 46. Refusal of a permit application should be limited to a production company (or its owners) that have been definitively guilty of permit violations in the past, and should not be tied to the individual Location Manager (section 16-1-a). 47. It is unclear what guidelines will be used to determine what is considered derogatory and/or offensive (definitions for violent and sexual content – perhaps replace with "criminal inference"), and this should not imply censorship. 48. Given the schedules of most filming in the city, it is unrealistic for an appeal process to be useful (section 31) when a permit is refused. Instead there should be a mechanism for discussion of possible remedies at the time of the infraction. 49. Lead time required to process permits (section 12) refers to four business days. This is unrealistic for the film industry, especially for schedules of their control. 49. Lead time required to process permits (section 12) refers to four business days. This is unrealistic for the film industry, especially for schedules of their industry, delay permitting processes, but answers should be provided	(section 11) refers to indemnification, release of responsibility and	different purposes and will all be included in
discretion of the Director of Assets (for film permits) and the General Manager of Infrastructure Services (for road occupancy permits) subject to the filming activity and the location. 46. Refusal of a permit application should be limited to a production company (or its owners) that have been definitively guilty of permit violations in the past, and should not be tied to the individual Location Manager (section 16-1-a). 47. It is unclear what guidelines will be used to determine what is considered derogatory and/or offensive (definitions for violent and sexual content — perhaps replace with "criminal inference"), and this should not imply censorship. 48. Given the schedules of most filming in the city, it is unrealistic for an appeal process to be useful (section 31) when a permit is refused. Instead there should be a mechanism for discussion of possible remedies at the time of the infraction. 49. Lead time required to process permits (section 12) refers to four business days. This is unrealistic for the film industry, especially for schedules of television series, and could be reduced to 48 hours. Likewise, requiring up to 30 days for more complex shoots makes it nearly impossible to schedule a television series. Consider acknowledging that "potentially hazardous activity" may delay permitting processes, but answers should be provided	exemption. With many productions, they have not yet found their locations two weeks in advance due to truncated prep times and	by-law exemption requests, however this has frequently been expedited based on the schedules of filming and staff will continue to
production company (or its owners) that have been definitively guilty of permit violations in the past, and should not be tied to the individual Location Manager (section 16-1-a). 47. It is unclear what guidelines will be used to determine what is considered derogatory and/or offensive (definitions for violent and sexual content – perhaps replace with "criminal inference"), and this should not imply censorship. 48. Given the schedules of most filming in the city, it is unrealistic for an appeal process to be useful (section 31) when a permit is refused. Instead there should be a mechanism for discussion of possible remedies at the time of the infraction. 49. Lead time required to process permits (section 12) refers to four business days. This is unrealistic for the film industry, especially for schedules of television series, and could be reduced to 48 hours. Likewise, requiring up to 30 days for more complex shoots makes it nearly impossible to schedule a television series. Consider acknowledging that "potentially hazardous activity" may delay permitting processes, but answers should be provided	, ,	discretion of the Director of Assets (for film permits) and the General Manager of Infrastructure Services (for road occupancy permits) subject to the filming activity and the
considered derogatory and/or offensive (definitions for violent and sexual content – perhaps replace with "criminal inference"), and this should not imply censorship. 48. Given the schedules of most filming in the city, it is unrealistic for an appeal process to be useful (section 31) when a permit is refused. Instead there should be a mechanism for discussion of possible remedies at the time of the infraction. 49. Lead time required to process permits (section 12) refers to four business days. This is unrealistic for the film industry, especially for schedules of television series, and could be reduced to 48 hours. Likewise, requiring up to 30 days for more complex shoots makes it nearly impossible to schedule a television series. Consider acknowledging that "potentially hazardous activity" may delay permitting processes, but answers should be provided wording be replaced to reference content that may cause offence in light of community standards, which would take into account the location and potential public impact (section 16-1-i). An appeal process must be an option for the production company, however permit amendments, conditions and suspensions are other options to remedy situations in a more timely manner. 48 hours would not likely give staff adequate time to coordinate among necessary CGS departments to review filming requests and apply additional conditions as warranted.	production company (or its owners) that have been definitively guilty of permit violations in the past, and should not be tied to the	production company, the City and the public. They must be able to withhold the conditions
for an appeal process to be useful (section 31) when a permit is refused. Instead there should be a mechanism for discussion of possible remedies at the time of the infraction. 49. Lead time required to process permits (section 12) refers to four business days. This is unrealistic for the film industry, especially for schedules of television series, and could be reduced to 48 hours. Likewise, requiring up to 30 days for more complex shoots makes it nearly impossible to schedule a television series. Consider acknowledging that "potentially hazardous activity" may delay permitting processes, but answers should be provided production company, however permit amendments, conditions and suspensions are other options to remedy situations in a more timely manner. 48 hours would not likely give staff adequate time to coordinate among necessary CGS departments to review filming requests and apply additional conditions as warranted.	considered derogatory and/or offensive (definitions for violent and sexual content – perhaps replace with "criminal inference"), and	wording be replaced to reference content that may cause offence in light of community standards, which would take into account the location and potential public impact (section
four business days. This is unrealistic for the film industry, especially for schedules of television series, and could be reduced to 48 hours. Likewise, requiring up to 30 days for more complex shoots makes it nearly impossible to schedule a television series. Consider acknowledging that "potentially hazardous activity" may delay permitting processes, but answers should be provided time to coordinate among necessary CGS departments to review filming requests and apply additional conditions as warranted.	for an appeal process to be useful (section 31) when a permit is refused. Instead there should be a mechanism for discussion of	production company, however permit amendments, conditions and suspensions are other options to remedy situations in a
	four business days. This is unrealistic for the film industry, especially for schedules of television series, and could be reduced to 48 hours. Likewise, requiring up to 30 days for more complex shoots makes it nearly impossible to schedule a television series. Consider acknowledging that "potentially hazardous activity" may delay permitting processes, but answers should be provided	time to coordinate among necessary CGS departments to review filming requests and

	50. Requesting two business days for film permit extensions or amendments (sections 36 and 37) is unrealistic and should be changed to 24 hours prior to filming.	24 hours would not permit staff with adequate time to review implications of amendments.
	51. If the film company is required to have an insurance policy with an insurer licensed in Ontario this implies that California studio policies would not be accepted (section 23-h-i) and this is a hindrance.	Section 23-h-i has been changed to stipulated that the insurer must be licensed to provide insurance in Ontario regardless of where the insurer is based.
	52. When a film permit is suspended or revoked (section 26) there should be reference to a timeline by which the film company may remedy any issue of non-compliance.	Timelines will be determined on a case by case basis.
	53. It is not clear who will determine the amount required for security deposits and the process for charging these.	Staff are recommending that this be at the discretion of the Director of Assets (for film permits) and the General Manager of Infrastructure Services (for road occupancy permits) and would be established in consultation with Risk Management.
	54. The City should never request private property agreements in order to release a film permit because they should remain confidential and because these are usually in the process of being finalized while permit requests are being processed.	The draft by-law does not apply to private property. Film permits would not grant access to private property.
	55. It states that the Director of Asset Services is not required to review or process incomplete applications (section 13). It is unclear how the applicant will know that the permit application is incomplete if it is not reviewed.	By-law wording has been revised (section 13).
Development of the Local Film Industry	56. When filming in the downtown core, every effort should be made by the film companies to source out props and staff food/refreshments from the downtown business community.	Beyond the scope of this by-law.
	57. Raise taxes to add revenue, and do not change the current by-law.	Raising taxes is beyond the scope of this by-law. Council has already directed staff to draft a film by-law for municipal property.
	58. Consolidated billing for multiple permits would be greatly appreciated by film companies so that one cheque can be issued per owner, instead of one cheque per location or per City department. A "draw down" system, requesting money up front for estimated permits and costs would expedite payment turnaround times and guarantee that the City is paid for its services.	This will be explored by staff when the permitting process is developed.
	59. Union representatives must be more involved in the development of the film industry in Sudbury to curb bad behavior and help support crew development so that they can be competitive in the industry.	Beyond the scope of this by-law, but staff will maintain communications with union representatives on this topic.
	60. In some cases when it takes more than a few hours to obtain an answer, it has already become obsolete. That is how quick this business moves.	Staff aim to respond to film production requests according to the CGS service standards.

Review of User Fees

Staff was directed to review the fees typically associated with facility use permits in light of the proposed filming permitting system. Below if an overview of the proposed approach to fees for filming on municipal property.

Staff recommend that the City of Greater Sudbury should operate on a cost recovery basis when determining fees for filming. This is a similar approach used in other municipalities. Examples of fees that are currently being incurred by film companies include the following:

- When a production is requesting the use of municipal parking lots or meters, the usage fees would be charged back to the production company.
- When filming takes place on municipal properties covered under the *Miscellaneous User Fees for Certain Services Provided* by the City of Greater Sudbury By-law (By-law 2015-8), the associated user fee would apply.
- When City staff is required to be on-set during filming, or if staff is needed to perform duties specific to the filming, staff time costs would be invoiced back to the production company.

If approved, the film by-law would bring into effect a new permitting system streamlined specifically for filming needs. Staff are proposing an administrative fee to issue film location permits, which would be included in a proposed amendment to the Miscellaneous Miscellaneous User Fees for Certain Services Provided by the City of Greater Sudbury By-law 2015-8). This proposed administrative film permit fee would be scheduled to go before Council as part of the annual review and it would be in addition to any facility usage fees, staffing costs, etc.

There are municipal properties for which there is currently no user fee. Over the next few months staff will be working toward developing fees which will be proposed to Council. During the interim, staff will continue to charge fees for development of facility use permits and any additional costs to be recovered.

Conclusion

The comments received through the public input process provide a clear indication that this by-law will need to delicately balance the needs of three perspectives: the film company, the public and the various departments functioning within the City of Greater Sudbury. Staff are suggesting a February 1, 2016 implementation for the by-laws (Film By-law and amendments to Road Occupancy, Delegation and Traffic and Parking). If approved by the Community Services Committee, the draft film by-law and the amendments to the related by-laws would be presented to Council later this fall. A new film permitting process would then be developed to implement this by-law in coordination with the various department representatives of the "Special Events Internal Team". Amendments to the user fee by-law would be brought forward for Council's review early next year.

Table of Contents

	art 1 - Interpretation	3
	Definitions	
	Interpretation	7
	Application	7
Ρ	art 2 – Prohibitions	7
	Prohibitions	
Р	art 3 – Permit	
	Permit – Eligibility	
	No Permit Required – City	8
	No Permit Required – Road or Box Occupancy or Road Closure Permitted	8
	Permit – Information and Documentation Required	
	Application – Time	
	Application – Review	10
	Application – Powers of Director of Asset Services	10
	Permit - Refusal	12
	Permit – Issue	12
	Film Permit - Standard Conditions - General	13
	Film Permit – Additional Conditions	14
	Permit - Additional Conditions - After Issue	16
	Permit – Suspension or Revocation	16
	Permit – Withdrawal	16
	Film Permit – Security Deposit	17
	Permit – Offence – False Information	17
	Refusal or Revocation - Hearing	18
	Permit – Expiry	18

Permit – Time – Valid	18
Permit – Extension	18
Permit – Amendment	19
Permit – No Transfer	20
Part 4 - Enforcement	20
Offence	
Prohibition Order	21
Recovery of Costs	22
Debt	22
Part 5 – General	22
Intellectual Property and Privacy	22
Agreements – Locations Databases	23
Part 6 - General	23
Confidential Information	
Administration	23
Authority - Director of Asset Services	24
Severability / Conflict	24
Short Title	24
Repeal	25
Enactment	25

By-law 2015-xx

A By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Filming Activity on City of Greater Sudbury Property

Whereas section 5(3) of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, S.O. 2001, c. 25, requires that a municipal power be exercised by by-law;

And Whereas section 10(2) of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, S.O. 2001, c. 25 authorize a municipality to pass by-laws respecting the public assets of the municipality acquired for the purpose of exercising its authority, the economic, social and environmental well-being of the municipality, health, safety and well-being of persons, the provision of any service or thing that it considers necessary or desirable for the public and the protection of persons and property;

And Whereas subsections 425(1) and 429(1) of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, S.O. 2001, c. 25, authorize a municipality to pass by-laws providing that any person who contravenes a municipal by-law passed under that Act be guilty of an offence and for establishing a system of fines for offences under such by-law;

And Whereas the *Municipal Act, 2001*, S.O. 2001, c. 25 authorizes a municipal council, amongst other things, to delegate its authority, to provide for inspections and inspection orders, to impose fees and charges for services and for the use of its property or property under a municipality's control;

And Whereas the Council of the City of Greater Sudbury wishes to promote film production in the City of Greater Sudbury;

Now therefore the Council of the City of Greater Sudbury hereby enacts as follows:

Part 1 - Interpretation

Definitions

1. In this By-law:

"Appointment By-law" means By-law 2007-161 being A By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury respecting the Appointment of Officials of the City of Greater Sudbury;

"Board of Management" means a board of management established for a Business Improvement Area in accordance with section 204 of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, S.O. 2001, c. 25,

"Business Day" means any day excluding Saturdays, Sundays and statutory or City holidays;

"Business Improvement Area" means an improvement area designated by the City of Greater Sudbury in accordance with section 204 of the *Municipal Act*, 2001, S.O. 2001, c. 25;

"By-law Enforcement Officer" means a member of any police service with jurisdiction in the City or any natural person appointed by Council for the enforcement of by-laws, including this by-law;

"Chief Building Official" means the designate appointed in the City's Appointment By-law and any amendments or successive By-laws thereto pursuant to the *Building Code Act*, 1992, S.O. 1992, c. 23;

"Chief of Fire and Paramedic Services" means the designate appointed in the City's Appointment By-law and any amendments or successive By-laws thereto;

"Chief of Police" means the Chief of Police of the Greater Sudbury Police Service;

"City" means the municipality of the City of Greater Sudbury or the geographic area, as the context requires;

"City Property" means land owned, leased or occupied by the City, including buildings or other structures or facilities, and includes but is not limited to a building and Highway;

"Code of Conduct for Cast and Crew" means the code of conduct for cast and crew of film productions approved by the Director of Asset Services;

"Council" means the municipal council of the City of Greater Sudbury;

"Delegation By-law" means By-law 2014-225 being A Bylaw of the City of Greater Sudbury Respecting the Delegation of Authority to Various Employees of the City;

"Filming Event" means Recording, except in a film studio or film laboratory, for a feature film, television film, television program or series, documentary, paid advertisement, including a commercial, music video, educational film, including the pre-production and post-production activities associated therewith, but does not include:

- (i) activities by news media related to the dissemination of information;
- (ii) location scouting; or

- 4 - 2015-xx 24 of 59

(iii) recording personal movies or photographs;

"Film Permit" means a permit issued under Part 3 of this By-law;

"Film Production" means one or more Filming Events which are intended to form or be part of a feature film, television film, television program or series, documentary, paid advertisement, including a commercial, music video, educational film;

_"Director of Asset Services" means the Director of Asset Services of the City of Greater Sudbury or his designate;

"Hearing Committee" means the Hearing Committee appointed by Council from time to time to hear matters referred to the Hearing Committee by By-law;

"Highway" means a common and public highway, street, avenue, alleyway, lane, parkway, square, place, bridge, viaduct or trestle, any part of which is intended for or used by the general public for the passage of vehicles and includes the area between lateral property lines thereof;

"Land or Facility Use Permit" means a permit issued for use of land for a Filming Event issued under the authority of this By-law;

"Mayor" means the head of the Council;

"Permit Holder" means a Person to whom a Film Permit has issued and includes Persons doing work on behalf of the Permit Holder:

"Person" includes a natural person, firm, partnership, association, corporation, company or organization of any kind whether acting by themselves or by a servant, agent or employee and the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns or other legal representative of such person;

"Personal Information" means information about an identifiable individual, including,

- (i) information relating to the race, national or ethnic origin, colour, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation or marital or family status of the individual,
- information relating to the education or the medical, psychiatric, psychological, criminal or employment history of the individual or information relating to financial transactions in which the individual has been involved,

- 5 - 2015-xx 25 of 59

- (iii) any identifying number, symbol or other particular assigned to the individual,
- (iv) the address, telephone number, fingerprints or blood type of the individual,
- the personal opinions or views of the individual except if they relate to another individual,
- (vi) correspondence sent to an institution by the individual that is implicitly or explicitly of a private or confidential nature, and replies to that correspondence that would reveal the contents of the original correspondence,
- (vii) the views or opinions of another individual about the individual,
- (viii) the individual's name if it appears with other personal information relating to the individual or where the disclosure of the name would reveal other personal information about the individual; and
- (ix) images of a person or recordings of a person's voice.

"Potentially Hazardous Activity" includes but is not limited to use of special effects, fire, fireworks, stunts or pyrotechnics;

"Recording" means filming, videotaping, photographing or any other form of visual recording;

"Restricted Lands, Buildings or Areas" means a City-owned or occupied building or part thereof or any lands within the geographic limits of the City in or on which a Filming Event is prohibited or restricted;

"Road Occupancy By-law" means By-law 2011-218 being *A By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Road Occupancy including Road Cuts, Temporary Closures and Sidewalk Cafes* and any successor by-law thereto;

"Senior Management Team" has the same meaning as defined in By-law 2014-225 being *A By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury respecting the Delegation of Authority to Various Employees of the City*;

- 6 - 2015-xx 26 of 59

"User Fee By-law" means By-law 2015-8 being A By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Establish Miscellaneous User Fees for Certain Services Provided by the City of Greater Sudbury By-law and any amendments or successive By-laws thereto; and

"Ward Councillor" means a member of Council for a ward established by By-law 2005-250 being A By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Dissolve the Existing Wards, to Divide the City into Twelve New Wards, and to Create Single Member Wards and any amendments or successive By-laws thereto

Interpretation

- 2. The words "include", "including" and "includes" are not to be read as limiting the phrases or descriptions that precede them.
- **3.** The obligations imposed by this By-law are in addition to obligations otherwise imposed by law or by contract.
- **4.** Specific references to laws, including By-laws, in the By-law are printed in italic font and are meant to refer to the current laws applicable as at the time that the By-law was enacted, as they are amended or replaced from time to time.

Application

5. This By-law shall apply within the geographic limits of the City.

Part 2 - Prohibitions

Prohibitions

- 6. No Person shall cause, allow or permit a Filming Event to occur on City Property except in accordance with a valid Film Permit.
- **7.** No Person shall participate in a Filming Event on City Property except in accordance with a valid Film Permit.

Part 3 - Permit

Permit - Eligibility

8.-(1) Subject to Subsection (2), a Person who intends to cause a Filming Event on City Property shall apply to the City for a Film Permit.

- 7 - 2015-xx 27 of 59

(2) A Person who intends to cause a Filming Event on a Highway under the jurisdiction of the City shall apply to the City for a permit under the Road Occupancy By-law.

No Permit Required – City

9. Despite sections 6 and 7, a Film Permit shall not be required where the Filming Event is undertaken by the City or by a contractor carrying out work on behalf of the City.

No Permit Required - Road or Box Occupancy or Road Closure Permitted

10. Despite sections 6 and 7, a Film Permit shall not be required where the applicant has obtained a valid Road Occupancy Permit, a valid Road Closure Permit or a valid Box Occupancy Permit for the Filming Event issued in accordance with the Road Occupancy By-law.

Permit – Information and Documentation Required

- 11. In making application for a Film Permit, an applicant shall:
 - (a) File an application with the Director of Asset Services in a form established by the Director of Asset Services from time to time which shall include:
 - (i) Applicant's legal name;
 - (ii) Name of the authorized representative of the applicant;
 - (iii) Contact information, including telephone number, email address,
 municipal address and fax number for the applicant and authorized
 representative(s) of the applicant;
 - (iv) If the applicant is a corporation, the corporate headquarters of the applicant;
 - (v) Production type of Filming Event;
 - (vi) The location(s) proposed for the Filming Event, including a synopsis of the activities at the location(s) and a detailed description of any Potentially Hazardous Activity
 - (vii) Dates and times proposed for the Filming Event including setup and takedown, and, if postponed for any reason, alternative dates and times; and
 - (viii) A signature by a natural person with signing authority for the applicant.

- 8 - 2015-xx 28 of 59

- (b) Provide a release, in a form and with content established by the Director of Asset Services, releasing the City from responsibility or liability in relation to the Filming Event;
- (c) Provide an indemnity, in a form and with content established by the Director of Asset Services, indemnifying and saving harmless the City from claims arising from the Film Event;
- (d) File a copy of the crew list;
- (e) File a copy of the script for the Filming Event;
- (f) File evidence satisfactory to the Director of Asset Services that the Person filing the application is a duly authorized representative of the applicant;
- (g) File additional documentation as required by the Director of Asset Services, which the Director of Asset Services, in his sole discretion, deems necessary in the circumstances for the purpose of administering this By-law;
- (h) Pay to the City any fee or charge required by this By-law; and
- (i) File a certified copy of an insurance policy or other proof of insurance acceptable to the Director of Asset Services as evidence of compliance with subsection 23(1).

Application – Time

- **12.**(1) Subject to subsection (2), the applicant shall file the application for a Film Permit four (4) business days prior to the date on which the Filming Event is planned to commence.
 - (2) Despite subsection (1), where:
 - (a) a Film Production is likely to or does require more than eight (8) licences, permits or exemptions under this or any other By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury; or
 - (b) a Filming Event will involve a Potentially Hazardous Activity;

the Director of Asset Services may require additional days of processing time for Film Permits but shall require no more than thirty (30) days for any one Film Permit.

- 9 - 2015-xx 29 of 59

Application - Review

- **13.** The Director of Asset Services is not required to process an application for a Film Permit which:
 - is not complete as determined by the Director of Asset Services in his sole discretion; or
 - (b) is not submitted in accordance with this By-law.
- **14.** Upon receipt of a complete application, the Director of Asset Services shall consult with and have regard for the comments of the:
 - (a) where applicable, the Chief of Police, the Chief of Fire and Paramedic Services and the Chief Building Official where a Filming Event involves a Potentially Hazardous Activity; and
 - (b) the Manager of Security, By-law and Court Services where the Filming Event occurs in a building or facility on City Property or near a building or facility on City Property as determined by the Director of Asset Services.

Application – Powers of Director of Asset Services

- **15.** After receipt of a complete application and after the consultation described in section 14, the Director of Asset Services shall:
 - (a) issue a Film Permit with standard conditions as provided in this By-law;
 - (b) issue a Film Permit with some or none of the standard conditions as provided in this By-law and the Director of Asset Services may include:
 - (i) such other terms and conditions as may be required by any other By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury; and
 - (ii) such additional conditions as the Director of Asset Services determines necessary in the circumstances; or
 - (c) refuse to issue the Film Permit as provided in this By-law.
- **16**.-(1) When deciding whether to issue or refuse a Film Permit, the Director of Asset Services may consider any factors, including but not limited to, the following:

- 10 - 2015-xx 30 of 59

- (a) whether or not the Filming Event is likely to be carried out in compliance with the Film Permit and this or other By-laws having regard for the Person applying for the <u>Film Permit</u>, the participants or Persons providing services to the Person applying for the applicant and the history of compliance with a By-law, licence, permit approval or other type of permission issued by the City;
- (b) if the Filming Event would cause a conflict with an authorized use of the property or a previously scheduled activity for which the City has issued a licence, permit, approval or other type of permission;
- (c) if the Filming Event is proposed in Restricted Lands, Buildings or Areas;
- (d) if the Filming Event would be disruptive to:
 - (i) emergency vehicles or services;
 - (ii) residents, occupants or businesses;
 - (iii) City work or activities of any type; or
 - (iv) traffic or public transit;
- (e) if the Filming Event may result in damage to CityProperty or property in the surrounding area, including but not limited to assets, infrastructure, environmental, cultural or heritage resources;
- (f) if the Filming Event could result in a threat to public safety or conflict with By-laws or policies;
- (g) the number and frequency of other Filming Events which have occurred at the same location or in close proximity, whether conducted under a valid Film Permit or otherwise;
- (h) the time of day of the Filming Event;
- (i) if the content being recorded during the Filming Event:
 - is directly or indirectly derogatory or exploitative of any natural person or groups of natural persons;
 - (ii) may cause offence, in light of community standards; or

- 11 - 2015-xx 31 of 59

(iii) if the content being recorded during the Filming Event contains violent or sexual content.

(2) In addition to the factors described in subsection (1), when deciding whether to issue or refuse a Film Permit, the Director of Asset Services may consult with Persons.

Permit - Refusal

- 17.-(1) The Director of Asset Services shall refuse to issue a Film Permit:
 - (a) if the application is incomplete;
 - (b) the applicant has not paid a prescribed fee;
 - (c) if, for any reason, the issue of the Film Permit would be inconsistent with this Bylaw or any other By-law; or
 - (d) the applicant owes any fine, debt, administrative penalty, charge or fee to the City.
- (2) Where an applicant has applied for a licence, permit or exemption for a Filming Event under another By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury and the applicant still proposes to use the City Property for the activity for which the licence, permit or exemption was refused, the Director of Asset Services shall refuse to issue the Film Permit.
- (3) The Director of Asset Services shall advise the applicant of his decision to refuse a Film Permit and provide a reason or reasons for the refusal.

Permit - Issue

- **18.** A Film Permit is issued when it is dated and signed by the Director of Asset Services.
- 19. The Permit Holder shall ensure that the Permit Holder or an authorized representative of the Permit Holder can be contacted at the contact number provided in the application for the Film Permit, 24 hours per day, seven days per week, during the period that the Film Permit is effective.
- **20.** The Permit Holder shall keep or cause to be kept, a copy of the Film Permit at the site of the Filming Event.
- **21.** The Permit Holder shall produce or cause to be produced the Film Permit when asked to do so by the Director of Asset Services or a By-law Enforcement Officer.

- 12 - 2015-xx 32 of 59

- **22.** The Director of Asset Services may notify the Mayor and the affected ward's Ward Councillor of the issue of a Film Permit for a Filming Event and provide the Ward Councillor with the following information:
 - (a) name of the Permit Holder,
 - (b) authorized representative of the Permit Holder,
 - (c) title of the production, and
 - (d) permitted activities.

Film Permit - Standard Conditions - General

- **23.** Whether or not it is specified on the face of the Film Permit, it is a condition of every Film Permit or extension of a Film Permit that:
 - (a) an authorized representative of the Permit Holder shall be present during the Filming Event;
 - (b) the Permit Holder shall ensure the safety and security of the location of the Filming Event;
 - (c) the Film Permit does not authorize a Filming Event on private property;
 - (d) the Permit Holder shall ensure compliance with the Code of Conduct for Cast and Crew;
 - (e) the Permit Holder shall comply and ensure compliance with the rules authorized in accordance with this By-law;
 - (f) the Permit Holder, its heirs, executors, administrators and assigns indemnifies and saves harmless the City, its elected and non-elected officials, employees, agents, servants and workmen from all causes of action, losses, costs, damages, charges, damages or expenses that may be incurred, sustained or paid by the City by reason of the granting of the Permit or reason of existence or operation of the Filming Event, and this indemnity shall survive the expiry of the Permit;
 - (g) the Permit Holder, its heirs, executors, administrators and assigns release the City, its elected and non-elected officials, employees, agents, servants and workmen from all causes of action, losses, costs, damages, charges or expenses that may be incurred, sustained or paid by the Permit Holder by reason of the

- 13 - 2015-xx 33 of 59

granting of the Permit or reason of the existence, or operation of the Filming Event other than those actions, losses, costs, damages, charges or expenses that arose from the negligence, acts or omissions of the City and its elected and non-elected officials, employees, agents, servants and workmen, and this release shall survive the expiry of the Permit;

- (h) the Permit Holder shall place and maintain in good standing during the effective period of the Film Permit and any extension:
 - (i) a policy of comprehensive general liability insurance with an insurer licenced in the Province of Ontario:
 - (1) with limits of not less than two million (\$2,000,000) dollars per occurrence for bodily injury, death and damage to property including loss of use thereof;
 - (2) which names the City as an additional insured or as its interest appears; and
 - (3) which contains an endorsement to provide the City with thirty (30) days prior written notice of cancellation of the policy; and
 - (ii) such other forms of insurance or such greater amounts of insurance as the Director of Asset Services may reasonably require in the form and amounts and for insurance risks against which a prudent party would insure, or such other forms or amounts of insurance as may be required by By-law; and
- (i) the Filming Event shall be conducted in a manner that is minimally disruptive to businesses, residents and institutions.

Film Permit - Additional Conditions

- **24.-**(1) In addition to the conditions provided herein and such other conditions as the Director of Asset Services may determine, the Director of Asset Services may issue a Film Permit with one or more of the following conditions:
 - (a) requiring the applicant to do or not to do things that, in the Director of Asset Services's opinion, would reduce or eliminate adverse impacts associated with the Filming Event;

- 14 - 2015-xx 34 of 59

- (b) requiring payment of a security deposit as provided herein; and
- (c) the Permit Holder shall provide evidence of any of the following:
 - (i) the Permit Holder shall provide notice in the form and content to be determined by the Director of Asset Services to occupants, property owners, homes, businesses, institutions, organizations, boards of management of business improvement areas, business associations, neighbourhood associations or other Persons or groups as determined by the Director of Asset Services, and a copy of such notice shall be provided to the Director of Asset Services prior to commencement of the Filming Event;
 - (ii) when a Filming Event takes place in a Business Improvement Area, that the Permit Holder has given notice to and consulted with the Board of Management or an authorized representative thereof; and
 - (iii) that the Permit Holder has consulted with other Persons regarding matters identified by the Director of Asset Services including:
 - (1) other City divisions, departments or sections;
 - (2) Province of Ontario;
 - (3) Government of Canada;
 - (4) Greater Sudbury Police Service;
 - (5) Ontario Provincial Police Service;
 - (6) Union Gas;
 - (7) Ontario Hydro;
 - (8) Greater Sudbury Utilities Inc.;
 - (9) <u>railway corporations; and</u>
 - (10) Nickel District Conservation Authority.
- (2) If the Permit Holder fails to pay the security deposit or provide evidence satisfactory to the Director of Asset Services by the date and time determined by the Director of Asset

- 15 - 2015-xx 35 of 59

Services in his sole discretion of fulfillment of the conditions described in subsection (1), the Film Permit shall be void.

Permit - Additional Conditions - After Issue

- **25.-**(1) After issue of a Film Permit, the Director of Asset Services may impose additional conditions on the Film Permit upon notice in writing to the Permit Holder and upon that notice the Film Permit shall be deemed to be amended.
- (2) Notice may be provided in writing by facsimile, email or letter mail to the authorized representative and is deemed to be received upon issue of the notice.

Permit – Suspension or Revocation

- 26.-(1) The Director of Asset Services may, without notice, revoke or suspend a Film Permit if
 - (a) the Film Permit was issued in error;
 - (b) the Permit Holder requests in writing that the Film Permit be revoked; or
 - (c) the Permit Holder or participants in a Filming Event fail to comply with this or any other By-law, the conditions of the Film Permit or the Code of Conduct for Cast and Crew.
- (2) The revocation or expiry of a Film Permit shall not release the Permit Holder from its obligations under this By-law, another By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury or the Film Permit to indemnify and release the City.
- (3) The Director of Asset Services shall advise a Permit Holder of his decision to revoke a Film Permit under this By-law and the reason for the refusal.

Permit - Withdrawal

- **27.-**(1) At any time prior to the issue or refusal of a Film Permit, the applicant may withdraw his application for a Film Permit.
- (2) Upon written request of the applicant, the Director of Asset Services, in his sole discretion, may issue a full or partial refund of any application fees after considering how much work has been executed in preparing to issue the Film Permit.

- 16 - 2015-xx 36 of 59

Film Permit - Security Deposit

- **28.-**(1) In addition to security required by other By-laws of the City of Greater Sudbury and any fees or charges for a Permit or required under any other By-law, an applicant for a Film Permit shall provide, as a condition to the Film Permit, security in the amount to be determined by the Director of Asset Services.
- (2) Security shall be provided by way of irrevocable letter of credit in a form acceptable to the City, certified cheque or cash.
- (3) The City shall release the Permit Holder's security where the Director of Assets determines that:
 - a) an application for a Film Permit is withdrawn;
 - b) the Filming Event has concluded, the Film Permit expired and the Permit Holder has complied with all of the requirements of the Film Permit to the satisfaction of the Director of Asset Services; or
 - c) the Filming Event has concluded, the Film Permit expired and after paying City fees and charges or costs for damages or expenses incurred by the City as a result of the Filming Event, there is a balance remaining on the security.
- (4) The City may draw on the security deposit to pay for City fees and charges or costs for damages or expenses incurred by the City as a result of the Filming Event.
- (5) If the Permit Holder fails to provide security in accordance with the terms of the Film Permit to the Director of Asset Services by the date and time determined by the Director of Asset Services in his sole discretion the Film Permit shall be void.

Permit – Offence – False Information

- **29.** No person applying for a Film Permit shall knowingly provide false information to the City.
- **30.** Where it is discovered or revealed that the Permit Holder or authorized representative has provided misleading or false information on the application for a Film Permit, the Film Permit shall be revoked by the Director of Asset Services and the Permit Holder shall immediately cease the Filming Event.

- 17 - 2015-xx 37 of 59

Refusal or Revocation - Hearing

- **31.-**(1) Subject to subsection (4), in the event that the applicant or Permit Holder is not satisfied with the Director of Asset Services's decision to refuse or revoke a Film Permit, the applicant is entitled to appeal the decision, in writing within 30 days of the Director of Asset Services's decision along with the fee prescribed in the City's User Fees By-law, to the Hearing Committee.
- (2) The Hearing Committee may make any decision that the Director of Asset Services may make under this By-law with respect to the revocation or refusal of a Film Permit.
 - (3) A decision of the Hearing Committee is final.

Permit - Expiry

- **32.** Notwithstanding the status of the Filming Event, a Film Permit shall expire at the date and time indicated on the Film Permit or on an extended or amended date and time as approved by the Director of Asset Services in accordance with this By-law.
- **33.** If an expiry date is not specified on the Film Permit, a Filming Event shall expire on the date of completion of the Filming Event authorized on the Permit.

Permit - Time - Valid

34. A Film Permit shall be valid for the period or periods of time stated on the Film Permit or for an extended or amended period as provided in accordance with sections 35 and 37 of this By-law.

Permit – Extension

- **35.-**(1) Where the Filming Event authorized under a Film Permit cannot be completed prior to the expiry date specified in the Film Permit, a Permit Holder may apply in writing to the Director of Asset Services, for an extension to the expiry date of the Film Permit.
 - (2) When applying for an extension, the Permit Holder shall:
 - (a) request an extension in writing from the Director of Asset Services, including:
 - (i) particulars of the need for the extension; and

- 18 - 2015-xx 38 of 59

- such other information and documentation as may be required by the Director of Asset Services to make the request for extension complete;
 and
- (b) pay a non-refundable Film Permit extension fee determined in accordance with the City's Miscellaneous User Fee By-law.
- (3) Requests for extensions may only be made after the issue of the Film Permit and no less than two business days prior to the expiry of the Film Permit.
- **36.** The Director of Asset Services has the same powers in relation to an application for an extension as he or she has when considering whether to issue or refuse a Permit application.

Permit – Amendment

- **37.-**(1) Where the activities associated with the Filming Event authorized under a Film Permit are modified, a Permit Holder shall apply in writing to the Director of Asset Services, for an amendment to the Film Permit.
 - (2) When applying for an amendment, the Permit Holder shall:
 - (a) request an amendment in writing from the Director of Asset Services including:
 - (i) particulars of the need for the extension; and
 - (ii) such other information and documentation as may be required by the Director of Asset Services to make the request for amendment complete; and
 - (b) Pay a non-refundable Film Permit amendment fee determined in accordance with the City's Miscellaneous User Fee By-law.
- (3) Requests for amendments to the Film Permit may be submitted after the issue of the Film Permit and no less than two business days prior to the expiry of the Film Permit.
- **38.-**(1) Subject to subsection (2), the Director of Asset Services has the same powers in relation to an application for an amendment as he or she has when considering whether to issue or refuse a Permit application.
- (2) The Director of Asset Services may issue an amendment only in respect of minor matters associated with a Film Permit and Film Permits shall not be amended to change the location of the Filming Event.

- 19 - 2015-xx 39 of 59

Permit - No Transfer

- 39.-(1) No Person shall transfer a Film Permit.
 - (2) No Person shall use a Film Permit for a Filming Event:
 - (a) at a location or in a building other than that for which the Film Permit was issued;or
 - (b) for a Filming Event other than that for which the Film Permit was issued.

Part 4 - Enforcement

- 40.-(1) This By-law may be enforced by a By-law Enforcement Officer.
- (2) Without limiting subsection (1), for the purpose of conducting an inspection to determine whether a Person is complying with a Film Permit, this By-law, or an order made under section 431 of the *Municipal Act, 2001* in respect of this Bylaw, a By-law Enforcement Officer may do any of the following:
 - (a) investigate;
 - (b) enter onto lands at a reasonable time;
 - require the production for inspection of documents or things relevant to the inspection;
 - inspect and remove documents or things relevant to the inspection for the purpose of making copies or extracts;
 - (e) require information from any Person concerning a matter related to the inspection; and
 - (f) alone or in conjunction with a person possessing special or expert knowledge, make examinations or take tests, samples, or photographs or visual recordings.
- **41.** No Person shall hinder or obstruct, or attempt to hinder or obstruct, a By-law Enforcement Officer exercising a power or performing a duty under this By-law.
- **42.** No Person required to produce documents, things or information by a By-law Enforcement Officer shall fail to respond forthwith.

- 20 - 2015-xx 40 of 59

Offence

43.-(1) Any:

- (a) Person who, or
- (b) director or officer who knowingly,

contravenes any of the provisions of this By-law or rules authorized by this By-law is guilty of an offence.

- (2) Any Person or director or officer committing an offence under this By-law is liable:
 - (a) on a first conviction to a fine of not more than \$5,000;
 - (b) on a second conviction to a fine of not more than \$10,000; and
 - (c) on a third and subsequent conviction to a fine of not more than \$100,000.
- (3) For the purposes of subsections (1) and (2), each day on which a Person contravenes any of the provisions of this By-law shall be deemed to constitute a separate offence under this By-law.
- (4) The levy and payment of any fine under this By-law shall not relieve a Person from the necessity of paying any costs or charges for which such Person is liable under this By-law.
- (5) When a Person has been convicted of an offence under this By-law, a By-law Enforcement Officer may issue an order, in addition to any other penalty imposed on the Person convicted, requiring the Person who contravened this By-law or the owner or occupier of the land on which the contravention occurred to do work to correct the contravention.
- (6) Where a Person fails to comply with an order issued pursuant to subsection (5), the work ordered may be done by the City at the Person's expense.

Prohibition Order

44. The City may, in addition to any other penalty imposed on the Person convicted, seek an order from the Ontario Court (Provincial Division) or any court of competent jurisdiction, prohibiting the continuation of the offence or doing of any act or thing by the Person convicted directed towards the continuation of the offence.

- 21 - 2015-xx 41 of 59

Recovery of Costs

45. An offence and subsequent conviction under this By-law pursuant to the *Provincial Offences Act* or the *Municipal Act*, *2001*, shall not be deemed in any way to preclude the City from issuing a separate legal proceeding to recover charges, costs and expenses incurred by the City and which may be recovered in a court of competent jurisdiction.

Debt

46. Any unpaid costs or charges levied upon a Person and any interest associated with such costs or charges shall be a debt owing by the Person to the City.

Part 5 – General

Intellectual Property and Privacy

- **47.-**(1) Subject to subsection (2) and section 48, nothing in this By-law or a Film Permit authorizes a Person to use <u>a Recording of an individual or Personal Information or City</u> or third party intellectual property, including trademarks, logos, or information subject to copyright, or confidential or proprietary information.
- (2) A Permit Holder may use an approved version of a City logo or the City's name in the credits or promotional materials of a Film Production that includes Recording from a Filming Event for which a Film Permit was issued.
- **48.-**(1) Subject to subsections (2) and (3), if a Recording from a Filming Event for which a Film Permit was issued contains images of City Property, a Permit Holder may publish the images of City Property.
- (2) The authorization to use the images described in subsection (1) is subject to the consent of any natural person whose Personal Information was recorded and it is the obligation of the Permit Holder to obtain such consent.
- (3) The authorization to use the images described in subsection (1) is subject to the consent of the Manager of Communications and French Language Services where such images contain signs, markers or materials which identify the intellectual property of the City of Greater Sudbury, including signs, logos or other materials.
- **49.** Nothing in this By-law or the Film Permit authorizes a Person to collect, use or disclose the Personal Information of any natural person.

- 22 - 2015-xx 42 of 59

Agreements - Locations Databases

50. Members of the Senior Management Team or their designates are delegated the authority to approve and execute agreements to include photographs of City Property in a location library database where the City Property is within the member's departmental or divisional responsibility.

Part 6 - General

Confidential Information

- **51.-**(1) The Director of Asset Services is authorized to collect Personal Information necessary for the purpose of administering this By-law.
- (2) All information submitted to and collected by the City in accordance with this By-law, shall, unless the City Clerk determines otherwise, be available for disclosure to the public in accordance with the *Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. M.56 (MFIPPA).
- (3) In the event that a Person submits information to the City in accordance with this By-law which such Person considers to be confidential, proprietary or exempt from disclosure under the MFIPPA, the Person submitting the information shall so identify that information upon its submission to the City or the Director of Asset Services and shall provide sufficient details as to the reason for its purported exemption from disclosure.

Administration

- **52.-**(1) This by-law shall be administered by the Director of Asset Services who is also delegated the authority to make all other decisions required of the Director of Asset Services under this By-law and to perform all administrative functions identified herein and those incidental to and necessary for the due administration of this by-law.
- (2) The Director of Asset Services may delegate, in writing and from time to time, the performance of any one or more of his or her functions under this By-law to one or more natural persons from time to time as the occasion requires but may impose conditions upon such delegation and may revoke any such delegation.

- 23 - 2015-xx 43 of 59

Authority – Director of Asset Services

- **53.** In addition to other powers as described in this By-law, the Director of Asset Services has the authority to:
 - (a) from time to time issue rules relating to the conduct of a Filming Event including but not limited to:
 - (i) the use of lighting;
 - (ii) the hours during which a Filming Event, including takedown and setup, may be conducted; and
 - (iii) conditions or limitations on Filming Events in particular areas of the City including but not limited to residential neighbourhoods and requiring notice to Persons in areas of the City;
 - (b) determine what constitutes Restricted Lands, Buildings or Areas; and
 - (c) from time to time, issue a Code of Conduct for Cast and Crew.

Severability / Conflict

- **54.** If any section, subsection, part or parts of this By-law is declared by any court of law to be bad, illegal or *ultra vires*, such section, subsection, paragraph, part or parts shall be deemed to be severable and all parts hereof are declared to be separate and independent and enacted as such.
- **55.** Subject to subsection (2), where a provision of this By-law conflicts with the provisions of another By-law, licence, permit, approval, agreement or other type of permission required, the provision of the other By-law, licence, permit, approval, agreement or other type of permission prevails.
- **56.** Nothing in this By-law relieves any Person from complying with any provision of any federal or provincial legislation or any other By-law of the City.

Short Title

57. This By-law shall be cited as the "Film By-law".

- 24 - 2015-xx 44 of 59

Repeal

58. By-law 2005-118 being a By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Adopt a Film Policy is repealed upon the coming into force of this By-law.


Enactment

59. This By-law shall come into force and effect on February 1, 2016.

Expiry

60. This By-law expires at the end of January 31, 2020.

Read and Passed in Open Council this xx day of xx, 2015.



- 25 - 2015-xx 45 of 59

By-law 2015-xx

A By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Amend By-law 2011-218 being a By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Road Occupancy including Road Cuts, Temporary Closures and Sidewalk Cafes

Whereas section 5(3) of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, S.O. 2001, c. 25, requires that a municipal power be exercised by by-law;

And Whereas on May 4, 2015, the Community Services Committee of the Council of the City of Greater Sudbury recommended and on May 26, 2015, City of Greater Sudbury Council approved a resolution directing staff to develop and amend appropriate by-laws in order to streamline regulation of filming on municipal property and update existing processes;

And Whereas for that purpose Council for the City of Greater Sudbury deems it advisable to amend By-law 2011-218, being a By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Road Occupancy including Road Cuts, Temporary Closures and Sidewalk Cafes to streamline regulation of filming on municipal property and update existing processes;

Now therefore the Council of the City of Greater Sudbury hereby enacts as follows:

Add Definition - "Filming Event"

- 1. By-law 2011-218 being a By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Road

 Occupancy including Road Cuts, Temporary Closures and Sidewalk Cafes, as amended, is

 further amended by inserting the following after the definition of "City" in section 1 and before
 the definition of "Council" in section 1:
 - <u>""Code of Conduct for Cast and Crew" means the Code of Conduct for Cast and Crew approved by the City of Greater Sudbury in accordance with the Film By-law"".</u>
- 2. By-law 2011-218 being a By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Road Occupancy including Road Cuts, Temporary Closures and Sidewalk Cafes, as amended, is further amended by inserting the following after the definition of "Deleterious Material" in section 1 and before the definition of "General Manager" in section 1:

"Film By-law" means By-law 2015- being A By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Filming Activity on City of Greater Sudbury Property and any successor by-law thereto:

- 1 - 2015-xx 46 of 59

"Filming Event" means Recording, except in a film studio or film laboratory, for a feature film, television film, television program or series, documentary, paid advertisement, including a commercial, music video, educational film, including the pre-production and post-production activities associated therewith, but does not include:

- (i) activities by news media related to the dissemination of information;
- (ii) location scouting; or
- (iii) recording personal movies or photographs;".

Add Definition – "Potentially Hazardous Activity"

- 3. By-law 2011-218 being a By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Road Occupancy including Road Cuts, Temporary Closures and Sidewalk Cafes, as amended, is further amended by inserting the following after the definition of Person in section 1 and before the definition of Public Works in section 1:
 - "Potentially Hazardous Activity" includes but is not limited to use of special effects, fire, fireworks, stunts or pyrotechnics;".

Add Definition - "Recording"

- **4.** By-law 2011-218 being a By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Road Occupancy including Road Cuts, Temporary Closures and Sidewalk Cafes, as amended, is further amended by inserting the following after the definition of Public Utility in section 1 and before the definition of Road Closure in section 1:
 - ""Recording" means filming, videotaping, photographing or any other form of visual recording;".

Additional Requirements - Filming Event

- 5. By-law 2011-218 being a By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Road Occupancy including Road Cuts, Temporary Closures and Sidewalk Cafes, as amended, is further amended by adding the following after paragraph (h) of subsection 6(1) and before section 7:
 - "(a) in the case of an application for a Road Occupancy Permit, Road Closure Permit or Box Occupancy Permit for the purpose of conducting a Filming Event also:
 - (i) provide particulars of the proposed Filming Event, including:

- 2 - 2015-xx 47 of 59

- (A) the production type of Filming Event;
- (B) a synopsis of the activities at the location and a detailed description of any proposed Potentially Hazardous Activity;
- (C) dates and times proposed for the Filming Event including setup and takedown, and, if postponed for any reason, alternative dates and times;
- (ii) provide a release, in a form and with content established by the General Manager, releasing the City from responsibility or liability in relation to the Filming Event;
- (iii) provide an indemnity, in a form and with content established by the General Manager, indemnifying and saving harmless the City from claims arising from the Film Event;
- (iv) file a copy of the crew list;
- (v) file a copy of the script for the Filming Event; and
- (vi) file evidence satisfactory to the General Manager that the Person filing the application is a duly authorized representative of the applicant."

Permit Deadline - Filming Event

- **6.** By-law 2011-218 being a By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Road Occupancy including Road Cuts, Temporary Closures and Sidewalk Cafes, as amended, is further amended by repealing section 8 and enacting in its place and stead:
 - ***8.-(1)** Every applicant for a Permit shall submit a fully complete application to the General Manager at least 10 working days, and in the case of an application for the purpose of conducting a Filming Event 4 working days, before the applicant proposes to start the road occupancy or road closure to be authorized by the Permit. The application is complete once all required information, documentation and fees have been submitted.
 - (2) The General Manger shall not be required to review or process any application for a Permit which is not complete at least 10 working days, and in the case of an application for the purpose of conducting a Filming Event 4 working days, before the proposed date for the road occupancy or the road closure."

- 3 - 2015-xx 48 of 59

General Delegation of Authority for the Purpose of Conducting a Filming Event

7. By-law 2011-218 being a By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Road
Occupancy including Road Cuts, Temporary Closures and Sidewalk Cafes, as amended, is
further amended by repealing section 11(1) and replacing it with the following:

"General Delegation of Authority

11.-(1) The General Manager is delegated the authority to:

- (a) establish such conditions for the issuance of a Permit as the General

 Manager determines to be necessary in the circumstances and, in the case of a

 Permit under this By-law for the purpose of conducting a Filming Event, establish
 such conditions for the issuance of a Film Permit as the Director of Asset

 Services is authorized to establish in the Film By-law.
- (b) make all decisions or determinations required of the General Manger under this By-law, and in the case of a Permit under this By-law for the purpose of conducting a Filming Event, consult with any person, consider any factors and make all decisions or determinations prescribed for the Director of Asset Services in the Film By-law; and
- (c) issue, refuse to issue, suspend or revoke a Permit as provided in this Bylaw and, in the case of a Permit under this By-law for the purpose of conducting a Filming Event, as provided in the Film By-law."

Filming Event - Conditions

8. By-law 2011-218 being a By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Road Occupancy including Road Cuts, Temporary Closures and Sidewalk Cafes, as amended, is further amended by inserting the following after subsection 26(2) and before subsection 27(1):

"Part 9.1 – Road Occupancy or Road Closure or Box Occupancy Permit – For the Purpose of Conducting a Filming Event - Conditions

26.1-(1) Without limiting the generality of subsection 11(1) and in addition to subsection 11(4), whether or not it is specified on the face of the Road Occupancy Permit, Road Closure Permit or Box Occupancy Permit issued for the purpose of conducting a Filming Event, it is a condition of every permit that:

- 4 - 2015-xx 49 of 59

- (a) an authorized representative of the Permit Holder shall be present during the Filming Event;
- (b) the Permit Holder shall ensure the safety and security of the location of the Filming Event;
- (c) the Film Permit does not authorize a Filming Event on private property without the consent of the property owner and it is the obligation of the Permit Holder to obtain such consent;
- (d) the Permit Holder shall ensure compliance with the Code of Conduct for Cast and Crew;
- the Permit Holder shall comply and ensure compliance with the rules authorized in accordance with this By-law;
- (f) the Permit Holder, its heirs, executors, administrators and assigns indemnifies and saves harmless the City, its elected and non-elected officials, employees, agents, servants and workmen from all causes of action, losses, costs, damages, charges, damages or expenses that may be incurred, sustained or paid by the City by reason of the granting of the Permit or reason of existence or operation of the Filming Event, and this indemnity shall survive the expiry of the Permit;
- the Permit Holder, its heirs, executors, administrators and assigns release the City, its elected and non-elected officials, employees, agents, servants and workmen from all causes of action, losses, costs, damages, charges or expenses that may be incurred, sustained or paid by the Permit Holder by reason of the granting of the Permit or reason of the existence, or operation of the Filming Event other than those actions, losses, costs, damages, charges or expenses that arose from the negligence, acts or omissions of the City and its its elected and non-elected officials, employees, agents, servants and workmen, and this release shall survive the expiry of the Permit;
- (h) the Permit Holder shall place and maintain in good standing during the effective period of the Film Permit and any extension:

- 5 - 2015-xx 50 of 59

- (i) a policy of comprehensive general liability insurance with an insurer licenced in the Province of Ontario:
 - (A) with limits of not less than two million (\$2,000,000) dollars per occurrence for bodily injury, death and damage to property including loss of use thereof;
 - (B) which names the City as an additional insured or as its interest appears; and
 - (C) which contains an endorsement to provide the City with thirty (30) days prior written notice of cancellation of the policy; and
- (ii) such other forms of insurance or such greater amounts of insurance as the General Manager may reasonably require in the form and amounts and for insurance risks against which a prudent party would insure, or such other forms or amounts of insurance as may be required by By-law; and
- the Filming Event shall be conducted in a manner that is minimally disruptive to businesses, residents and institutions;
- (2) Without limiting the generality of subsection 11(1)(a) and in addition to subsections 11(4) and 26.1(1), the General Manager may issue a Road Occupancy Permit, Road Closure Permit or Box Occupancy Permit for the purpose of conducting a Filming Event with one or more of the following conditions:
 - requiring the applicant to do or not to things that, in the General Manager's opinion, would reduce or eliminate adverse impacts associated with the Filming Event;
 - (b) requiring payment of a security deposit as provided herein; and
 - (c) the Permit Holder shall provide evidence of any of the following:
 - (i) the Permit Holder shall provide notice in the form and content to be determined by the General Manager to occupants, property owners, homes, businesses, institutions, organizations, boards of management of business improvement areas, business

- 6 - 2015-xx 51 of 59

- associations, neighbourhood associations or other Persons or groups as determined by the General Manager, and a copy of such notice shall be provided to the General Manager prior to commencement of the Filming Event;
- (ii) when a Filming Event takes place in a Business Improvement Area, that the Permit Holder has the support of the Board of Management; and
- (iii) that the Permit Holder has consulted with other Persons regarding matters identified by the General Manager including:
 - (A) other City divisions, departments or sections;
 - (B) Province of Ontario;
 - (C) Government of Canada;
 - (D) Greater Sudbury Police Service;
 - (E) Ontario Provincial Police Service;
 - (F) Union Gas;
 - (G) Ontario Hydro;
 - (H) Greater Sudbury Utilities Inc.;
 - (I) Railway Corporations; and
 - (J) Nickel District Conservation Authority.
- (3) If the Permit Holder fails to pay the security deposit or provide evidence satisfactory to the General Manager by the date and time determined by the General Manager in his sole discretion of fulfillment of the conditions described in subsection (2)(b), the Road Occupancy Permit, Road Closure Permit or Box Occupancy Permit issued for the purpose of conducting a Filming Event shall be void.
- **26.2-**(1) In addition to security required by other By-laws of the City of Greater Sudbury and any fees or charges for a Permit or required under any other By-law, an applicant for a Film Permit shall provide, as a condition to the Permit, security in the amount to be determined by the General Manager.

- 7 - 2015-xx 52 of 59

- (2) Security shall be provided by way of irrevocable letter of credit in a form acceptable to the City, certified cheque or cash.
 - (3) The City shall release the Permit Holder's security where:
 - (a) an application for a Film Permit is withdrawn;
 - (b) the Filming Event has concluded, the Film Permit expired and the Permit Holder has complied with all of the requirements of the Film Permit to the satisfaction of the General Manager; or
 - (c) the Filming Event has concluded, the Film Permit expired and after paying City fees and charges or costs for damages or expenses incurred by the City as a result of the Filming Event, there is a balance remaining on the security.
- (4) The City may draw on the security deposit to pay for City fees and charges or costs for damages or expenses incurred by the City as a result of the Filming Event.
- (5) If the Permit Holder fails to provide security in accordance with the terms of the Film Permit to the General Manager by the date and time determined by the General Manager in his sole discretion the Film Permit shall be void."

Enactment

_	T . C .					0040
•	. This Bv-law sha	I come into	torca and at	TACT ON	-anriiary 1	シハコム
	. IIIIS DV-IAW SIIA		IUIUE AIIU EI		i c biuaiv i	. 2010.

Expiry

8. This By-law expires at the end of January 31, 2020.

Read and Passed in Open Council this xx day of xx, 2015.

Mayo
Clark

- 8 - 2015-xx 53 of 59

By-law 2015-xx

A By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Amend By-law 2014-225 being a By-law of the City Of Greater Sudbury respecting the Delegation of Authority to Various Employees of the City

Whereas section 5(3) of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, S.O. 2001, c. 25, requires that a municipal power be exercised by by-law;

And Whereas on May 4, 2015, the Community Services Committee of the Council of the City of Greater Sudbury recommended and on May 26, 2015, City of Greater Sudbury Council approved a resolution directing staff to develop and amend appropriate by-laws in order to streamline regulation of filming on municipal property and update existing processes;

And Whereas for that purpose Council for the City of Greater Sudbury deems it advisable to amend By-law 2014-225 being a By-law of the City Of Greater Sudbury respecting the Delegation of Authority to Various Employees of the City;

Now therefore the Council of the City of Greater Sudbury hereby enacts as follows:

- 1. By-law 2014-225 being a By-law of the City Of Greater Sudbury respecting the Delegation of Authority to Various Employees of the City, as amended, is further amended by inserting the following after the definition of Executive Director Administrative Services / City Clerk and before the definition of Fire Chief in section 1:
 - ""Filming Event" means Recording, except in a film studio or film laboratory, for a feature film, television film, television program or series, documentary, paid advertisement, including a commercial, music video, educational film, including the preproduction and post-production activities associated therewith, but does not include:
 - i) activities by news media related to the dissemination of information;
 - ii) location scouting; or
 - iii) recording personal movies or photographs;".
- 2. By-law 2014-225 being a By-law of the City Of Greater Sudbury respecting the Delegation of Authority to Various Employees of the City, as amended, is further amended by inserting the following after the definition of Person in section 1 and before the definition of Senior Management Team in section 1:

- 1 - 2015-xx 54 of 59

- "Recording" means filming, videotaping, photographing or any other form of visual recording;".
- 3. By-law 2014-225 being a By-law of the City Of Greater Sudbury respecting the Delegation of Authority to Various Employees of the City, as amended, is further amended by repealing section 22 of Schedule A and enacting in its place and stead:
 - "22.(1) Subject to section 16 of Schedule G and section 11 of Schedule D, the Executive Director Administrative Services / City Clerk is authorized to grant exemptions from the application of, or permission under, City and Former Municipality by-laws regulating noise."
- **4.** By-law 2014-225 being a By-law of the City Of Greater Sudbury respecting the Delegation of Authority to Various Employees of the City, as amended, is further amended by inserting the following after section 10 in Schedule D and before Schedule E:

"Noise By-law Exemptions - Filming Events

- **11.**-(1) The General Manager of Growth and Development is authorized to grant exemptions from, or permission under, City and Former Municipality by-laws regulating noise for Filming Events and such exemptions or permissions may include conditions to be determined by the General Manager of Growth and Development.
- (2) Applications for an exemption issued pursuant to subsection 1 shall be filed with the General Manager of Growth and Development no less than two weeks prior to the Filming Event."

Enactment

5.	This By-law sha	III come into	force and effect	on <u>February 1</u> , 201 <u>6</u> .
Expiry				

6. This By-law expires at the end of January 31, 2020.

Read and Passed in Open Council this xx day of xx, 2015.

Mayor
 Clerk

- 2 - 2015-xx 55 of 59

By-law 2015-xx

A By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Amend By-law 2010-1 being A By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Traffic and Parking in the City of Greater Sudbury

Whereas section 5(3) of the *Municipal Act*, 2001, S.O. 2001, c. 25, requires that a municipal power be exercised by by-law;

And Whereas on May 4, 2015, the Community Services Committee of the Council of the City of Greater Sudbury recommended and on May 26, 2015, City of Greater Sudbury Council approved a resolution directing staff to develop and amend appropriate by-laws in order to streamline regulation of filming on municipal property and update existing processes;

And Whereas for that purpose Council for the City of Greater Sudbury deems it advisable to amend By-law 2010-1 being A By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Traffic and Parking in the City of Greater Sudbury, as amended;

Now therefore the Council of the City of Greater Sudbury hereby enacts as follows:

- **1.** By-law 2010-1 being A By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury to Regulate Traffic and Parking in the City of Greater Sudbury, as amended, is further amended by repealing subsection 4(19) and enacting in its place and stead:
 - "(19) No person shall park a trailer on any highway unless:
 - (a) it is attached to a motor vehicle; or
 - (b) parking the trailer is authorized under a permit issued pursuant to By-law 2011-218 being a By-law of the City of Greater Sudbury To Regulate Road Occupancy including Road Cuts, Temporary Closures and Sidewalk Cafes or any successor by-law thereto."

Enactment

3.(1) This By-law shall come into force and effect on February 1, 2016.

Expiry

4. This By-law expires at the end of January 31, 2020.

Read and Passed in Open Council this xx day of xx, 2015.

_	Mayoı
<u>-</u>	Clerk
- 1 -	2015-xx



City of Greater Sudbury Charter

WHEREAS Municipalities are governed by the Ontario Municipal Act, 2001;

AND WHEREAS the City of Greater Sudbury has established Vision, Mission and Values that give direction to staff and City Councillors;

AND WHEREAS City Council and its associated boards are guided by a Code of Ethics, as outlined in Appendix B of the City of Greater Sudbury's Procedure Bylaw, most recently updated in 2011;

AND WHEREAS the City of Greater Sudbury official motto is "Come, Let Us Build Together," and was chosen to celebrate our city's diversity and inspire collective effort and inclusion;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council for the City of Greater Sudbury approves, adopts and signs the following City of Greater Sudbury Charter to complement these guiding principles:

As Members of Council, we hereby acknowledge the privilege to be elected to the City of Greater Sudbury Council for the 2014-2018 term of office. During this time, we pledge to always represent the citizens and to work together always in the interest of the City of Greater Sudbury.

Accordingly, we commit to:

- Perform our roles, as defined in the Ontario Municipal Act (2001), the City's bylaws and City policies;
- Act with transparency, openness, accountability and dedication to our citizens, consistent with the City's Vision, Mission and Values and the City official motto;
- Follow the Code of Ethical Conduct for Members of Council, and all City policies that apply to Members of Council;
- Act today in the interest of tomorrow, by being responsible stewards of the City, including its finances, assets, services, public places, and the natural environment;
- Manage the resources in our trust efficiently, prudently, responsibly and to the best of our ability;
- Build a climate of trust, openness and transparency that sets a standard for all the City's goals and objectives;
- Always act with respect for all Council and for all persons who come before us;
- Ensure citizen engagement is encouraged and promoted;
- Advocate for economic development, encouraging innovation, productivity and job creation;
- Inspire cultural growth by promoting sports, film, the arts, music, theatre and architectural excellence;
- Respect our historical and natural heritage by protecting and preserving important buildings, landmarks, landscapes, lakes and water bodies;
- Promote unity through diversity as a characteristic of Greater Sudbury citizenship;
- Become civic and regional leaders by encouraging the sharing of ideas, knowledge and experience;
- Work towards achieving the best possible quality of life and standard of living for all Greater Sudbury residents;



Charte de la Ville du Grand Sudbury

ATTENDU QUE les municipalités sont régies par la Loi de 2001 sur les municipalités (Ontario);

ATTENDU QUE la Ville du Grand Sudbury a élaboré une vision, une mission et des valeurs qui guident le personnel et les conseillers municipaux;

ATTENDU QUE le Conseil municipal et ses conseils sont guidés par un code d'éthique, comme l'indique l'annexe B du Règlement de procédure de la Ville du Grand Sudbury dont la dernière version date de 2011;

ATTENDU QUE la devise officielle de la Ville du Grand Sudbury, « Ensemble, bâtissons notre avenir », a été choisie afin de célébrer la diversité de notre municipalité ainsi que d'inspirer un effort collectif et l'inclusion;

QU'IL SOIT RÉSOLU QUE le Conseil de la Ville du Grand Sudbury approuve et adopte la charte suivante de la Ville du Grand Sudbury, qui sert de complément à ces principes directeurs, et qu'il y appose sa signature:

À titre de membres du Conseil, nous reconnaissons par la présente le privilège d'être élus au Conseil du Grand Sudbury pour le mandat de 2014-2018. Durant cette période, nous promettons de toujours représenter les citoyens et de travailler ensemble, sans cesse dans l'intérêt de la Ville du Grand Sudbury.

Par conséquent, nous nous engageons à :

- assumer nos rôles tels qu'ils sont définis dans la Loi de 2001 sur les municipalités, les règlements et les politiques de la Ville;
- faire preuve de transparence, d'ouverture, de responsabilité et de dévouement envers les citoyens, conformément à la vision, à la mission et aux valeurs ainsi qu'à la devise officielle de la municipalité;
- suivre le Code d'éthique des membres du Conseil et toutes les politiques de la municipalité qui s'appliquent à eux;
- agir aujourd'hui pour demain en étant des intendants responsables de la municipalité, y compris de ses finances, biens, services, endroits publics et du milieu naturel;
- gérer les ressources qui nous sont confiées de façon efficiente, prudente, responsable et de notre mieux;
- créer un climat de confiance, d'ouverture et de transparence qui établit une norme pour tous les objectifs de la municipalité;
- agir sans cesse en respectant tous les membres du Conseil et les gens se présentant devant eux;
- veiller à ce qu'on encourage et favorise l'engagement des citoyens;
- plaider pour le développement économique, à encourager l'innovation, la productivité et la création d'emplois;
- être une source d'inspiration pour la croissance culturelle en faisant la promotion de l'excellence dans les domaines du sport, du cinéma, des arts, de la musique, du théâtre et de l'architecture;
- respecter notre patrimoine historique et naturel en protégeant et en préservant les édifices, les lieux d'intérêt, les paysages, les lacs et les plans d'eau d'importance;
- favoriser l'unité par la diversité en tant que caractéristique de la citoyenneté au Grand Sudbury;
- devenir des chefs de file municipaux et régionaux en favorisant les échanges d'idées, de connaissances et concernant l'expérience;
- viser l'atteinte de la meilleure qualité et du meilleur niveau de vie possible pour tous les résidents du Grand Sudbury.