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Dear Mr. Guillot:

## Subject: Proposed New Elementary School MR 80, Val Therese Traffic Impact Study Final Report

We are pleased to submit our final Traffic Impact Study report dealing with your proposed new elementary school and day care centre on MR 80 in Val Therese. The school replaces three existing elementary schools in the Val Therese/Hanmer area.
While our technical analysis has shown that according to current Ontario (MTO) standards traffic signals are not warranted at the school entrance, it is our opinion that the school cannot function safely at this site without traffic signals. If the school is to be located at this site, we recommend full traffic signals on MR 80 to serve the school and Shirley Avenue.
The report also contains several recommendations implementing the City's policies on Active Transportation, including infrastructure improvements to encourage/facilitate walking, biking, transit and ridesharing.

It has been a pleasure assisting you with this project.
Yours truly,


Toivo Rukholm, P.Eng.
Tranplan Associates
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND
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### 2.0 PRINCIPAL FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
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2.2] MR 80/Shirley Avenue Intersection]

## Existing Traffic Conditions
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## Collision History
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## 2024 Background Traffic
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### 2.3 Forecasts of Traffic by New School]
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2.4 Directional Orientation of the New School Traffic $]$
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### 2.5 Impact of New School Traffic on MR 80/Shirley Intersection]

 LQAUMFURQIIT\$ WXP LQU66 72 3MMJQUIDFQUI6 KLLOM I\$ YHQXHDQGNKHVFKRRO













 SHGMMNDQNm6 RP HIRIP RRIMJQDQ HGNDIIFIFRQNROVIQHFHMDU [UMNHMFKRRO IVIFREHITRFDAHGDVXKXHSLRSRMHGMUAII
[

### 2.6 Alternative Forms of Signalized Controll
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### 2.7 Intersection Pedestrian Signals (IPS)
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### 2.8 Full Traffic Signals
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### 2.9 Conclusion with Respect to Signalization
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### 2.10 Active Transportation








### 2.11 Sidewalks/Footpaths/Bike Paths

The following sidewalks/footpaths/bike paths are recommended:
i) Pedestrian walkways (raised sidewalks or paths removed from vehicular driveways) from all building entrances to a main raised sidewalk along the main driveway leading to MR 80
ii) A safe raised pedestrian holding area on Shirley Avenue on the east side of MR 80
iii) Bicycle path(s) from MR 80 to bike racks near the school entrance(s).
iv) A paved pedestrian/bike path on the west side of MR 80 (removed from the vehicular roadway) from the school driveway to Jeanne d'Arc Street.
v) A high level of winter maintenance on all of the above.

### 2.12 MR 80 Crossing

In addition to traffic signals, it is recommended that a school crossing guard should be on duty for pupils crossing MR 80 at Shirley

### 2.13 Vehicle/Pedestrian Conflicts on Site

To make walking/biking as attractive as possible and to maximize safety, the site plan should minimize/eliminate conflicts between vehicular traffic and pedestrian/bike traffic on school property. Pedestrians and bicyclists should be able to get from MR 80 to the school preferably without having to cross any automobile/bus traffic/driveways. The proposed site plan requires pedestrians/bicyclists to cross the parent drop-off parking lot on the east side of the school. Pupil safety and convenience would be improved if the east side parking lot were relocated to the west side of the school. If the east side parking lot is retained as proposed, it is recommended that the pedestrian crossing be a raised platform across the parking lot.
2.14 Bike Racks

Convenient and secure bike storage should be provided at all relevant school entrances.

### 2.15 Bus Shelters

In order to enhance the appeal of taking transit, the School Board should work with the City to provide bus shelters at the bus stops on MR 80 .

### 2.16 Priority Parking for Ridesharers

In order to encourage ridesharing, the most desirable parking should be reserved for rideshare participants.
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### 3.2 Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes]
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### 3.3 Existing Level of Service]




## a) Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
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b) 2026 Peak Hour Background Traffic Volumes
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NOTE: Not to scale

Exhibit 3.1
Existing and Projected 2026 Background Traffic Volumes






### 3.4 Collision Statistics
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## 4. Traffic Forecasts
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### 4.1 Background Traffic Growth
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### 4.2 Site Traffic
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Table 4．1：COMPARISON OF TRIP GENERATION DATA SOURCES
ITE RATES vs OBSERVED LOCAL VOLUMES

| LAND USE | WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR |  |  |  | WEEKDAY SCHOOL PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |  | WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR（4－6 PM） |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ITE Trip Generation Rate <br>  LO DQXDOTI UKC GURQ | Vehicle Trips |  |  | ITE TG Rate <br>  ［0 DQXDOWI VKZ（ GURQ | Vehicle Trips |  |  | ITE Trip Generation Rate IIm，7（ 77 USI＊＊HOHDURQ 10 DQXDOTI I KAC GMRQ | Vehicle Trips |  |  |
|  |  | 17RVDOTII，Q |  | mim xM |  | 17R180］mili， Q |  | mim $\times 1$ |  | 17R100 | （mi，Q | mim $2 \times$ |
|  |  WZ KHHITO IYHIFOHWSV <br>  <br>  WZ KHHT7 IMHKIFOIMSV <br>  | $0$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 000 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 000 \\ 00 \\ 000 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}\right.$ |  WZ KHHITI CYHEIFOHNSV <br>  I（ MPP DAMG | ロ० <br> 0 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} 0.00 \\ 00 \\ 000 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | 77ロ ாロロா； 0 WZ KHHTII IMHIFORWUSV <br>  <br>  IZ KHH7ㅁ IMHKIFOTNUSV <br>  | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 0.00 \\ 00 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 00 \\ 0.0 \\ 0 . \end{gathered}$ |
| TOTAL using ITE rates |  | 274 | 150 | 125 |  | 168 | 77 | 91 |  | 143 | 69 | 74 |
| St Joseph＋Notre Dame + Val Therese ШМस | $\left[\begin{array}{l} \square \\ \square \\ \square \end{array}\right.$ | 260 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 141 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 119 \end{gathered}$ | $\square$ | 201 | $\begin{gathered} \text { प० } \\ 93 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 미 } \\ 108 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| Ecole Jean Paul II 436 SXSL0 WIFP SDIDEGIVFKRRQQ9 DO\＆DURQ | $\{$ | 264 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 169 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { वा० } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\square$ | 202 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 83 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 119 \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | 59 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 39 \end{gathered}$ |
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### 4.3 Orientation of the Site Traffic
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## Table 4.2: ESTIMATED TRIP GENERATION BY PROPOSED NEW VAL THERESE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

|  | WEEKDAY AM PK HR |  |  | SCHOOL PM PK HR |  |  | STREET PM PK HR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vehicle Trips |  |  | Vehicle Trips |  |  | Vehicle Trips |  |  |
|  | [7RUDO | mm, Q | m2 XW | 47RIDO | mi,Q | mim $2 \times$ W | 17RLDO | யा®,Q | mi2 XW |
| Ecole Jean Paul II  <br> WIIPP SDDEOIMFKRRQQ9 DO\&DRQ 436 SXSLQ | 264 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 169 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { प०० } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | 202 | $\begin{gathered} \text { प०० } \\ 83 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { प०ा } \\ & 119 \end{aligned}$ | 59 | [10 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㄴ․ } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ |
| UT USVIE IVFKRRCEXY-N <br> TI ( WAP DAHGMUSVEE TMDII <br> TI KPP DHGGIUSVIE ISDIHQND IRRXHW | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 00 \\ 000 \end{array}$ | 00 00 00 | $\begin{gathered} 00 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \square \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | 00 0 00 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \square \\ 0 \square \end{gathered}$ | $\square$ 0 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 00 \\ 0 \square \end{gathered}$ |
| Differences between Jean Paul II and proposed New School |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | 0 | 0 | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
|  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BD} \\ \square \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00 \\ 00 \\ 00 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 00 \end{gathered}$ |
| Proposed New School <br> Val Therese $570 \stackrel{\square}{\mathrm{SXSLQ}}$ | 297 | $181$ | $117$ | 240 | $103$ | $137$ | 62 | $23$ | - 39 |
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### 4.4 Total Traffic
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Exhibit 4.1
Projected Site Traffic and 2026 Total Traffic Volumes

Proposed New CSCNO Elementary School, MR 80 - Traffic Impact Study

## 5. Capacity Analysis





 ©VHHExhibits 4.1cIDQG4.1dTIIT
 UHSRUNDHHLQ\$SSHPa! Rem

### 5.1 Existing Conditions]
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### 5.2 2026 Background Traffic








Table 5．1：Summary of Intersection Analysis
MR 80 ／Shirley Avenue
Synchro Software HCM Report＊

| Intersection | $2019$ <br> Existing Conditions |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2026 \\ \text { Background } \\ \text { Traffic** } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 2026 Background Traffic <br> ＋New School＊＊＊ <br> Unsignalized |  |  |  | 2026 Background Traffic ＋New School Signalized |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 126 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I HOD } \\ & \text { IMFD } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4०००० } \\ \text { ПPए } \end{gathered}$ | 126 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I HDD } \\ & \text { IMFD } \end{aligned}$ | YIF |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \square^{\prime} \mathrm{HDD} \\ & \text { पMFD } \end{aligned}$ | YIF | 400 ロ P ■ |  |  | YIF | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4०००ाँ } \\ \text { वРए } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { AM pk hr } & \square(\% / / \\ & \square(\% 5 \\ & \square: \% 75 \\ & \square 1 \% \\ & \square 1 \% 75 \\ & \square 6 \% \\ & \boxed{0} \% 75 \end{array}$ | C |  |  |  | C A |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { F } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | ㄴ․․ <br> १०ा <br> १०ा <br> ロロा <br> ［ <br> ロロ <br> ［ |  | 미 <br> ロ円 <br> 미 <br> ロロ <br> － <br> ロロ <br> ［ | D B D A A A A |  |  |  |
| PM pk hr （ $(\%$／$/$ <br> （street peak） $0(\% 5$ <br>  $0: \% 75$ <br>  $01 \%$ <br>  $01 \% 75$ <br>  $06 \%$ <br>  $06 \% 75$ | E | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | F |  |  |  | E <br> B <br> F <br> A <br> B | १०ा <br> १०ा <br> ロ००ा <br> ロロ <br> ［ <br> ロロा <br> ［ |  |  | D B D A A A A | १०ा <br> १०ा <br> 민 <br> 미 <br> प 1 <br> पा <br> 미 |  | १०ा <br> ロ <br> १०ा <br> ロ <br> १०ा <br> ロ <br> ロッा |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { PM pk hr } & \square(\% \text { / } \\ \text { (school peak) } \\ \square(\% 5 \\ & \square: \% 75 \\ & \square 1 \% \\ & \square 1 \% 75 \\ & \square 6 \% \\ & \square 6 \% 75 \end{array}$ |  | $\square$ 0 0 0 0 0 $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \square \\ & \square \\ & 0 \\ & \square \\ & \square \\ & \square \\ & 0 \\ & \square \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | F <br> B <br> F <br> A <br> B | ロッロा <br> ロ०ा <br> ロロा <br> ロロ <br> － <br> ロロा <br> ［ |  | १०ा <br> ロロ <br> १०ा <br> ロ <br> ［ <br> ロロ <br> ［ | D B C A A A A | १०ा <br> ㅁำ <br> 민 <br> 니 <br> 니 <br> — 1 I <br> पा |  |  |

（
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### 5.3 2026 with New School Traffic
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## 6. Analysis of the Need for Signalization



 \%RDGIVISRMLIRQIINKA-IIRGRZ LQJIDQDOV-MIKDYHEHHQFDUUHGRXVV
 [ 7 IDIIFU6 LJQDOZ DUDQN.
 [ WDIILI
-] SRIAQNDOMDUDNRQLQNKHGULHFNRQRIIDSSIRDFK]

- $] \quad$ SRUAQNDOYDUDNRQLQUNKHSHGHMVDQDQGEINQJIYRQP HMD

[ RICQRQVFKRRONDIIFNARNKHMJJQDQ HGLQAAXHFMRQI


 - W\&HQHHGIRUMJQDQ DMRQI


### 6.1 Ontario (MTO) Traffic Signal Warrants
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Table 6.1 Hourly Traffic Volumes Through MR 80 / Shirley Avenue Intersection
a) Existing Traffic Volumes 2019

| TIME | MR 80 SB |  |  | Shirley Ave WB |  |  |  | MR 80 NB |  |  | School Drway EB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RT | Thru | LT | RT | Thru | LT | Peds* | RT | Thru | LT | RT | Thru | LT |
| 6:00-7:00 |  | 604 | 0 | 1 |  | 27 | 0 | 3 | 134 |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00-8:00 |  | 927 | 1 | 4 |  | 21 | 0 | 5 | 310 |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00-9:00 |  | 731 | 3 | 1 |  | 23 | 0 | 9 | 373 |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00-12:00 |  | 512 | 3 | 3 |  | 13 | 0 | 8 | 514 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00-1:00 |  | 557 | 3 | 5 |  | 18 | 0 | 14 | 547 |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00-3:00 |  | 566 | 5 | 3 |  | 17 | 1 | 22 | 655 |  |  |  |  |
| 3:00-4:00 |  | 572 | 2 | 6 |  | 15 | 0 | 26 | 852 |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00-5:00 |  | 522 | 3 | 4 |  | 23 | 1 | 39 | 1109 |  |  |  |  |
| 5:00-6:00 |  | 474 | 2 | 5 |  | 13 | 0 | 48 | 964 |  |  |  |  |

Note *: Pedestrians crossing MR 80 in both directions on both sides of Shirley Avenue.
b ) Projected Background Traffic Volumes 2026

| TIME | MR 80 SB |  |  | Shirley Ave WB |  |  |  | MR 80 NB |  |  | School Drway EB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RT | Thru | LT | RT | Thru | LT | Peds* | RT | Thru | LT | RT | Thru | LT |
| 6:00-7:00 |  | 670 | 0 | 1 |  | 30 | 0 | 3 | 149 |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00-8:00 |  | 1029 | 1 | 4 |  | 23 | 0 | 6 | 344 |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00-9:00 |  | 811 | 3 | 1 |  | 26 | 0 | 10 | 414 |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00-12:00 |  | 568 | 3 | 3 |  | 14 | 0 | 9 | 571 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00-1:00 |  | 618 | 3 | 6 |  | 20 | 0 | 16 | 607 |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00-3:00 |  | 628 | 6 | 3 |  | 19 | 1 | 24 | 727 |  |  |  |  |
| 3:00-4:00 |  | 635 | 2 | 7 |  | 17 | 0 | 29 | 946 |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00-5:00 |  | 579 | 3 | 4 |  | 26 | 1 | 43 | 1231 |  |  |  |  |
| 5:00-6:00 |  | 526 | 2 | 6 |  | 14 | 0 | 53 | 1070 |  |  |  |  |

c) New School Traffic

| TIME | MR 80 SB |  |  | Shirley Ave WB |  |  |  | MR 80 NB |  |  | School Drway EB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RT | Thru | LT | RT | Thru | LT | Peds* | RT | Thru | LT | RT | Thru | LT |
| 6:00-7:00 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 39 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 2 |  |  | 31 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 78 |  |  |  | 16 |  | 36 |  |  | 62 | 50 | 12 | 62 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 11 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  | 9 | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| 12:00-1:00 | 8 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 6 | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 20 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 13 |  |  | 16 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 36 |  |  |  | 7 |  | 28 |  |  | 29 | 63 | 16 | 78 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 18 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 2 |  |  | 15 | 20 | 5 | 25 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 3 | 11 | 3 | 13 |

d) 2026 Total Traffic

| TIME | MR 80 SB |  |  | Shirley Ave WB |  |  |  | MR 80 NB |  |  | School Drway EB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RT | Thru | LT | RT | Thru | LT | Peds* | RT | Thru | LT | RT | Thru | LT |
| 6:00-7:00 | 0 | 670 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 3 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 39 | 1029 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 23 | 2 | 6 | 344 | 31 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 78 | 811 | 3 | 1 | 16 | 26 | 36 | 10 | 414 | 62 | 50 | 12 | 62 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 11 | 568 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 9 | 571 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| 12:00-1:00 | 8 | 618 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 16 | 607 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 20 | 628 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 19 | 14 | 24 | 727 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 36 | 635 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 28 | 29 | 946 | 29 | 63 | 16 | 78 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 18 | 579 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 26 | 3 | 43 | 1231 | 15 | 20 | 5 | 25 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 3 | 526 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 53 | 1070 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 13 | DQGSHGMMEDQYREP HNI

### 6.2 School Traffic Sensitivity Analysis $\square$
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> TABLE 6.2
> PROPOSED NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MR 80 at SHIRLEY AVENUE, VAL THERESE SIGNAL WARRANT SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

|  | Warrant 1* Total Traffic | Warrant 2* Delay to Cross Traffic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projected 2026 Total Traffic <br>  | 55\% | 80\% |
| Sensitivity Test No. 1 mm6 FKRRONDIIIEMDI6 KLIOA I\$ YHQXHGRXEOGG | 58\% | 84\% |
| Sensitivity Test No. 2 <br>  | 56\% | 84\% |
| Sensitivity Test No. 3 <br>  | 56\% | 83\% |
| Adjustments No.1, No. 2 and No. 3 Combined | 58\% | 89\% |
| Sensitivity Test No. 4 - Non-School Traffic <br>  | 62\% | 92\% |
| Sensitivity Test No. 5 - Non-School Traffic <br>  | 68\% | 97\% |
|  шயயسயா |  |  |

### 6.3 Non-School Traffic Sensitivity Analysis]
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### 6.4 Ontario (MTO) Intersection Pedestrian Signals (IPS)
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### 6.5 Site Inspection
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### 6.6 Potential Impact of Traffic Signals on Background Traffic]
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## 7 Active Transportation
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### 7.1 Sidewalks/Footpaths/Bike Paths $\mathbb{W}$



 ZHMKMGHRI' XJDVV6 WHHNVQNKHMFQQWIRIT-HDQQHG\$LF6 WHHN
 H SHFHGMFRZ DOIIRUEINIINRVFKRROIT KH IZ LOHGMDIHMG-Z DOM
 WDIIZ LODORIZ DQWMRZ DOIMFVFKRROQGMAHHMKRXGFEHMG-Z DOMIRRIBDNAV
 IRUDIZ DOIDQGDINXIDEEOMG-Z DOUIIRRIBDNKILVGGMLDEOIT
 UHFPP P HQGHGDVSURUWHNIRUM\&HVFKRRO
i) Pedestrian walkways (raised sidewalks or paths removed from vehicular driveways) from all building entrances to a main raised sidewalk along the main driveway leading to MR 80
ii) A safe raised pedestrian holding area on Shirley Avenue on the east side of MR 80
iii) Bicycle path(s) from MR 80 to bike racks near the school entrance(s).
iv) A paved pedestrian/bike path on the west side of MR 80 (removed from the vehicular roadway) from the school driveway to Jeanne d'Arc Street.
v) A high level of winter maintenance will need to be put in place possibly with shared responsibility between the City and the School Board.

### 7.2 MR 80 Crossing

MR 80 is a straight wide open roadway with high vehicular speeds. In addition to traffic signals, a school crossing guard should be on duty for pupils crossing MR 80 at Shirley

### 7.3 Vehicle/Pedestrian Conflicts on Site

To make walking/biking as attractive as possible and maximize safety, the site plan should minimize/eliminate conflicts between vehicular traffic and pedestrian/bike traffic on school property. Pedestrians and bicyclists should desirably be able to get from MR 80 to the school without having to cross any automobile/bus traffic/driveways.

The proposed site plan requires pedestrians/bicyclists to cross the parent drop-off parking lot on the east side of the school. This conflict between pedestrians and vehicles could be eliminated if the parking lot was relocated to the west side of the school. If the parking lot remains on the east side. pedestrian safety would be improved by making the crossing a raised platform
(i.e. the crossing at sidewalk level with ramps on the approaches for vehicular traffic).

### 7.4 Bike Racks

Convenient and secure bike storage should be provided at all relevant school entrances.

### 7.5 Bus Shelters

In order to enhance the appeal of taking transit, the School Board should work with the City to provide bus shelters at the bus stops on MR 80.

### 7.6 Priority Parking for Ridesharers

In order to encourage ridesharing, the most desirable parking spaces should be reserved for rideshare participants.

## APPENDIX A

## Traffic Count Data provided by City Traffic Office

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services
Count Name: MR 80 at Shirley 1800 Frobisher Street
PO Box 5000, STN A
Avenue
Site Code:
Start Date: 10/03/2019
705-674-4455
Page No: 1

| Start Time | Turning Movement Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MR 80 <br> Northbound |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MR 80 <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Shirley Avenue <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 2:00 PM | 135 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 137 | 0 | 141 | 281 |
| 2:15 PM | 161 | 3 | 0 | 164 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 153 | 0 | 161 | 332 |
| 2:30 PM | 131 | 1 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 176 | 0 | 182 | 319 |
| 2:45 PM | 139 | 1 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 189 | 0 | 193 | 336 |
| Hourly Total | 566 | 5 | 0 | 571 | 3 | 17 | 1 | 20 | 22 | 655 | 0 | 677 | 1268 |
| 3:00 PM | 119 | 1 | 0 | 120 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 172 | 0 | 176 | 301 |
| 3:15 PM | 164 | 1 | 0 | 165 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 211 | 0 | 217 | 390 |
| 3:30 PM | 149 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 243 | 0 | 251 | 405 |
| 3:45 PM | 140 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 226 | 0 | 234 | 377 |
| Hourly Total | 572 | 2 | 0 | 574 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 26 | 852 | 0 | 878 | 1473 |
| 4:00 PM | 132 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 267 | 0 | 272 | 409 |
| 4:15 PM | 122 | 1 | 0 | 123 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 249 | 0 | 257 | 391 |
| 4:30 PM | 142 | 1 | 0 | 143 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 297 | 0 | 307 | 454 |
| 4:45 PM | 126 | 1 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 296 | 0 | 312 | 446 |
| Hourly Total | 522 | 3 | 0 | 525 | 4 | 23 | 1 | 27 | 39 | 1109 | 0 | 1148 | 1700 |
| 5:00 PM | 106 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 290 | 0 | 301 | 413 |
| 5:15 PM | 151 | 2 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 245 | 0 | 261 | 417 |
| 5:30 PM | 112 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 227 | 0 | 238 | 356 |
| 5:45 PM | 105 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 202 | 0 | 212 | 320 |
| Hourly Total | 474 | 2 | 0 | 476 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 48 | 964 | 0 | 1012 | 1506 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *** BREAK *** | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:00 AM | 124 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 151 |
| 6:15 AM | 151 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 187 |
| 6:30 AM | 163 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 37 | 0 | 39 | 211 |
| 6:45 AM | 166 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 45 | 0 | 46 | 220 |
| Hourly Total | 604 | 0 | 0 | 604 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 134 | 0 | 137 | 769 |
| 7:00 AM | 228 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 51 | 0 | 52 | 284 |
| 7:15 AM | 248 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 57 | 0 | 59 | 314 |
| 7:30 AM | 263 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 89 | 0 | 91 | 362 |
| 7:45 AM | 188 | 1 | 0 | 189 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 113 | 308 |
| Hourly Total | 927 | 1 | 0 | 928 | 4 | 21 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 310 | 0 | 315 | 1268 |
| 8:00 AM | 217 | 1 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 63 | 0 | 65 | 288 |
| 8:15 AM | 182 | 1 | 0 | 183 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 102 | 0 | 104 | 298 |
| 8:30 AM | 170 | 0 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 100 | 0 | 104 | 281 |
| 8:45 AM | 162 | 1 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 108 | 0 | 109 | 273 |
| Hourly Total | 731 | 3 | 0 | 734 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 373 | 0 | 382 | 1140 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *** BREAK *** | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:00 AM | 126 | 2 | 0 | 128 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 113 | 0 | 114 | 246 |
| 11:15 AM | 129 | 1 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 126 | 0 | 129 | 262 |
| 11:30 AM | 123 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 120 | 0 | 122 | 250 |
| 11:45 AM | 134 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 155 | 0 | 157 | 295 |
| Hourly Total | 512 | 3 | 0 | 515 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 514 | 0 | 522 | 1053 |
| 12:00 PM | 148 | 2 | 0 | 150 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 132 | 0 | 134 | 289 |
| 12:15 PM | 143 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 146 | 0 | 147 | 296 |
| 12:30 PM | 138 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 134 | 0 | 142 | 285 |
| 12:45 PM | 128 | 1 | 0 | 129 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 135 | 0 | 138 | 274 |
| Hourly Total | 557 | 3 | 0 | 560 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 23 | 14 | 547 | 0 | 561 | 1144 |
| Grand Total | 5465 | 22 | 0 | 5487 | 32 | 170 | 2 | 202 | 174 | 5458 | 0 | 5632 | 11321 |
| Approach \% | 99.6 | 0.4 | - | - | 15.8 | 84.2 | - | - | 3.1 | 96.9 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 48.3 | 0.2 | - | 48.5 | 0.3 | 1.5 | - | 1.8 | 1.5 | 48.2 | - | 49.7 | - |
| Lights | 5128 | 20 | - | 5148 | 30 | 166 | - | 196 | 167 | 5160 | $-$ | 5327 | 10671 |
| \% Lights | 93.8 | 90.9 | - | 93.8 | 93.8 | 97.6 | - | 97.0 | 96.0 | 94.5 | - | 94.6 | 94.3 |
| Mediums | 201 | 2 | - | 203 | 2 | 4 | - | 6 | 7 | 180 | - | 187 | 396 |
| \% Mediums | 3.7 | 9.1 | - | 3.7 | 6.3 | 2.4 | - | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.3 | - | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| Articulated Trucks | 135 | 0 | - | 135 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 116 | - | 116 | 251 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 2.5 | 0.0 | - | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $-$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | $-$ | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| Bicycles on Road | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | 2 | 3 |


| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| \% Bicycles on <br> Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Pedestrians | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - |  |  |

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services
Count Name: MR 80 at Shirley


Turning Movement Data Plot

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services 1800 Frobisher Street

Count Name: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue
Site Code:
Start Date: 10/03/2019
Page No: 4

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:30 PM)

| Start Time | MR 80 <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Shirley Avenue <br> Westbound |  |  |  | MR 80 <br> Northbound |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 4:30 PM | 142 | 1 | 0 | 143 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 297 | 0 | 307 | 454 |
| 4:45 PM | 126 | 1 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 296 | 0 | 312 | 446 |
| 5:00 PM | 106 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 290 | 0 | 301 | 413 |
| 5:15 PM | 151 | 2 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 245 | 0 | 261 | 417 |
| Total | 525 | 4 | 0 | 529 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 53 | 1128 | 0 | 1181 | 1730 |
| Approach \% | 99.2 | 0.8 | - | - | 20.0 | 80.0 | - | - | 4.5 | 95.5 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 30.3 | 0.2 | - | 30.6 | 0.2 | 0.9 | - | 1.2 | 3.1 | 65.2 | - | 68.3 | - |
| PHF | 0.869 | 0.500 | - | 0.864 | 0.333 | 0.571 | $-$ | 0.714 | 0.828 | 0.949 | - | 0.946 | 0.953 |
| Lights | 508 | 4 | - | 512 | 4 | 16 | - | 20 | 53 | 1104 | - | 1157 | 1689 |
| \% Lights | 96.8 | 100.0 | - | 96.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.9 | - | 98.0 | 97.6 |
| Mediums | 10 | 0 | - | 10 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 14 | - | 14 | 24 |
| \% Mediums | 1.9 | 0.0 | - | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $-$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | $-$ | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| Articulated Trucks | 7 | 0 | - | 7 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 10 | - | 10 | 17 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 1.3 | 0.0 | - | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | - | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.0 | 0.0 | $-$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | $-$ | $-$ | $-$ | 0 | - | $-$ |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services
Count Name: MR 80 at Shirley 1800 Frobisher Street


Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:30 PM)

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services
Count Name: MR 80 at Shirley 1800 Frobisher Street Avenue
Site Code:
Start Date: 10/03/2019
Page No: 6

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (7:15 AM)

| Start Time | MR 80 <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Shirley Avenue <br> Westbound |  |  |  | MR 80 <br> Northbound |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 7:15 AM | 248 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 57 | 0 | 59 | 314 |
| 7:30 AM | 263 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 89 | 0 | 91 | 362 |
| 7:45 AM | 188 | 1 | 0 | 189 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 113 | 308 |
| 8:00 AM | 217 | 1 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 63 | 0 | 65 | 288 |
| Total | 916 | 2 | 0 | 918 | 4 | 22 | 0 | 26 | 6 | 322 | 0 | 328 | 1272 |
| Approach \% | 99.8 | 0.2 | - | - | 15.4 | 84.6 | - | - | 1.8 | 98.2 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 72.0 | 0.2 | - | 72.2 | 0.3 | 1.7 | - | 2.0 | 0.5 | 25.3 | - | 25.8 | - |
| PHF | 0.871 | 0.500 | - | 0.873 | 0.500 | 0.917 | - | 0.813 | 0.750 | 0.712 | - | 0.726 | 0.878 |
| Lights | 862 | 2 | - | 864 | 4 | 22 | - | 26 | 5 | 286 | - | 291 | 1181 |
| \% Lights | 94.1 | 100.0 | - | 94.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | 83.3 | 88.8 | - | 88.7 | 92.8 |
| Mediums | 35 | 0 | - | 35 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 30 | - | 31 | 66 |
| \% Mediums | 3.8 | 0.0 | - | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $-$ | 0.0 | 16.7 | 9.3 | $-$ | 9.5 | 5.2 |
| Articulated Trucks | 19 | 0 | - | 19 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 6 | - | 6 | 25 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 2.1 | 0.0 | - | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | - | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.0 | 0.0 | $-$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | $-$ | $-$ | $-$ | 0 | - | $-$ |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services
Count Name: MR 80 at Shirley


Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (7:15 AM)

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services
Count Name: MR 80 at Shirley 1800 Frobisher Street Avenue
Site Code:
Start Date: 10/03/2019
Page No: 8

| Start Time | Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (11:00 AM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MR 80 <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Shirley Avenue <br> Westbound |  |  |  | MR 80 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 11:00 AM | 126 | 2 | 0 | 128 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 113 | 0 | 114 | 246 |
| 11:15 AM | 129 | 1 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 126 | 0 | 129 | 262 |
| 11:30 AM | 123 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 120 | 0 | 122 | 250 |
| 11:45 AM | 134 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 155 | 0 | 157 | 295 |
| Total | 512 | 3 | 0 | 515 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 514 | 0 | 522 | 1053 |
| Approach \% | 99.4 | 0.6 | - | - | 18.8 | 81.3 | - | - | 1.5 | 98.5 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 48.6 | 0.3 | - | 48.9 | 0.3 | 1.2 | - | 1.5 | 0.8 | 48.8 | - | 49.6 | - |
| PHF | 0.955 | 0.375 | - | 0.961 | 0.375 | 0.650 | - | 0.800 | 0.667 | 0.829 | - | 0.831 | 0.892 |
| Lights | 486 | 3 | - | 489 | 3 | 13 | - | 16 | 7 | 481 | - | 488 | 993 |
| \% Lights | 94.9 | 100.0 | - | 95.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | 87.5 | 93.6 | - | 93.5 | 94.3 |
| Mediums | 9 | 0 | - | 9 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 14 | - | 15 | 24 |
| \% Mediums | 1.8 | 0.0 | - | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $-$ | 0.0 | 12.5 | 2.7 | $-$ | 2.9 | 2.3 |
| Articulated Trucks | 17 | 0 | $-$ | 17 | 0 | 0 | $-$ | 0 | 0 | 19 | $-$ | 19 | 36 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 3.3 | 0.0 | - | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | - | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $-$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | $-$ | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | $-$ | $-$ |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services
Count Name: MR 80 at Shirley 1800 Frobisher Street


Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (11:00 AM)

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services
Count Name: MR 80 at Shirley 1800 Frobisher Street

Avenue
Site Code:
Start Date: 10/03/2019
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| Start Time | Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (12:00 PM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MR 80 <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Shirley Avenue Westbound |  |  |  | MR 80 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 12:00 PM | 148 | 2 | 0 | 150 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 132 | 0 | 134 | 289 |
| 12:15 PM | 143 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 146 | 0 | 147 | 296 |
| 12:30 PM | 138 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 134 | 0 | 142 | 285 |
| 12:45 PM | 128 | 1 | 0 | 129 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 135 | 0 | 138 | 274 |
| Total | 557 | 3 | 0 | 560 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 23 | 14 | 547 | 0 | 561 | 1144 |
| Approach \% | 99.5 | 0.5 | - | - | 21.7 | 78.3 | - | - | 2.5 | 97.5 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 48.7 | 0.3 | - | 49.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | - | 2.0 | 1.2 | 47.8 | - | 49.0 | - |
| PHF | 0.941 | 0.375 | - | 0.933 | 0.625 | 0.750 | - | 0.821 | 0.438 | 0.937 | - | 0.954 | 0.966 |
| Lights | 525 | 3 | - | 528 | 5 | 17 | - | 22 | 14 | 527 | - | 541 | 1091 |
| \% Lights | 94.3 | 100.0 | - | 94.3 | 100.0 | 94.4 | - | 95.7 | 100.0 | 96.3 | - | 96.4 | 95.4 |
| Mediums | 10 | 0 | - | 10 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 | 0 | 7 | - | 7 | 18 |
| \% Mediums | 1.8 | 0.0 | - | 1.8 | 0.0 | 5.6 | $-$ | 4.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | $-$ | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| Articulated Trucks | 22 | 0 | - | 22 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 11 | $-$ | 11 | 33 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 3.9 | 0.0 | - | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $-$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | - | 2.0 | 2.9 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.0 | 0.0 | $-$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $-$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | $-$ | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services
Count Name: MR 80 at Shirley 1800 Frobisher Street

Start Date: 10/03/2019
Page No: 11


Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (12:00 PM)

## Automatic Counter Tabulations

Street:
Location:
Title:
Counter Number:
Start Date of Count:
Total:
AADT:
Analyst:

MR 80 (Total)
North of Dominion Drive
Special
Radar
Wednesday, June 11, 2014
17457
15010
PG

| Hour | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Total | Factored Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 to 1 | 19 | 33 | 23 | 13 | 88 | 76 |
| 1 to 2 | 18 | 13 | 11 | 6 | 48 | 41 |
| 2 to 3 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 39 | 34 |
| 3 to 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 19 | 16 |
| 4 to 5 | 15 | 16 | 28 | 43 | 102 | 88 |
| 5 to 6 | 62 | 88 | 122 | 123 | 395 | 340 |
| 6 to 7 | 161 | 218 | 254 | 224 | 857 | 737 |
| 7 to 8 | 237 | 289 | 292 | 281 | 1099 | 945 |
| 8 to 9 | 313 | 297 | 283 | 263 | 1156 | 994 |
| 9 to 10 | 229 | 228 | 221 | 230 | 908 | 781 |
| 10 to 11 | 242 | 206 | 230 | 215 | 893 | 768 |
| 11 to 12 | 225 | 228 | 230 | 245 | 928 | 798 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 to 13 | 227 | 232 | 265 | 240 | 964 | 829 |
| 13 to 14 | 278 | 244 | 261 | 263 | 1046 | 899 |
| 14 to 15 | 257 | 293 | 292 | 261 | 1103 | 948 |
| 15 to 16 | 262 | 322 | 305 | 308 | 1197 | 1029 |
| 16 to 17 | 369 | 367 | 355 | 363 | 1454 | 1250 |
| 17 to 18 | 345 | 345 | 371 | 316 | 1377 | 1184 |
| 18 to 19 | 293 | 270 | 258 | 228 | 1049 | 902 |
| 19 to 20 | 214 | 245 | 221 | 170 | 850 | 731 |
| 20 to 21 | 194 | 183 | 193 | 183 | 753 | 647 |
| 21 to 22 | 177 | 162 | 140 | 170 | 649 | 558 |
| 22 to 23 | 80 | 76 | 69 | 57 | 282 | 242 |
| 23 to 24 | 55 | 69 | 46 | 31 | 201 | 173 |
| Total | 4286 | 4439 | 4482 | 4250 | 17457 | 15010 |
| Monthly Factor: |  |  | 0.95 |  | Total: | 17457 |
| Daily Factor |  | Wednesday | 0.91 |  | AADT: | 15010 |
|  |  | hursday | 0.9 |  |  |  |

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services
Count Name: Jeanne D'Arc
1800 Frobisher Street
PO Box 5000, STN A
Sudbury, Ontario, Canada P3A 5P3
705-674-4455
Street @ Municipal Road 80
Site Code: 00812103
Start Date: 07/02/2019
Page No: 1

| Start Time | Turning Movement Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Municipal Road 80 Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Je}$ | Westbound | reet |  | Municipal Road 80 |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
|  | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total | Right | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total | Right | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total |  |
| 11:30 AM | 130 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 18 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 278 |
| 11:45 AM | 136 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 18 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 153 | 314 |
| Hourly Total | 266 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 271 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 36 | 251 | 0 | 0 | 287 | 592 |
| 12:00 PM | 125 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 327 |
| 12:15 PM | 152 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 24 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 351 |
| 12:30 PM | 176 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 15 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 367 |
| 12:45 PM | 172 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 172 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 13 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 347 |
| Hourly Total | 625 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 629 | 9 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 59 | 617 | 0 | 0 | 676 | 1392 |
| 1:00 PM | 138 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 11 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 302 |
| 1:15 PM | 136 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 136 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 15 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 281 |
| 1:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *** BREAK *** | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hourly Total | 274 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 275 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 26 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 281 | 583 |
| 3:00 PM | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 23 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 370 |
| 3:15 PM | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 36 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 389 |
| 3:30 PM | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 28 | 243 | 0 | 1 | 271 | 422 |
| 3:45 PM | 153 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 39 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 277 | 449 |
| Hourly Total | 563 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 564 | 5 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 126 | 870 | 0 | 1 | 996 | 1630 |
| 4:00 PM | 134 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 34 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 266 | 422 |
| 4:15 PM | 121 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 39 | 255 | 0 | 1 | 294 | 440 |
| 4:30 PM | 136 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 44 | 261 | 0 | 2 | 305 | 475 |
| 4:45 PM | 133 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 47 | 268 | 0 | 1 | 315 | 464 |
| Hourly Total | 524 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 533 | 8 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 164 | 1016 | 0 | 4 | 1180 | 1801 |
| 5:00 PM | 164 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 49 | 272 | 0 | 0 | 321 | 510 |
| 5:15 PM | 120 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 37 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 414 |
| 5:30 PM | 128 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 31 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 243 | 404 |
| 5:45 PM | 123 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 30 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 234 | 381 |
| Hourly Total | 535 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 545 | 4 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 147 | 927 | 0 | 0 | 1074 | 1709 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *** BREAK *** | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:30 AM | 224 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 278 |
| 6:45 AM | 167 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 5 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 235 |
| Hourly Total | 391 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 393 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 8 | 71 | 0 | 1 | 79 | 513 |
| 7:00 AM | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 6 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 271 |
| 7:15 AM | 197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 5 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 306 |
| 7:30 AM | 194 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 6 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 293 |
| 7:45 AM | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 5 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 299 |
| Hourly Total | 782 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 783 | 3 | 131 | 0 | 1 | 134 | 22 | 230 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 1169 |
| 8:00 AM | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 1 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 11 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 307 |
| 8:15 AM | 179 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 3 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 10 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 301 |
| 8:30 AM | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 3 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 5 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 264 |
| 8:45 AM | 158 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 7 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 284 |
| Hourly Total | 668 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 671 | 10 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 33 | 321 | 0 | 0 | 354 | 1156 |
| 9:00 AM | 108 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 10 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 217 |
| 9:15 AM | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 3 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 243 |
| 9:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 4874 | 37 | 0 | 2 | 4911 | 48 | 701 | 0 | 1 | 749 | 636 | 4709 | 0 | 6 | 5345 | 11005 |
| Approach \% | 99.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | - | - | 6.4 | 93.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 11.9 | 88.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 44.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | - | 44.6 | 0.4 | 6.4 | 0.0 | - | 6.8 | 5.8 | 42.8 | 0.0 | - | 48.6 | - |
| Lights | 4665 | 34 | 0 | - | 4699 | 43 | 698 | 0 | - | 741 | 625 | 4522 | 0 | - | 5147 | 10587 |
| \% Lights | 95.7 | 91.9 | - | - | 95.7 | 89.6 | 99.6 | - | - | 98.9 | 98.3 | 96.0 | - | - | 96.3 | 96.2 |
| Mediums | 128 | 3 | 0 | $-$ | 131 | 3 | 1 | 0 | - | 4 | 7 | 116 | 0 | $-$ | 123 | 258 |
| \% Mediums | 2.6 | 8.1 | - | - | 2.7 | 6.3 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.5 | 1.1 | 2.5 | - | - | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Articulated Trucks | 81 | 0 | 0 | - | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-$ | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | - | 70 | 151 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 1.7 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | - | - | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | - | 5 | 9 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.3 | - | $-$ | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | - | $-$ | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |


| \% Bicycles on <br> Crosswalk | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| $\%$ Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - |

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services

Count Name: Jeanne D'Arc
Street @ Municipal Road 80
Site Code: 00812103
Start Date: 07/02/2019


Turning Movement Data Plot

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services
Count Name: Jeanne D'Arc 1800 Frobisher Street PO Box 5000, STN A

Street @ Municipal Road 80
Site Code: 00812103
Start Date: 07/02/2019
Page No: 4

| Start Time | Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (12:00 PM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Municipal Road 80 Southbound |  |  |  |  | Jeanne D'Arc Street <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Municipal Road 80 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
|  | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total | Right | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total | Right | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total |  |
| 12:00 PM | 125 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 327 |
| 12:15 PM | 152 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 24 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 351 |
| 12:30 PM | 176 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 15 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 367 |
| 12:45 PM | 172 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 172 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 13 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 347 |
| Total | 625 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 629 | 9 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 59 | 617 | 0 | 0 | 676 | 1392 |
| Approach \% | 99.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 10.3 | 89.7 | 0.0 | - | - | 8.7 | 91.3 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 44.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | - | 45.2 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 0.0 | - | 6.3 | 4.2 | 44.3 | 0.0 | - | 48.6 | - |
| PHF | 0.888 | 0.500 | 0.000 | - | 0.888 | 0.563 | 0.780 | 0.000 | $-$ | 0.806 | 0.615 | 0.886 | 0.000 | $-$ | 0.934 | 0.948 |
| Lights | 598 | 3 | 0 | - | 601 | 8 | 78 | 0 | - | 86 | 59 | 583 | 0 | - | 642 | 1329 |
| \% Lights | 95.7 | 75.0 | - | - | 95.5 | 88.9 | 100.0 | - | - | 98.9 | 100.0 | 94.5 | - | - | 95.0 | 95.5 |
| Mediums | 18 | 1 | 0 | - | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | $-$ | 21 | 41 |
| \% Mediums | 2.9 | 25.0 | - | - | 3.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.1 | 0.0 | 3.4 | - | - | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Articulated Trucks | 9 | 0 | 0 | - | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | - | 13 | 22 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 1.4 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | - | - | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-$ | 0 | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0.0 | $-$ | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services


Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (12:00 PM)

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services
Count Name: Jeanne D'Arc 1800 Frobisher Street PO Box 5000, STN A

Street @ Municipal Road 80
Site Code: 00812103
Start Date: 07/02/2019
Page No: 6

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:15 PM)

| Start Time | Municipal Road 80 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | Jeanne D'Arc Street <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Municipal Road 80 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Right | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total | Right | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 4:15 PM | 121 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 39 | 255 | 0 | 1 | 294 | 440 |
| 4:30 PM | 136 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 44 | 261 | 0 | 2 | 305 | 475 |
| 4:45 PM | 133 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 47 | 268 | 0 | 1 | 315 | 464 |
| 5:00 PM | 164 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 49 | 272 | 0 | 0 | 321 | 510 |
| Total | 554 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 564 | 5 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 179 | 1056 | 0 | 4 | 1235 | 1889 |
| Approach \% | 98.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | - | - | 5.6 | 94.4 | 0.0 | - | - | 14.5 | 85.5 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 29.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | - | 29.9 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.0 | - | 4.8 | 9.5 | 55.9 | 0.0 | - | 65.4 | - |
| PHF | 0.845 | 0.833 | 0.000 | - | 0.844 | 0.417 | 0.708 | 0.000 | $-$ | 0.726 | 0.913 | 0.971 | 0.000 | $-$ | 0.962 | 0.926 |
| Lights | 532 | 9 | 0 | - | 541 | 5 | 84 | 0 | - | 89 | 177 | 1042 | 0 | - | 1219 | 1849 |
| \% Lights | 96.0 | 90.0 | - | - | 95.9 | 100.0 | 98.8 | - | - | 98.9 | 98.9 | 98.7 | - | - | 98.7 | 97.9 |
| Mediums | 14 | 1 | 0 | - | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | - | 9 | 25 |
| \% Mediums | 2.5 | 10.0 | - | - | 2.7 | 0.0 | 1.2 | - | - | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 | - | - | 0.7 | 1.3 |
| Articulated Trucks | 8 | 0 | 0 | - | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | - | 6 | 14 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 1.4 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | - | - | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - |

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services


Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:15 PM)

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services
Count Name: Jeanne D'Arc
Street @ Municipal Road 80
Site Code: 00812103
Start Date: 07/02/2019
Page No: 8

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (7:15 AM)

| Start Time | Municipal Road 80 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | Jeanne D'Arc Street Westbound |  |  |  |  | Municipal Road 80 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Right | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total | Right | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 7:15 AM | 197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 5 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 306 |
| 7:30 AM | 194 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 6 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 293 |
| 7:45 AM | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 5 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 299 |
| 8:00 AM | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 1 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 11 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 307 |
| Total | 771 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 772 | 3 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 27 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 298 | 1205 |
| Approach \% | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 2.2 | 97.8 | 0.0 | - | - | 9.1 | 90.9 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 64.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | - | 64.1 | 0.2 | 11.0 | 0.0 | - | 11.2 | 2.2 | 22.5 | 0.0 | - | 24.7 | - |
| PHF | 0.978 | 0.250 | 0.000 | - | 0.980 | 0.375 | 0.846 | 0.000 | - | 0.865 | 0.614 | 0.916 | 0.000 | - | 0.876 | 0.981 |
| Lights | 752 | 1 | 0 | - | 753 | 3 | 132 | 0 | - | 135 | 24 | 251 | 0 | - | 275 | 1163 |
| \% Lights | 97.5 | 100.0 | - | - | 97.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 100.0 | 88.9 | 92.6 | - | - | 92.3 | 96.5 |
| Mediums | 12 | 0 | 0 | - | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | - | 12 | 24 |
| \% Mediums | 1.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 3.7 | 4.1 | - | - | 4.0 | 2.0 |
| Articulated Trucks | 7 | 0 | 0 | - | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | - | 9 | 16 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.9 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | - | - | 3.0 | 1.3 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 2 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Traffic and Transportation Engineering Services


Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (7:15 AM)

## APPENDIX B

## Collision Data

 provided by City Traffic Office| Location | Initial Impact Type | Accident No. | Vehicle 1 Type | Vehicle 2 Type | Apparent Driver 1 Action |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Municipal Road 80 @ Shirley Avenue (144034) | 07 - SMV other | $17-012590$ | 01 - Automobile, station wagon |  | $10-$ Lost control |
| Municipal Road 80 @ Shirley Avenue (144034) | 03 - Rear end | 14044288 |  |  |  |
| Municipal Road 80 @ Shirley Avenue (144034) | 04 - Sideswipe | 14036994 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Driver One Disobey Signal | Apparent Driver 2 Action | Driver Two Disobey Signal | Accident Date | Accident Year | Pedestrian 2 Action | Pedestrian 1 Action | Accident Time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unchecked |  | Unchecked | $26 / 03 / 2017$ | 2017 |  |  |  |
| Unchecked |  | Unchecked | $24 / 09 / 2014$ | 2014 |  |  |  |
| Unchecked |  | Unchecked | $13 / 08 / 2014$ | 2014 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Vehicle 1 First Event | Vehicle 1 Second Event | Initial Direction Of Travel One | Initial Direction Of Travel Two | Vehicle 1 Third Event | Vehicle 1 Manoeuver |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 - Skidding/sliding | 54 - Pole (sign, parking meter) | North | None | 60 - Ditch | $02-$ Slowing or stopping |
|  |  | South | South |  |  |
|  |  | South |  | North |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Vehicle 2 Manoeuver | Accident Location | Impact Location | Road 1 Condition | Thru Lane No | Environment Condition 1 | Environment Condition 2 | Light |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $02-$ Intersection related | $99-$ Other | $02-$ Poor | 0 | $04-$ Freezing Rain |  | $01-$ Daylight |
| $00-$ Unknown | $02-$ Intersection related | $02-$ Thru lane | $01-$ Good | $001-$ Clear | $01-$ Daylight |  |  |
| $00-$ Unknown | $02-$ Intersection related | $02-$ Thru lane | $01-$ Good | $002-$ Rain | $01-$ Daylight |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Traffic Control | Traffic Control Condition | Road J urisdiction | Road 2 Condition | Classification Of Accident | Road 1 Surface Condition | Last Edited By |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02 - Stop sign | 01 - Functioning | 01 - Municipal (excl. Twp. Rd.) | 02 - Poor | 03 - P.D. only | 06 - Ice | tes |
| 01 - Traffic signal | 01 - Functioning |  | O1-Good |  | 01 - Dry | tes |
| 02 - Stop sign | 01 - Functioning |  | 01-Good |  | 02 - Wet | tes |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Road 2 Surface Condition | Validated | Collision Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 06 - Ice | Checked | PDO |
| 01 - Dry | Checked | PDO |
| 02 - Wet | Checked | PDO |
|  |  |  |

## APPENDIX C

School Traffic Counts<br>by Tranplan Associates

a) Ecole Jean Paul II (Val Caron)
b) Ecoles Ste Therese \&St Joseph
c) Ecole Notre Dame and Total of Three Existing Schools

Jean Paul II Elementary School, Val Caron
Date: October 3, 4, 7, 8, 2019
Tranplan

| TIME | Cars |  | Schoolbuses |  | Bicycles |  | Pedestrians |  | TOTAL (15 min ) | TOTAL (60 min ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |  |  |
| Oct 4\&8, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:30-7:45 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |  |
| 7:45-8:00 | 44 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 52 |  |
| 8:00-8:15 | 37 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |  |
| 8:15-8:30 | 29 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 71 | 193 |
| 8:30-8:45 | 43 | 41 | 14 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 122 | 297 |
| 8:45-9:00 | 10 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 274 |
| AM Pk Hr | 153 | 80 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 7:45-8: | am |
| 11:00-11:15 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |  |
| 11:15-11:30 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 |  |
| 11:30-11:45 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |  |
| 11:45-12:00 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 38 |
| 12:00-12:15 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 35 |
| 12:15-12:30 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 33 |
| 12:30-12:45 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 25 |
| 12:45-1:00 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 29 |
| Oct 3\&7, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:30-2:45 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |  |
| 2:45-3:00 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 40 |  |
| 3:00-3:15 | 21 | 43 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 97 |  |
| 3:15-3:30 | 6 | 24 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 49 | 193 |
| 3:30-3:45 | 11 | 33 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 235 |
| 3:45-4:00 | 7 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 222 |
| 4:00-4:15 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 145 |
| 4:15-4:30 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 111 |
| 4:30-4:45 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 84 |
| 4:45-5:00 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 78 |
| 5:00-5:15 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 70 |
| 5:15-5:30 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 62 |
| PM Pk Hr | 66 | 103 | 17 | 16 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 23 | 2:45-3: | pm |

Ecole Ste Therese, Val Therese (Grades 4-8 plus Day Care)
Date: October 4, 2019
Tranplan

| time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cars } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | Out | hoolbuses |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bicycles } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | Pedestrians |  |  | TOTAL (15 min ) | TOTAL ( 60 min ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Out |  | Out |  | Out |  |  |
| 7:45-8:00 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |
| 8:00-8:15 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |
| 8:15-8:30 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 25 |  |
| 8:30-8:45 | 14 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 40 | 74 |
| 8:45-9:00 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 76 |
| AM Pk Hr | 26 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 8:00-9 | 00 am |
| 2:30-2:45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 2:45-3:00 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |
| 3:00-3:15 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |
| 3:15-3:30 | 3 | 19 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 51 |
| 3:30-3:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 51 |
| 3:45-4:00 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 55 |
| PM Pk Hr | 21 | 24 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3:00-4 | 00 pm |

Ecole St Joseph, Hanmer
Date: October 7, 2019
Tranplan

| TIME | Cars |  | hoolbuses |  | Bicycles |  | Pedestrians |  | TOTAL (15 min ) | TOTAL (60 min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out |  | Out |  | Out | In | Out |  |  |
| 7:30-7:45 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |  |
| 7:45-8:00 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |  |
| 8:00-8:15 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |  |
| 8:15-8:30 | 15 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 61 |
| 8:30-8:45 | 20 | 21 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 93 |
| 8:45-9:00 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 91 |
| AM Pk Hr | 43 | 36 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7:45-8 | 45 am |
| 2:30-2:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:45-3:00 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |  |
| 3:00-3:15 | 14 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 35 |  |
| 3:15-3:30 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 49 |
| 3:30-3:45 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 54 |
| 3:45-4:00 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 52 |
| 4:00-4:15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 |
| PM Pk Hr | 20 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2:45-3 | Pmm |

Ecole Notre Dame, Hanmer
Date: October 8, 2019
Tranplan

| time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cars } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | Out | hoolbuses |  | BicyclesIn | Pedestrians |  |  | TOTAL (15 min ) | TOTAL ( 60 min ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In | Out |  | Out |  | Out |  |  |
| 7:45-8:00 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |
| 8:00-8:15 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |  |
| 8:15-8:30 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 22 |  |
| 8:30-8:45 | 23 | 20 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 73 | 123 |
| 8:45-9:00 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 126 |
| 9:00-9:15 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 126 |
| 9:15-9:30 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 109 |
| AM Pk Hr | 52 | 38 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 8:00-9 | 00 am |
| 2:00-2:15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
| 2:15-2:30 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  |
| 2:30-2:45 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 42 |  |
| 2:45-3:00 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 73 |
| 3:00-3:15 | 7 | 17 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 52 | 122 |
| 3:15-3:30 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 127 |
| 3:30-3:45 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 102 |
| PM Pk Hr | 28 | 37 | 14 | 15 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 20 | 2:30-3 | 30 pm |

Ecole Ste Therese+Ecole St Joseph+Ecole Notre Dame

| time | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cars } \\ \text { In } \end{gathered}$ | Out | hoolbuses |  | Bicycles | Pedestrians |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ (15 \mathrm{~min}) \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL (60 min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In | Out |  | Out |  | Out |  |  |
| 7:45-8:00 | 24 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |  |
| 8:00-8:15 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |  |
| 8:15-8:30 | 32 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 76 |  |
| 8:30-8:45 | 57 | 55 | 18 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 164 | 290 |
| 8:45-9:00 | 14 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 293 |
| AM Pk Hr | 116 | 101 | 20 | 20 | 11 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 8:00-9 | 00 am |
| 2:30-2:45 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 43 |  |
| 2:45-3:00 | 19 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |  |
| 3:00-3:15 | 37 | 32 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 103 |  |
| 3:15-3:30 | 4 | 32 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 227 |
| 3:30-3:45 | 7 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 207 |
| 3:45-4:00 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 191 |
| PM Pk Hr | 68 | 75 | 24 | 25 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 21 | 2:30-3 | 30 pm |

## APPENDIX D

MR 80 / Shirley Avenue Intersection Capacity Analysis Synchro Reports

a) Existing Conditions 2019
b) Background Traffic 2026
c) Total Traffic 2026 (Unsignalized)
d) Total Traffic 2026 (Signalized)





|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 6 | $\longleftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | \＄ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 性 |  | \％ | 虾 |  |
| Volume（veh／h） | 58 | 12 | 47 | 24 | 18 | 4 | 73 | 357 | 7 | 2 | 1017 | 90 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate（vph） | 63 | 13 | 51 | 26 | 20 | 4 | 79 | 388 | 8 | 2 | 1105 | 98 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width（m） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed（m／s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare（veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal（m） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX ，platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC ，conflicting volume | 1526 | 1713 | 602 | 1165 | 1758 | 198 | 1203 |  |  | 396 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$ ，stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$ ，stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu，unblocked vol | 1526 | 1713 | 602 | 1165 | 1758 | 198 | 1203 |  |  | 396 |  |  |
| tC，single（s） | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage（s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF（s） | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \％ | 0 | 83 | 88 | 75 | 73 | 99 | 86 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| cM capacity（veh／h） | 57 | 77 | 443 | 103 | 72 | 810 | 576 |  |  | 1159 |  |  |
| Direction，Lane \＃ | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | NB 3 | SB 1 | SB 2 | SB 3 |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 76 | 51 | 50 | 79 | 259 | 137 | 2 | 737 | 466 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 63 | 0 | 26 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 51 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 98 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 60 | 443 | 94 | 576 | 1700 | 1700 | 1159 | 1700 | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 1.28 | 0.12 | 0.53 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.27 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 51.8 | 3.1 | 19.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay（s） | 324.1 | 14.2 | 79.8 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | F | B | F | B |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay（s） | 199.6 |  | 79.8 | 2.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 16.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 54．2\％ |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 性 |  | \％ | 性 |  |
| Volume（veh／h） | 68 | 14 | 55 | 17 | 10 | 7 | 42 | 946 | 29 | 2 | 635 | 51 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate（vph） | 74 | 15 | 60 | 18 | 11 | 8 | 46 | 1028 | 32 | 2 | 690 | 55 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width（m） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed（m／s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare（veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal（m） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX ，platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC ，conflicting volume | 1341 | 1873 | 373 | 1552 | 1885 | 530 | 746 |  |  | 1060 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$ ，stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$ ，stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu ，unblocked vol | 1341 | 1873 | 373 | 1552 | 1885 | 530 | 746 |  |  | 1060 |  |  |
| tC，single（s） | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC， 2 stage（s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF（s） | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \％ | 19 | 77 | 90 | 66 | 84 | 98 | 95 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| cM capacity（veh／h） | 91 | 67 | 625 | 55 | 66 | 494 | 858 |  |  | 653 |  |  |
| Direction，Lane \＃ | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | NB 3 | SB 1 | SB 2 | SB 3 |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 89 | 60 | 37 | 46 | 686 | 374 | 2 | 460 | 286 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 74 | 0 | 18 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 60 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 55 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 86 | 625 | 72 | 858 | 1700 | 1700 | 653 | 1700 | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 1.04 | 0.10 | 0.52 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 47.9 | 2.5 | 17.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay（s） | 195.3 | 11.4 | 99.7 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | F | B | F | A |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay（s） | 121.5 |  | 99.7 | 0.4 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 49．0\％ |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | $\longleftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | \＄ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  |
| Volume（veh／h） | 19 | 4 | 16 | 18 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 1252 | 59 | 4 | 583 | 11 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate（vph） | 21 | 4 | 17 | 20 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 1361 | 64 | 4 | 634 | 12 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width（m） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed（m／s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare（veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal（m） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX，platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC ，conflicting volume | 1356 | 2095 | 323 | 1760 | 2069 | 712 | 646 |  |  | 1425 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$ ，stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$ ，stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu ，unblocked vol | 1356 | 2095 | 323 | 1760 | 2069 | 712 | 646 |  |  | 1425 |  |  |
| tC，single（s） | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC， 2 stage（s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF（s） | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \％ | 80 | 91 | 97 | 59 | 96 | 99 | 99 |  |  | 99 |  |  |
| cM capacity（veh／h） | 102 | 50 | 673 | 48 | 52 | 375 | 936 |  |  | 473 |  |  |
| Direction，Lane \＃ | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | NB 3 | SB 1 | SB 2 | SB 3 |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 25 | 17 | 26 | 11 | 907 | 518 | 4 | 422 | 223 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 21 | 0 | 20 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 12 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 86 | 673 | 57 | 936 | 1700 | 1700 | 473 | 1700 | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.46 | 0.01 | 0.53 | 0.30 | 0.01 | 0.25 | 0.13 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 8.6 | 0.6 | 14.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay（s） | 62.8 | 10.5 | 113.8 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | F | B | F | A |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay（s） | 41.3 |  | 113.8 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 51．2\％ |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | \＄ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中t |  |
| Volume（vph） | 58 | 12 | 47 | 24 | 18 | 4 | 73 | 357 | 7 | 2 | 1017 | 90 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  | 1789 | 1583 |  | 1796 |  | 1770 | 3528 |  | 1770 | 3496 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.79 | 1.00 |  | 0.80 |  | 0.22 | 1.00 |  | 0.52 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  | 1463 | 1583 |  | 1468 |  | 403 | 3528 |  | 966 | 3496 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 63 | 13 | 51 | 26 | 20 | 4 | 79 | 388 | 8 | 2 | 1105 | 98 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 76 | 5 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 79 | 395 | 0 | 2 | 1198 | 0 |


| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 4 | 8 | 2 |  | 6 |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 8.9 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 69.6 | 69.6 | 69.6 | 69.6 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 8.9 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 69.6 | 69.6 | 69.6 | 69.6 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 147 | 159 | 148 | 317 | 2775 | 760 | 2749 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  | 0.11 |  | c0．34 |
| v／s Ratio Perm | c0．05 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.20 |  | 0.00 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.52 | 0.03 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.44 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 37.8 | 35.9 | 37.0 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 3.1 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Delay（s） | 40.8 | 36.0 | 38.2 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 3.6 |
| Level of Service | D | D | D | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay（s） | 38.9 |  | 38.2 |  | 2.7 |  | 3.6 |
| Approach LOS | D |  | D |  | A |  | A |


| Intersection Summary |  | A |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 6.7 | HCM Level of Service |  |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.44 |  | 10.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 88.5 | Sum of lost time（s） | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $58.5 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | \＄ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }_{6}$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 68 | 14 | 55 | 17 | 10 | 7 | 42 | 946 | 29 | 2 | 635 | 51 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 0.97 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  | 1788 | 1583 |  | 1765 |  | 1770 | 3523 |  | 1770 | 3500 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.74 | 1.00 |  | 0.82 |  | 0.37 | 1.00 |  | 0.25 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  | 1372 | 1583 |  | 1477 |  | 682 | 3523 |  | 475 | 3500 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 74 | 15 | 60 | 18 | 11 | 8 | 46 | 1028 | 32 | 2 | 690 | 55 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 89 | 7 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 46 | 1058 | 0 | 2 | 740 | 0 |


| Turn Type | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |  | Perm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） |  | 9.7 | 9.7 |  | 9.7 | 69.1 | 69.1 | 69.1 | 69.1 |
| Effective Green，g（s） |  | 9.7 | 9.7 |  | 9.7 | 69.1 | 69.1 | 69.1 | 69.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.11 | 0.11 |  | 0.11 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Clearance Time（s） |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） |  | 150 | 173 |  | 161 | 531 | 2741 | 370 | 2724 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  |  |  | c0．30 |  | 0.21 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  | c0．06 | 0.00 |  | 0.02 | 0.07 |  | 0.00 |  |
| v／c Ratio |  | 0.59 | 0.04 |  | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 0.27 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 |  | 37.7 | 35.4 |  | 36.0 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 |  | 6.2 | 0.1 |  | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Delay（s） |  | 43.8 | 35.5 |  | 36.5 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 3.0 |
| Level of Service |  | D | D |  | D | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 40.5 |  |  | 36.5 |  | 3.5 |  | 3.0 |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  | A |  | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 6.6 | HCM Level of Service | A |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.41 |  | 10.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 88.8 | Sum of lost time（s） | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $51.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | \＄ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 19 | 4 | 16 | 18 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 1252 | 59 | 4 | 583 | 11 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.96 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  | 1788 | 1583 |  | 1756 |  | 1770 | 3515 |  | 1770 | 3529 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.78 | 1.00 |  | 0.76 |  | 0.41 | 1.00 |  | 0.17 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  | 1458 | 1583 |  | 1385 |  | 757 | 3515 |  | 323 | 3529 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 21 | 4 | 17 | 20 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 1361 | 64 | 4 | 634 | 12 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 11 | 1423 | 0 | 4 | 645 | 0 |



| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 3.6 | HCM Level of Service | A |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.47 |  | 10.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 89.7 | Sum of lost time（s） | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $52.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX E

## Ontario Traffic Signal Warrants MR 80 I Shirley Avenue

a) Projected 2026 Total Traffic
b) Sensitivity Test 1 (Shirley Avenue traffic doubled)
c) Sensitivity Test 2 (North approach weighted)
d) Sensitivity Test 3 (Pedestrian/bike volume doubled)
e) Sensitivity Test 4 (Combination of 1, 2 and 3)
f) Sensitivity Test 5 (WB LTs increased by 50\%)
g) Sensitivity Test 6 (WB LTs increased by 100\%)

| Input Data Sheet | Analysis Sheet | Results Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What are the intersecting roadways? | MR 80 at Shirley Avenue |  |  |  | $\square$ |
| What is the direction of the Main Road street? | North-South | $\square \quad$ When | e data collected? | Total Traffic (Base Case) |  |

## Justification 1-4: Volume Warrants

a.- Number of lanes on the Main Road?
b.- Number of lanes on the Minor Road?
c.- How many approaches? $\quad 4$
d.- What is the operating environment? $\quad$ Rural Population $<\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ AND Speed $>=\mathbf{7 0} \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$
e.- What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the intersection? (Please fill in table below)

| Hour Ending | Main Northbound Approach |  |  | Minor Eastbound Approach |  |  | Main Southbound Approach |  |  | Minor Westbound Approach |  |  | Pedestrians Crossing Main Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |
| 8:00 | 31 | 344 | 37 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1,029 | 39 | 23 | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| 9:00 | 62 | 414 | 74 | 62 | 12 | 50 | 3 | 811 | 78 | 26 | 16 | 1 | 31 |
| 12:00 | 9 | 571 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 568 | 11 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 13:00 | 6 | 607 | 17 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 618 | 8 | 20 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| 15:00 | 16 | 727 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 628 | 20 | 19 | 4 | 3 | 12 |
| 16:00 | 29 | 946 | 34 | 78 | 16 | 63 | 2 | 635 | 36 | 17 | 7 | 7 | 24 |
| 17:00 | 15 | 1,231 | 35 | 25 | 5 | 20 | 3 | 579 | 18 | 26 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 18:00 | 3 | 1,070 | 25 | 13 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 526 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| Total | 171 | 5,910 | 247 | 204 | 41 | 165 | 23 | 5,394 | 213 | 159 | 44 | 34 | 81 |

## Justification 5: Collision Experience

| Preceding <br> Months | Number of Collisions* |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-12$ | 0 |
| $13-24$ |  |
| $25-36$ |  |

* Include only collisions that are susceptable to correction
through the installation of traffic signal control


## Justification 6: Pedestrian Volume

a.- Please fill in table below summarizing total pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

|  | Zone 1 |  | Zone 2 |  | Zone 3 (if needed) |  | Zone 4 (if needed) |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted |  |
| Total 8 hour pedestrian volume | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume | 81 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| \% Assigned to crossing rate | 23\% |  | 34\% |  | 30\% |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Crossing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Stre | Being Cro | sed |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |

b.- Please fill in table below summarizing delay to pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.


| Analysis Sheet | Input Sheet | Results Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Justification 1: Minimum Vehicle Volumes

Free Flow Rural Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Lanes |  | 2 or More Lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | fREE FLOW | RESTR. FLOW | FREE FLOW | RESTR. FLOW $\Gamma$ | 8:00 | 9:00 | 12:00 | 13:00 | 15:00 | 16:00 | 17:00 | 18:00 |  |  |
| 1A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 1,528 | 1,609 | 1,207 | 1,303 | 1,446 | 1,870 | 1,965 | 1,677 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 800 | 100 |
| 1B | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 | 47 | 167 | 39 | 44 | 30 | 188 | 84 | 48 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 39 | 100 | 33 | 37 | 25 | 100 | 70 | 40 | 443 | 55 |
|  | Signal | Signal Justification 1: |  |  | Both 1A and 1B 100\% Fullfilled each of 8 hours |  |  |  |  | Yes |  | No $\sqrt{V}$ |  |  |

Justification 2: Delay to Cross Traffic
Free Flow Rural Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 lanes |  | 2 or More lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | FREE FLOW | RESTR. FLOW「 | FREE FLOW | RESTR. FLOW $\Gamma$ | 8:00 | 9:00 | 12:00 | 13:00 | 15:00 | 16:00 | 17:00 | 18:00 |  |  |
| 2A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 1,481 | 1,442 | 1,168 | 1,259 | 1,416 | 1,682 | 1,881 | 1,629 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 800 | 100 |
| 2B | 50 | 75 | 50 | 75 | 39 | 135 | 30 | 34 | 37 | 135 | 59 | 31 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 78 | 100 | 60 | 68 | 74 | 100 | 100 | 62 | 642 | 80 |
|  | Free Flow |  |  |  | Both 2A and 2B 100\% Fullfilled each of 8 hours |  |  |  |  | Yes Г |  | No $\sqrt{V}$ |  |  |
|  | Signal Justification 2: |  |  |  | Lesser of 2A or 2B at least $80 \%$ fulfilled each of 8 hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |

## Justification 3: Combination

Combination Justification 1 and 2

| Justification Satisfied 80\% or More |  |  |  | Two Justifications Satisfied 80\% or More |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Justification 1 | Minimun Vehicular Volume | YES $\quad$ | NO $\sqrt{\text { V }}$ | YES $\square$ | NO $\bar{\square}$ |
| Justification 2 | Delay Cross Traffic | YES $\nabla$ | NO $\quad$ |  | NOT JUSTIFIED |

## Justification 4: Four Hour Volume

| Justification | Time Period | Total Volume of Both Approaches (Main) | Heaviest Minor Approach | Required Value | Average \% Compliance | Overall \% Compliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | $Y$ (actual) | $Y$ (warrant threshold) |  |  |
| Justification 4 | 8:00 | 1,481 | 35 | 115 | $30 \%$ | 49 \% |
|  | 16:00 | 1,682 | 157 | 115 | $100 \%$ |  |
|  | 17:00 | 1,881 | 50 | 115 | $43 \%$ |  |
|  | 18:00 | 1,629 | 27 | 115 | $23 \%$ |  |


| Results Sheet | Input Sheet | Analysis Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue
Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic (Base Case)

## Summary Results

| Justification |  |  | Compliance |  | Signal Justified? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | YES | NO |
| 1. Minimum | A | Total Volume |  |  | 100 | \% | $\square$ | V |
| Volume | B | Crossing Volume | 55 | \% |  |  |
| 2. Delay to Cross Traffic | A | Main Road | 100 | \% | $\Gamma$ | V |  |  |
|  | B | Crossing Road | 80 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Combination | A | Justificaton 1 | 55 | \% |  |  |  |  |
|  | B | Justification 2 | 80 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 4. 4-Hr Volume |  |  | 49 | \% | $\Gamma$ | V |  |  |


| 5. Collision Experience | $7 \quad \%$ | $\square$ | $\boxed{ }$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 6. Pedestrians | A | Volume | Justification not met | $\Gamma$ | $V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Delay | Justification not met |  |  |


| Input Data Sheet | Analysis Sheet |  | Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What are the intersecting roadways? | MR 80 at Shirley Avenue |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |
| What is the direction of the Main Road street? | North-South | $\square$ | Whe | data collected? | Total Traffic Adj1(ShirleyX2) |  |

## Justification 1-4: Volume Warrants

a.- Number of lanes on the Main Road?
b.- Number of lanes on the Minor Road?
c.- How many approaches? $\quad 4$
d.- What is the operating environment? Rural Population < 10,000 AND Speed $>=\mathbf{7 0} \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$
e.- What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the intersection? (Please fill in table below)

| Hour Ending | Main Northbound Approach |  |  | Minor Eastbound Approach |  |  | Main Southbound Approach |  |  | Minor Westbound Approach |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pedestrians } \\ & \text { Crossing Main } \end{aligned}$Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |
| 8:00 | 25 | 344 | 37 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1,029 | 31 | 23 | 14 | 4 | 2 |
| 9:00 | 53 | 414 | 74 | 60 | 38 | 47 | 3 | 811 | 67 | 26 | 30 | 1 | 31 |
| 12:00 | 9 | 571 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 568 | 11 | 14 | 6 | 3 | 4 |
| 13:00 | 6 | 607 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 618 | 8 | 20 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
| 15:00 | 13 | 727 | 19 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 628 | 18 | 19 | 10 | 3 | 12 |
| 16:00 | 27 | 946 | 34 | 70 | 32 | 54 | 2 | 635 | 35 | 17 | 16 | 7 | 24 |
| 17:00 | 14 | 1,231 | 35 | 23 | 10 | 18 | 3 | 579 | 18 | 26 | 8 | 4 | 3 |
| 18:00 | 3 | 1,070 | 25 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 526 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 1 |
| Total | 150 | 5,910 | 247 | 189 | 96 | 148 | 23 | 5,394 | 191 | 159 | 90 | 34 | 81 |

## Justification 5: Collision Experience

| Preceding <br> Months | Number of Collisions |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-12$ | 0 |
| $13-24$ | 0 |
| $25-36$ | 0 |

* Include only collisions that are susceptable to correction
through the installation of traffic signal control


## Justification 6: Pedestrian Volume

a.- Please fill in table below summarizing total pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

|  | Zone 1 |  | Zone 2 |  | Zone 3 (if needed) |  | Zone 4 (if needed) |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted |  |
| Total 8 hour pedestrian volume | 75 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume | 156 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| \% Assigned to crossing rate | 23\% |  | 34\% |  | 30\% |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Crossing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |
| Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Stre | Being Cro | sed |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,000 |

b.- Please fill in table below summarizing delay to pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.


| Analysis Sheet | Input Sheet | Results Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification： |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Intersection：MR 80 at Shirley Avenue |  |  |  |  |

## Justification 1：Minimum Vehicle Volumes

Free Flow Rural Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Lanes |  | 2 or More Lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | fREE FLOW | RESTR． FLOW | FREE FLOW | RESTR． FLOW $\Gamma$ | 8：00 | 9：00 | 12：00 | 13：00 | 15：00 | 16：00 | 17：00 | 18：00 |  |  |
| 1A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 1，520 | 1，624 | 1，213 | 1，307 | 1，449 | 1，875 | 1，969 | 1，674 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \％ |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 800 | 100 |
| 1B | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 | 53 | 202 | 45 | 48 | 38 | 196 | 89 | 45 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \％ |  |  |  | 44 | 100 | 38 | 40 | 32 | 100 | 74 | 38 | 465 | 58 |
|  | Signal | Signal Justification 1： |  |  | Both 1A and 1B 100\％Fullfilled each of 8 hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Justification 2：Delay to Cross Traffic
Free Flow Rural Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 lanes |  | 2 or More lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | free flow | RESTR． <br> FLOW <br> 「 | free flow | RESTR． <br> FLOW <br> $\Gamma$ | 8：00 | 9：00 | 12：00 | 13：00 | 15：00 | 16：00 | 17：00 | 18：00 |  |  |
| 2A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 1，467 | 1，422 | 1，168 | 1，259 | 1，411 | 1，679 | 1，880 | 1，629 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \％ |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 800 | 100 |
| 2B | 50 | 75 | 50 | 75 | 44 | 155 | 34 | 36 | 43 | 143 | 62 | 30 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \％ |  |  |  | 88 | 100 | 68 | 72 | 86 | 100 | 100 | 60 | 674 | 84 |
|  | Signal | e Flow | 2： |  | Lesser of 2A or 2B at least 80\％fulfilled each of 8 hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \nabla \\ & \Gamma \end{aligned}$ |  |

## Justification 3：Combination

Combination Justification 1 and 2

| Justification Satisfied 80\％or More |  |  |  | Two Justifications Satisfied 80\％or More |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Justification 1 | Minimun Vehicular Volume | YES 「 | NO $V$ | YES 「 | NO $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Justification 2 | Delay Cross Traffic | YES $V$ | NO $\square$ |  | NOT JUSTIFIED |

## Justification 4：Four Hour Volume

| Justification | Time Period | Total Volume of Both Approaches（Main） | Heaviest Minor Approach | Required Value | Average \％Compliance | Overall \％ Compliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | $Y$（actual） | $Y$（warrant threshold） |  |  |
| Justification 4 | 8：00 | 1，467 | 41 | 115 | 36 \％ | 50 \％ |
|  | 16：00 | 1，679 | 156 | 115 | $100 \%$ |  |
|  | 17：00 | 1，880 | 51 | 115 | 44 \％ |  |
|  | 18：00 | 1，629 | 23 | 115 | $20 \%$ |  |


| Results Sheet | Input Sheet | Analysis Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue
Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic Adj1(ShirleyX2)

## Summary Results

| Justification |  |  | Compliance |  | Signal Justified? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | YES | NO |
| 1. Minimum | A | Total Volume |  |  | 100 | \% | $\square$ | V |
| Volume | B | Crossing Volume | 58 | \% |  |  |
| 2. Delay to Cross Traffic | A | Main Road | 100 | \% | $\Gamma$ | V |  |  |
|  | B | Crossing Road | 84 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Combination | A | Justificaton 1 | 58 | \% |  |  |  |  |
|  | B | Justification 2 | 84 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 4. 4-Hr Volume |  |  | 50 | \% | $\Gamma$ | $\nabla$ |  |  |


| 5. Collision Experience | $7 \quad \%$ | $\square$ | $\boxed{ }$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 6. Pedestrians | A | Volume | Justification not met | $\Gamma$ | $V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Delay | Justification not met |  |  |


| Input Data Sheet | Analysis Sheet | Results Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What are the intersecting roadways? | MR 80 at Shirley Avenue |  |  |  | $\square$ |
| What is the direction of the Main Road street? | North-South | $\square \quad$ When | data collected? | 2026 Total Traffic (Adj. $270 \%$ from North) | orth) |

## Justification 1-4: Volume Warrants

a.- Number of lanes on the Main Road?
b.- Number of lanes on the Minor Road?
c.- How many approaches? $\quad 4$
d.- What is the operating environment? Rural Population < 10,000 AND Speed $>=\mathbf{7 0} \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$
e.- What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the intersection? (Please fill in table below)

| Hour Ending | Main Northbound Approach |  |  | Minor Eastbound Approach |  |  | Main Southbound Approach |  |  | Minor Westbound Approach |  |  | Pedestrians Crossing Main Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |
| 8:00 | 14 | 344 | 37 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1,029 | 49 | 23 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| 9:00 | 30 | 414 | 74 | 98 | 19 | 28 | 3 | 811 | 105 | 26 | 15 | 1 | 31 |
| 12:00 | 5 | 571 | 6 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 568 | 18 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 13:00 | 4 | 607 | 17 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 618 | 12 | 20 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| 15:00 | 9 | 727 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 628 | 32 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 12 |
| 16:00 | 16 | 946 | 34 | 109 | 16 | 31 | 2 | 635 | 54 | 17 | 8 | 7 | 24 |
| 17:00 | 8 | 1,231 | 35 | 36 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 579 | 28 | 26 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 18:00 | 2 | 1,070 | 25 | 16 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 526 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| Total | 88 | 5,910 | 247 | 298 | 48 | 87 | 23 | 5,394 | 303 | 159 | 45 | 34 | 81 |

## Justification 5: Collision Experience

| Preceding <br> Months | Number of Collisions |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-12$ | 0 |
| $13-24$ | 0 |
| $25-36$ | 0 |

* Include only collisions that are susceptable to correction
through the installation of traffic signal control


## Justification 6: Pedestrian Volume

a.- Please fill in table below summarizing total pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

|  | Zone 1 |  | Zone 2 |  | Zone 3 (if needed) |  | Zone 4 (if needed) |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted |  |
| Total 8 hour pedestrian volume | 75 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume | 156 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| \% Assigned to crossing rate | 23\% |  | 34\% |  | 30\% |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Crossing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |
| Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Stre | Being Cro | sed |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,000 |

b.- Please fill in table below summarizing delay to pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.


| Analysis Sheet | Input Sheet | Results Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -nput Sheet |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue
Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic (Adj. 2 70\% from North)

## Justification 1: Minimum Vehicle Volumes

Free Flow Rural Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Lanes |  | 2 or More Lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | fREE FLOW | RESTR. FLOW $\square$ | FREE FLOW | RESTR. FLOW | 8:00 | 9:00 | 12:00 | 13:00 | 15:00 | 16:00 | 17:00 | 18:00 |  |  |
| 1A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 1,520 | 1,624 | 1,213 | 1,307 | 1,454 | 1,875 | 1,969 | 1,674 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 800 | 100 |
| 1B | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 | 46 | 187 | 42 | 46 | 33 | 188 | 85 | 44 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 38 | 100 | 35 | 38 | 28 | 100 | 71 | 37 | 447 | 56 |
|  | Signal ${ }^{\text {Fr }}$ | e Flow | 1: |  | Lesser of 1A or 1B at least $80 \%$ fulfilled each of 8 hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{v}}$ |  |

Justification 2: Delay to Cross Traffic
Free Flow Rural Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 lanes |  | 2 or More lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | free flow | RESTR. <br> FLOW <br> $\Gamma$ | FREE FLOW | RESTR. <br> FLOW <br> $\Gamma$ | 8:00 | 9:00 | 12:00 | 13:00 | 15:00 | 16:00 | 17:00 | 18:00 |  |  |
| 2A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 1,474 | 1,437 | 1,171 | 1,261 | 1,421 | 1,687 | 1,884 | 1,630 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 800 | 100 |
| 2B | 50 | 75 | 50 | 75 | 40 | 174 | 36 | 38 | 40 | 166 | 70 | 33 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 80 | 100 | 72 | 76 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 66 | 674 | 84 |
| Free Flow |  |  |  |  | Both 2A and 2B 100\% Fullfilled each of 8 hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{v} \\ & \Gamma \end{aligned}$ |  |

Justification 3: Combination
Combination Justification 1 and 2

| Justification Satisfied 80\% or More |  |  |  | Two Justifications Satisfied 80\% or More |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Justification 1 | Minimun Vehicular Volume | YES 「 | NO $V$ | YES $\Gamma$ | NO $\nabla$ |
| Justification 2 | Delay Cross Traffic | YES $/ \checkmark$ | NO $\square$ |  | NOT JUSTIFIED |

## Justification 4: Four Hour Volume

| Justification | Time Period | Total Volume of Both Approaches (Main) | Heaviest Minor Approach | Required Value | Average \% Compliance | Overall \% Compliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | $Y$ (actual) | $Y$ (warrant threshold) |  |  |
| Justification 4 | 8:00 | 1,474 | 34 | 115 | $30 \%$ | 48 \% |
|  | 16:00 | 1,687 | 156 | 115 | $100 \%$ |  |
|  | 17:00 | 1,884 | 51 | 115 | 44 \% |  |
|  | 18:00 | 1,630 | 23 | 115 | $20 \%$ |  |



Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue
Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic (Adj. 2 70\% from North)

## Summary Results

| Justification |  |  | Compliance |  | Signal Justified? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | YES | NO |
| 1. Minimum Vehicular | A | Total Volume |  |  | 100 | \% | $\square$ | V |
| Volume | B | Crossing Volume | 56 | \% |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. Delay to } \\ & \text { Cross } \\ & \text { Traffic } \end{aligned}$ | A | Main Road | 100 | \% | $\square$ | V |  |  |
|  | B | Crossing Road | 84 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Combination | A | Justificaton 1 | 56 | \% | $\Gamma$ |  |  |  |
|  | B | Justification 2 | 84 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 4. 4-Hr Volume |  |  | 48 | \% | Г | V |  |  |


| 5. Collision Experience | $7 \quad \%$ | $\square$ | $\nabla$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 6. Pedestrians | A | Volume | Justification not met | $\Gamma$ | $\nabla$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay | Justification not met |  |  |


| Input Data Sheet | Analysis Sheet | Results Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What are the intersecting roadways? | MR 80 at Shirley Avenue |  |  |  | $\square$ |
| What is the direction of the Main Road street? | North-South | $\square \quad$ When | e data collected? | Total Traffic (Adj 3 PedsX2) |  |

## Justification 1-4: Volume Warrants

a.- Number of lanes on the Main Road?
b.- Number of lanes on the Minor Road?
c.- How many approaches? $\quad 4$
d.- What is the operating environment? $\quad$ Rural Population $<\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ AND Speed $>=\mathbf{7 0} \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$
e.- What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the intersection? (Please fill in table below)

| Hour Ending | Main Northbound Approach |  |  | Minor Eastbound Approach |  |  | Main Southbound Approach |  |  | Minor Westbound Approach |  |  | Pedestrians Crossing Main Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |
| 8:00 | 28 | 344 | 37 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1,029 | 35 | 23 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| 9:00 | 60 | 414 | 74 | 70 | 19 | 56 | 3 | 811 | 75 | 26 | 15 | 1 | 60 |
| 12:00 | 10 | 571 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 568 | 13 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 13:00 | 7 | 607 | 17 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 618 | 9 | 20 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| 15:00 | 18 | 727 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 628 | 23 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 20 |
| 16:00 | 31 | 946 | 34 | 78 | 16 | 62 | 2 | 635 | 39 | 17 | 8 | 7 | 46 |
| 17:00 | 16 | 1,231 | 35 | 26 | 5 | 20 | 3 | 579 | 20 | 26 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 18:00 | 3 | 1,070 | 25 | 12 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 526 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| Total | 173 | 5,910 | 247 | 215 | 48 | 170 | 23 | 5,394 | 218 | 159 | 45 | 34 | 140 |

## Justification 5: Collision Experience

| Preceding <br> Months | Number of Collisions* |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-12$ | 0 |
| $13-24$ |  |
| $25-36$ |  |

* Include only collisions that are susceptable to correction
through the installation of traffic signal control


## Justification 6: Pedestrian Volume

a.- Please fill in table below summarizing total pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

|  | Zone 1 |  | Zone 2 |  | Zone 3 (if needed) |  | Zone 4 (if needed) |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted |  |
| Total 8 hour pedestrian volume | 75 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume | 156 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| \% Assigned to crossing rate | 23\% |  | 34\% |  | 30\% |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Crossing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |
| Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Stre | Being Cro | sed |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,000 |

b.- Please fill in table below summarizing delay to pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

|  |  | e 1 |  | e 2 | Zone 3 | needed) | Zone 4 | needed) | Tota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted |  |
| Total 8 hour pedestrian volume | 75 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total 8 hour pedestrians delayed greater than 10 seconds | 70 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Factored volume of total pedestrians | 156 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Factored volume of delayed pedestrians | 145 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| \% Assigned to Crossing Rate | 23\% |  | 34\% |  | 30\% |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Net 8 Hour Volume of Total Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |
| Net 8 Hour Volume of Delayed Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |


| Analysis Sheet |  |  |  | Input Sheet |  | Results Sheet |  | Proposed Collision |  |  | GO TO Justification： |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection：MR 80 at Shirley Avenue |  |  |  |  |  | Count Date： 2026 Total Traffic（Adj 3 PedsX2） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Justification 1：Minimum Vehicle Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free Flow Rural Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section Percent |
|  | 1 Lanes |  | 2 or More Lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | FREE FLOW | RESTR． FLOW $\square$ | FREE FLOW | RESTR． fLow $\Gamma$ | 8：00 | 9：00 | 12：00 | 13：00 | 15：00 | 16：00 | 17：00 | 18：00 |  |  |
| 1A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 1，520 | 1，624 | 1，213 | 1，307 | 1，454 | 1，875 | 1，969 | 1，674 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \％ |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 800 | 100 |
| 1B | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 | 46 | 187 | 42 | 46 | 33 | 188 | 85 | 44 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \％ |  |  |  | 38 | 100 | 35 | 38 | 28 | 100 | 71 | 37 | 447 | 56 |
| Free Flow |  |  |  | Signal Justification 1： | Both 1A and 1B 100\％Fullfilled each of 8 hours |  |  |  | Lesser of 1A or 1B at least $80 \%$ fulfilled each of 8 hours | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \square \\ & \text { Yes } \square \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \sqrt{v} \\ & \text { No } \sqrt{V} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Justification 2：Delay to Cross Traffic
Free Flow Rural Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 lanes |  | 2 or More lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | FREE FLOW | RESTR． FLOW $\square$ | FREE FLOW | RESTR． FLOW $\Gamma$ $\square$ | 8：00 | 9：00 | 12：00 | 13：00 | 15：00 | 16：00 | 17：00 | 18：00 |  |  |
| 2A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 1，474 | 1，437 | 1，171 | 1，261 | 1，421 | 1，687 | 1，884 | 1，630 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \％ |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 800 | 100 |
| 2B | 50 | 75 | 50 | 75 | 38 | 175 | 32 | 35 | 47 | 157 | 60 | 29 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \％ |  |  |  | 76 | 100 | 64 | 70 | 94 | 100 | 100 | 58 | 662 | 83 |
|  |  | e Flow |  |  | Both 2A an Lesser of | 00\％Fu | each | h of 8 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{v} \\ & \Gamma \end{aligned}$ |  |

Justification 3：Combination
Combination Justification 1 and 2

| Justification Satisfied 80\％or More |  |  |  | Two Justifications Satisfied 80\％or More |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Justification 1 | Minimun Vehicular Volume | YES 「 | NO $V$ | YES 「 | NO $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Justification 2 | Delay Cross Traffic | YES $V$ | NO $\square$ |  | NOT JUSTIFIED |

## Justification 4：Four Hour Volume

| Justification | Time Period | Total Volume of Both Approaches（Main） | Heaviest Minor Approach | Required Value | Average \％Compliance | Overall \％ Compliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | $Y$（actual） | $Y$（warrant threshold） |  |  |
| Justification 4 | 8：00 | 1，474 | 34 | 115 | $30 \%$ | 48 \％ |
|  | 16：00 | 1，687 | 156 | 115 | $100 \%$ |  |
|  | 17：00 | 1，884 | 51 | 115 | 44 \％ |  |
|  | 18：00 | 1，630 | 23 | 115 | 20 \％ |  |


| Results Sheet | Input Sheet | Analysis Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue

## Summary Results

| Justification |  |  | Compliance |  | Signal Justified? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | YES | NO |
| 1. Minimum Vehicular Volume | A | Total Volume |  |  | 100 | \% | $\square$ | V |
|  | B | Crossing Volume | 56 | \% |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. Delay to } \\ & \text { Cross } \\ & \text { Traffic } \end{aligned}$ | A | Main Road | 100 | \% | $\Gamma$ | V |  |  |
|  | B | Crossing Road | 83 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Combination | A | Justificaton 1 | 56 | \% | $\Gamma$ |  |  |  |
|  | B | Justification 2 | 83 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 4. 4-Hr Volume |  |  | 48 | \% | $\Gamma$ | V |  |  |


| 5. Collision Experience | $7 \quad \%$ | $\square$ | $\nabla$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 6. Pedestrians | A | Volume | Justification not met | $\Gamma$ | $\nabla$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay | Justification not met |  |  |


| Input Data Sheet | Analysis Sheet | Results Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What are the intersecting roadways? | MR 80 at Shirley Avenue |  |  |  | $\square$ |
| What is the direction of the Main Road street? | North-South | $\square \quad$ When | e data collected? | Total Traffic Adj1+2+3 |  |

## Justification 1-4: Volume Warrants

a.- Number of lanes on the Main Road?
b.- Number of lanes on the Minor Road?
c.- How many approaches? $\quad 4$
d.- What is the operating environment? $\quad$ Rural Population $<\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ AND Speed $>=\mathbf{7 0} \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$
e.- What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the intersection? (Please fill in table below)

| Hour Ending | Main Northbound Approach |  |  | Minor Eastbound Approach |  |  | Main Southbound Approach |  |  | Minor Westbound Approach |  |  | Pedestrians Crossing Main Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |
| 8:00 | 13 | 344 | 37 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1,029 | 43 | 23 | 14 | 4 | 2 |
| 9:00 | 27 | 414 | 74 | 83 | 38 | 24 | 3 | 811 | 93 | 26 | 30 | 1 | 60 |
| 12:00 | 5 | 571 | 6 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 568 | 15 | 14 | 6 | 3 | 4 |
| 13:00 | 3 | 607 | 17 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 618 | 11 | 20 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
| 15:00 | 7 | 727 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 628 | 24 | 19 | 10 | 3 | 20 |
| 16:00 | 14 | 946 | 34 | 97 | 32 | 27 | 2 | 635 | 48 | 17 | 16 | 7 | 46 |
| 17:00 | 7 | 1,231 | 35 | 32 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 579 | 25 | 26 | 8 | 4 | 3 |
| 18:00 | 2 | 1,070 | 25 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 526 | 4 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 1 |
| Total | 78 | 5,910 | 247 | 262 | 96 | 75 | 23 | 5,394 | 263 | 159 | 90 | 34 | 140 |

## Justification 5: Collision Experience

| Preceding <br> Months | Number of Collisions |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-12$ | 0 |
| $13-24$ | 0 |
| $25-36$ | 0 |

* Include only collisions that are susceptable to correction
through the installation of traffic signal control


## Justification 6: Pedestrian Volume

a.- Please fill in table below summarizing total pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

|  | Zone 1 |  | Zone 2 |  | Zone 3 (if needed) |  | Zone 4 (if needed) |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted |  |
| Total 8 hour pedestrian volume | 75 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume | 156 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| \% Assigned to crossing rate | 23\% |  | 34\% |  | 30\% |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Crossing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |
| Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Stre | Being Cro | sed |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,000 |

b.- Please fill in table below summarizing delay to pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.


| Analysis Sheet | Input Sheet | Results Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Justification 1: Minimum Vehicle Volumes

Free Flow Rural Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Lanes |  | 2 or More Lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | fREE FLOW | RESTR. FLOW | FREE FLOW | RESTR. FLOW | 8:00 | 9:00 | 12:00 | 13:00 | 15:00 | 16:00 | 17:00 | 18:00 |  |  |
| 1A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 1,520 | 1,624 | 1,213 | 1,307 | 1,449 | 1,875 | 1,969 | 1,674 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 800 | 100 |
| 1B | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 | 53 | 202 | 45 | 48 | 38 | 196 | 89 | 45 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 44 | 100 | 38 | 40 | 32 | 100 | 74 | 38 | 465 | 58 |
|  | Signal Justification 1: |  |  |  | Both 1A and 1B 100\% Fullfilled each of 8 hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Justification 2: Delay to Cross Traffic
Free Flow Rural Conditions


## Justification 3: Combination

Combination Justification 1 and 2

| Justification Satisfied 80\% or More |  |  |  | Two Justifications Satisfied 80\% or More |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Justification 1 | Minimun Vehicular Volume | YES $\quad$ | NO $\bar{V}$ | YES $\square$ | NO $\bar{\checkmark}$ |
| Justification 2 | Delay Cross Traffic | YES $\sqrt{ }$ | NO $\square$ |  | NOT JUSTIFIED |

## Justification 4: Four Hour Volume

| Justification | Time Period | Total Volume of Both Approaches (Main) | Heaviest Minor Approach | Required Value | Average \% Compliance | Overall \% Compliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | Y (actual) | $Y$ (warrant threshold) |  |  |
| Justification 4 | 8:00 | 1,467 | 41 | 115 | 36 \% | 50 \% |
|  | 16:00 | 1,679 | 156 | 115 | $100 \%$ |  |
|  | 17:00 | 1,880 | 51 | 115 | 44 \% |  |
|  | 18:00 | 1,629 | 23 | 115 | 20 \% |  |


| Results Sheet | Input Sheet | Analysis Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue
Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic Adj1+2+3

## Summary Results

| Justification |  |  | Compliance |  | Signal Justified? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | YES | NO |
| 1. Minimum | A | Total Volume |  |  | 100 | \% | $\square$ | V |
| Volume | B | Crossing Volume | 58 | \% |  |  |
| 2. Delay to Cross Traffic | A | Main Road | 100 | \% | $\Gamma$ | V |  |  |
|  | B | Crossing Road | 89 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Combination | A | Justificaton 1 | 58 | \% |  |  |  |  |
|  | B | Justification 2 | 89 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 4. 4-Hr Volume |  |  | 50 | \% | $\square$ | $\nabla$ |  |  |


| 5. Collision Experience | $7 \quad \%$ | $\square$ | $\boxed{ }$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 6. Pedestrians | A | Volume | Justification not met | $\Gamma$ | $V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Delay | Justification not met |  |  |


| Input Data Sheet | Analysis Sheet | Results Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What are the intersecting roadways? | MR 80 at Shirley Avenue |  |  |  | - |
| What is the direction of the Main Road street? | North-South | $\square \quad$ When | data collected? | Total Traffic (Adj 4 Non-school LT+50\%) |  |

## Justification 1-4: Volume Warrants

a.- Number of lanes on the Main Road?
b.- Number of lanes on the Minor Road?
c.- How many approaches? $\quad 4$
d.- What is the operating environment? $\quad$ Rural Population $<\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ AND Speed $>=\mathbf{7 0} \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$
e.- What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the intersection? (Please fill in table below)

| Hour Ending | Main Northbound Approach |  |  | Minor Eastbound Approach |  |  | Main Southbound Approach |  |  | Minor Westbound Approach |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pedestrians } \\ & \text { Crossing Main } \end{aligned}$Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |
| 8:00 | 28 | 344 | 37 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1,029 | 35 | 35 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| 9:00 | 60 | 414 | 74 | 70 | 19 | 56 | 3 | 811 | 75 | 39 | 15 | 1 | 31 |
| 12:00 | 10 | 571 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 568 | 13 | 21 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 13:00 | 7 | 607 | 17 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 618 | 9 | 30 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| 15:00 | 18 | 727 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 628 | 23 | 29 | 5 | 3 | 12 |
| 16:00 | 31 | 946 | 34 | 78 | 16 | 62 | 2 | 635 | 39 | 26 | 8 | 7 | 24 |
| 17:00 | 16 | 1,231 | 35 | 26 | 5 | 20 | 3 | 579 | 20 | 39 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 18:00 | 3 | 1,070 | 25 | 12 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 526 | 4 | 21 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| Total | 173 | 5,910 | 247 | 215 | 48 | 170 | 23 | 5,394 | 218 | 240 | 45 | 34 | 81 |

## Justification 5: Collision Experience

| Preceding <br> Months | Number of Collisions |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-12$ | 0 |
| $13-24$ | 0 |
| $25-36$ | 0 |

* Include only collisions that are susceptable to correction
through the installation of traffic signal control


## Justification 6: Pedestrian Volume

a.- Please fill in table below summarizing total pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

|  | Zone 1 |  | Zone 2 |  | Zone 3 (if needed) |  | Zone 4 (if needed) |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted |  |
| Total 8 hour pedestrian volume | 75 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume | 156 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| \% Assigned to crossing rate | 23\% |  | 34\% |  | 30\% |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Crossing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |
| Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Stre | Being Cro | sed |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,000 |

b.- Please fill in table below summarizing delay to pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.


| Analysis Sheet | Input Sheet | Results Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification： |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | － |

## Justification 1：Minimum Vehicle Volumes

Free Flow Rural Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Lanes |  | 2 or More Lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | fREE FLOW | RESTR． FLOW | FREE FLOW | RESTR． FLOW $\Gamma$ | 8：00 | 9：00 | 12：00 | 13：00 | 15：00 | 16：00 | 17：00 | 18：00 |  |  |
| 1A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 1，532 | 1，637 | 1，220 | 1，317 | 1，464 | 1，884 | 1，982 | 1，681 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \％ |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 800 | 100 |
| 1B | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 | 58 | 200 | 49 | 56 | 43 | 197 | 98 | 51 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \％ |  |  |  | 48 | 100 | 41 | 47 | 36 | 100 | 82 | 43 | 496 | 62 |
|  | Signal | Signal Justification 1： |  |  | Both 1A and 1B 100\％Fullfilled each of 8 hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Justification 2：Delay to Cross Traffic
Free Flow Rural Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 lanes |  | 2 or More lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | FREE FLOW | RESTR． FLOW $\square$ | FREE FLOW | RESTR． FLOW $\Gamma$ $\square$ | 8：00 | 9：00 | 12：00 | 13：00 | 15：00 | 16：00 | 17：00 | 18：00 |  |  |
| 2A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 1，474 | 1，437 | 1，171 | 1，261 | 1，421 | 1，687 | 1，884 | 1，630 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \％ |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 800 | 100 |
| 2B | 50 | 75 | 50 | 75 | 50 | 159 | 39 | 45 | 49 | 144 | 73 | 36 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \％ |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 78 | 90 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 72 | 738 | 92 |
|  | Free Flow |  |  |  | Both 2A and 2B 100\％Fullfilled each of 8 hours |  |  |  |  | Yes Г |  | No $\sqrt{-}$ |  |  |
|  | Signal Justification 2： |  |  |  | Lesser of 2A or 2B at least 80\％fulfilled each of 8 hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Justification 3：Combination

Combination Justification 1 and 2

| Justification Satisfied 80\％or More |  |  |  | Two Justifications Satisfied 80\％or More |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Justification 1 | Minimun Vehicular Volume | YES $\quad$ | NO | YES 「 | NO $\bar{\checkmark}$ |
| Justification 2 | Delay Cross Traffic | YES $V$ | NO $\square$ |  | NOT JUSTIFIED |

## Justification 4：Four Hour Volume

| Justification | Time Period | Total Volume of Both Approaches（Main） | Heaviest Minor Approach | Required Value | Average \％Compliance | Overall \％ Compliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | $Y$（actual） | $Y$（warrant threshold） |  |  |
| Justification 4 | 8：00 | 1，474 | 46 | 115 | 40 \％ | 52 \％ |
|  | 16：00 | 1，687 | 156 | 115 | $100 \%$ |  |
|  | 17：00 | 1，884 | 51 | 115 | 44 \％ |  |
|  | 18：00 | 1，630 | 28 | 115 | $24 \%$ |  |


| Results Sheet | Input Sheet | Analysis Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic (Adj 4 Non-school LT+50\%)

## Summary Results

| Justification |  |  | Compliance |  | Signal Justified? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | YES | NO |
| 1. Minimum | A | Total Volume |  |  | 100 | \% | $\square$ | V |
| Volume | B | Crossing Volume | 62 | \% |  |  |
| 2. Delay to Cross Traffic | A | Main Road | 100 | \% | $\Gamma$ | V |  |  |
|  | B | Crossing Road | 92 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Combination | A | Justificaton 1 | 62 | \% | Г |  |  |  |
|  | B | Justification 2 | 92 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 4. 4-Hr Volume |  |  | 52 | \% | $\square$ | V |  |  |


| 5. Collision Experience | $7 \quad \%$ | $\square$ | $\boxed{ }$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 6. Pedestrians | A | Volume | Justification not met | $\Gamma$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Delay | Justification not met |  |  |



## Justification 1-4: Volume Warrants

a.- Number of lanes on the Main Road?
b.- Number of lanes on the Minor Road?
c.- How many approaches? $\quad 4$
d.- What is the operating environment? Rural Population $<\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ AND Speed $>=\mathbf{7 0} \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$
e.- What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the intersection? (Please fill in table below)

| Hour Ending | Main Northbound Approach |  |  | Minor Eastbound Approach |  |  | Main Southbound Approach |  |  | Minor Westbound Approach |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pedestrians } \\ & \text { Crossing Main } \end{aligned}$Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |
| 8:00 | 28 | 344 | 37 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1,029 | 35 | 46 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| 9:00 | 60 | 414 | 74 | 70 | 19 | 56 | 3 | 811 | 75 | 52 | 15 | 1 | 31 |
| 12:00 | 10 | 571 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 568 | 13 | 28 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 13:00 | 7 | 607 | 17 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 618 | 9 | 40 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| 15:00 | 18 | 727 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 628 | 23 | 38 | 5 | 3 | 12 |
| 16:00 | 31 | 946 | 34 | 78 | 16 | 62 | 2 | 635 | 39 | 34 | 8 | 7 | 24 |
| 17:00 | 16 | 1,231 | 35 | 26 | 5 | 20 | 3 | 579 | 20 | 52 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 18:00 | 3 | 1,070 | 25 | 12 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 526 | 4 | 28 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| Total | 173 | 5,910 | 247 | 215 | 48 | 170 | 23 | 5,394 | 218 | 318 | 45 | 34 | 81 |

## Justification 5: Collision Experience

| Preceding <br> Months | Number of Collisions |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-12$ | 0 |
| $13-24$ | 0 |
| $25-36$ | 0 |

* Include only collisions that are susceptable to correction
through the installation of traffic signal control


## Justification 6: Pedestrian Volume

a.- Please fill in table below summarizing total pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

|  | Zone 1 |  | Zone 2 |  | Zone 3 (if needed) |  | Zone 4 (if needed) |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted | Assisted | Unassisted |  |
| Total 8 hour pedestrian volume | 75 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume | 156 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| \% Assigned to crossing rate | 23\% |  | 34\% |  | 30\% |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Crossing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |
| Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Stre | Being Cro | sed |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,000 |

b.- Please fill in table below summarizing delay to pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.


| Analysis Sheet | Input Sheet | Results Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -nput Sheet | Results Sheet | Proposed Collision | - |

## Justification 1: Minimum Vehicle Volumes

Free Flow Rural Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Lanes |  | 2 or More Lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | FREE FLOW | RESTR. FLOW $\square$ | FREE FLOW | RESTR. FLOW $\square$ | 8:00 | 9:00 | 12:00 | 13:00 | 15:00 | 16:00 | 17:00 | 18:00 |  |  |
| 1A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 1,543 | 1,650 | 1,227 | 1,327 | 1,473 | 1,892 | 1,995 | 1,688 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 800 | 100 |
| 1B | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 | 69 | 213 | 56 | 66 | 52 | 205 | 111 | 58 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 58 | 100 | 47 | 55 | 43 | 100 | 93 | 48 | 543 | 68 |
|  | Signal | Signal Justification 1: |  |  | Both 1A and 1B 100\% Fullfilled each of 8 hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Justification 2: Delay to Cross Traffic
Free Flow Rural Conditions


## Justification 3: Combination

Combination Justification 1 and 2

| Justification Satisfied 80\% or More |  |  |  | Two Justifications Satisfied 80\% or More |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Justification 1 | Minimun Vehicular Volume | YES $\quad$ | NO | YES 「 | NO $\bar{\checkmark}$ |
| Justification 2 | Delay Cross Traffic | YES $V$ | NO $\square$ |  | NOT JUSTIFIED |

## Justification 4: Four Hour Volume

| Justification | Time Period | Total Volume of Both Approaches (Main) | Heaviest Minor Approach | Required Value | Average \% Compliance | Overall \% Compliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | $Y$ (actual) | $Y$ (warrant threshold) |  |  |
| Justification 4 | 8:00 | 1,474 | 57 | 115 | 50 \% | 58 \% |
|  | 16:00 | 1,687 | 156 | 115 | $100 \%$ |  |
|  | 17:00 | 1,884 | 60 | 115 | 52 \% |  |
|  | 18:00 | 1,630 | 35 | 115 | $30 \%$ |  |



Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue

## Summary Results

| Justification |  |  | Compliance |  | Signal Justified? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | YES | NO |
| 1. Minimum Vehicular | A | Total Volume |  |  | 100 | \% | $\square$ | V |
| Volume | B | Crossing Volume | 68 | \% |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. Delay to } \\ & \text { Cross } \\ & \text { Traffic } \end{aligned}$ | A | Main Road | 100 | \% | $\square$ | V |  |  |
|  | B | Crossing Road | 97 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Combination | A | Justificaton 1 | 68 | \% | $\Gamma$ |  |  |  |
|  | B | Justification 2 | 97 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 4. 4-Hr Volume |  |  | 58 | \% | Г | V |  |  |


| 5. Collision Experience | $7 \quad \%$ | $\square$ | $\nabla$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 6. Pedestrians | A | Volume | Justification not met | $\Gamma$ | $\nabla$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay | Justification not met |  |  |

## APPENDIX F

## Ontario Pedestrian Signal Warrants

MR 80 I Shirley Avenue

Book 12 - Traffic Signals

### 4.9 Justification 6 - Pedestrian Volume and Delay

## Purpose

The minimum pedestrian volume conditions are intended for applications where the traffic volume on a main road is so heavy that pedestrians experience excessive delay or hazard in crossing the main road, or where high pedestrian crossing volumes produce the likelihood of such delays.

The justification is applicable to an unsignalized intersection or a mid-block location.

Once justification has been established, determination of the appropriate crossing protection device should be subject to site-specific engineering judgement (see Guideline 3 for options).

## Standard

The need for a traffic control device at an intersection or mid-block location must be considered if both the following minimum pedestrian volume and delay criteria are met:

1. The total eight-hour pedestrian volume crossing the main road at an intersection or mid-block location during the highest eight hours of pedestrian traffic fulfils the


Figure 22 - Justification 6 - Pedestrian Volume


Figure 23 - Justification 6 - Pedestrian Delay
justification requirement identified in Figure 22. A tabular form of the justification values is provided in Table 18.
2. The total 8 -hour volume of pedestrians experiencing delays of ten seconds or more in crossing the road during the highest eight hours of pedestrian traffic fulfils the justification requirement identified in Figure 23. A tabular form of the justification values is provided in Table 19.

## Guidelines

1. If a roadway is crossed by pedestrians at several locations, and the introduction of a signal-protected crossing is likely to
consolidate the crossings at a single point, the road segment may be divided into zones, with an appropriate proportion of crossings in each zone reassigned to the signal-protected crossing zone included in Tables 16 and 17.
2. In the case of a divided roadway with a raised median at least 1.2 m wide, the justification may be calculated separately for each side. The "worst case" will govern the outcome: such that if a protected crossing is justified for one side, the entire crossing will be justified.
3. If both Justification 6 and a traffic engineering study determine that protection of pedestrian traffic crossing a roadway is appropriate, consideration may be given to the variety of options. Consistent municipal practice
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