

Proposed New Elementary School Municipal Road 80 Val Therese

Traffic Impact Study

Prepared by: **Tranplan Associates** Sudbury 705-522-0272 Toronto 416-670-2005 Peterborough 705-874-3638 <u>www.tranplan.com</u> Prepared for: Ô[}●^ãļÁ&[|ﷺ^Á&æ@[|ã˘^Áå`Á Þ[čç^|ËJ}œåã November 2019

TRAFFIC, TRANSIT, PARKING & TRANSPORTATION PLANNING 25 CERILLI CT., SUDBURY, ONTARIO P36 5R3 TEL: 705-522-0272



Ö^&ember 2, 2019

Guy Guillot Responsable des projets de construction Conseil scolaire catholique du Nouvel-Ontario 201 rue Jogues Sudbury, ON P2C 5L7

Dear Mr. Guillot:

Subject: Proposed New Elementary School MR 80, Val Therese Traffic Impact Study Final Report

We are pleased to submit our final Traffic Impact Study report dealing with your proposed new elementary school and day care centre on MR 80 in Val Therese. The school replaces three existing elementary schools in the Val Therese/Hanmer area.

While our technical analysis has shown that according to current Ontario (MTO) standards traffic signals are not warranted at the school entrance, it is our opinion that the school cannot function safely at this site without traffic signals. If the school is to be located at this site, we recommend full traffic signals on MR 80 to serve the school and Shirley Avenue.

The report also contains several recommendations implementing the City's policies on Active Transportation, including infrastructure improvements to encourage/facilitate walking, biking, transit and ridesharing.

It has been a pleasure assisting you with this project.

Yours truly,

Toivo Rukholm, P.Eng. Tranplan Associates



CONTENTSÁ

Sectio	on							Page Á
FÁ	Q:d[åĭ&cā[}Áæ)åÁÓæ&∖	*¦[`]	åÆ	Á	Á	Á	Á	<i>і</i>
GÁ	Ú¦āj&aja¢426ajåāj*•Áaaj	åÁÜ^8	[{ { ^}	åæda j	• Á Á	Á	Á	Á
HÁ	Ò¢ãaca],*ÁÔ[}åãaa[}∙Á	Á	Á	Á	Á	Á	ÁÁÁ	FFÁ
١Á	V¦æ-a&Á2[¦^&æeo•Á	Á	Á	Á	Á	Á	Á	ÁFHÁ
ÍÁ	Ôæ);æ&ãc ÁOE;æ^•ã:Á	Á	Á	Á	Á	Á	Á	Á krîá
ÎÁ	05;æ†^∙ã/{į,≁á@/Á⊳^^å/	Á{¦ÂÙã	t≹}æ¢ãa	ecaį́{}}Á	Á	Á	Á	Ák∓JÁ
ΪÁ Á	Ú^å^∙dãæ) ÐÓã&î& ^ÁØa	æðjátað	•ÁÁ	Á	Á	Á	Á	Á GIÁ

Á Á

APPENDICESÁ

Á Á

- $\begin{aligned} & O[[]^{} a \tilde{a} c \acute{A} OE \acute{A} & V & \approx 3 \mathcal{A} \acute{A} O[~~] \circ \acute{A} & [c \tilde{a} a^{a} \dot{A} a^{a} \dot{A} O \tilde{a} c \acute{A} & \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{$
- $O[]^{a} = \frac{1}{2} O[]^{a} = \frac{1}{2} O[^{a}] O[^{a}]$
- OE]]^}åã¢ÁÖÁ Ù^}&@[ÁPÔTÁQ;c^¦•^&cā]}ÁÔæ];æ&ãĉÁÜ^][¦o•Á
- OE[]^}åã¢ÁØÁ Ú^å^∙dãæ)Á/¦æ-a&ÁÛã*}æ∔Á∕æ¦æ)œ

EXHIBITSÁ ÁΞ ÁÁ Á Á Á Á Á Á Á Á Á Á S^^ÁTæ}∯ÁÄ Á FÈFÁ Á Á Á Á Á Á Á ÁFFÁ $\hat{A}F\hat{E}\hat{A}$ $\hat{U}|^{a}|_{\tilde{a}}$ $\hat{a}|_{\tilde{a}}\hat{A}\hat{A}\hat{U}|_{\tilde{a}}\hat{A}\hat{A}\hat{U}|_{\tilde{a}}\hat{A}\hat{A}\hat{A}$ Á Á Á Á Á₩FÁ ÁÁÁ Á ÁHÈEÁ Ò¢ã cũ} * Á/¦æ-a&ÁX[|ǐ{ ^• Áse} å ÁG€GÎ ÁÓæ&*¦[ǐ} å Á/¦æ-a&Á Á FFÁÁ ÁÈEÁ Ú¦[b^&c^åÁÙãr^Á/¦æ-3&Áæ)åÁG€GÎÁ/[œ4Á/¦æ-3&Á Á FÍ Á ЖÁ Á ÁÁÁÁÁÁ **TABLES**Á Á≡ Á Á Á ÁÁ Á Á Á Á Á Á Á $I \stackrel{\text{\tiny L}}{\to} \hat{O}[\{] \stackrel{\text{\tiny A}}{\Rightarrow} \hat{a}_{i} \stackrel{\text{\tiny A}}{\to} \hat{A}_{i} \stackrel{\text{\tiny A}}{=} \hat{A}_{i} \stackrel{\text{\tiny A}}{\to} \hat{A} \stackrel{\text{\tiny A}}{\to} \hat{A}_{i} \stackrel$ IÈCÁ V¦ãĮ ÁÕ^}^¦æeãį}Áà^ÁÚ¦[][•^åÁÞ^、ÁÙ&@[|ÁÁ Á Á FI Á Á ÍÈFÁ Ùĭ{ { æ¦^Á(, Á0), c^¦•^&cā; } ÁÔæ); æ&ãc Á05; æ¦^•ã Á FÎ Á



1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUNDÁ

$$\begin{split} \hat{V}_{i} &= 0 \\ \hat{V}_{i} &= 0 \\ \hat{A}_{i} &= 0 \\ \hat{A}_{i}$$

adá Ò&[|^ÁÙ&^Á/@:|^•^Á

ã DÁ Ò&[|^ÂÙơÁR[•^]@Á

ãããÁ Ò&[|^Á₽[d^ÁÖæ{{^A

Á



Exhibit 1.1: Key Map





NOUVELON



2

Óæ&∖*¦[`}åÁdæ-æ&Áç[|`{ ^•Á§IÁs@Aičå^ÁæA∞Á, ^¦^Á,¦[b/&c^åÁ§IÁG€GÎÁsæ=^åÁ;}Á æ) Áæe• č{ ^å Á* ¦[co@Á ¦æe^ Á[~ÁFĚ Ã Á] ^¦ Áæ) } č{ ÈÁÁV ¦æ-æ36Á* ^} ^ ¦æenait } Áà^ Áco@ Á] ¦[] [•^åÁ&@[| Á æ Á•œī æc^åÁaæ ^åÁ; } Á@ Á{ ||[_ ā * ÁQ ^^ÁTable 4.1DxÁ Uà•^¦ç^åÁd;ājÁ*^}^¦æeāj}Ásee/Ó&[|^ÁR^ae)ÁÚæĕ|Á0046j,ÁXæ4/Óæe[]}ÉA ÐÁ. Uà•^¦ç^åÁdā; Á*^}^¦æeā; } ÁæeÁc@ Ác@^^Á^¢ã; cā; * Á•&@[[|•Ác@eeA,āļÁà^Á ãDÁ Á æf æf*æf æ£^åÁ\$jd[Ás@^Á,^_Á&@][|ÈÁ Üæe^•Á -{ [{ Á c@•Á Q • cãč c^Á [-Á V¦æ} •] [¦ cæeā] } Á Ò} *ā ^^ ¦•Á CQ/ÒDÁ V¦ā! Á âada Õ^}^¦æaāį}ÁTæ)`æbÄ Á Á Ù&@[|Áà[æłåÁ[~æãæd+ Á@æç^Á&[} &^¦} • Áæà[č Á+ æ^c ÁæÁc@ ÁT ÜÁÌ €ÐÙ@ã|^^ Á OEc^}`^Áā[c^¦•^&ca]i}Áæ)åÁc@^^Á-^^|Ác@eecÁdæ-a&Á•ãi}æ)•Áæ/Ác@eA•[|`ca]i}ÈÁ OB&&{|¦åā];*|^Áx@ãrÁ;cčå^Á@æeÁ{;&`•^åÁ;}}Á^;cætĭæaā];*Á;@oc@o¦Á;ã*}ætā;}A&æa);Á&æa);Á&a);Á bŏ•cãāðàÈÁÁÙ]^&ãa¢Á•^}•ããã;ãĉÁæ);æ^^ãÁ@æ•Áà^^}Á&æd;åðåÁ[čoÁ[}Ác@eAdæ-a&Á -{ \^&æe @ Ádī Á^¢æ{ ā}^Á@{ \ Áåã-^\^} oÁæe `{] dā } • Áæà[` oÁ~` č \^Ád æ-æAÁ\^ç^|• Á . [č|åÁæ-^&cÁc@Á^•č|orÁ;Ác@Á?ã}}æ4Á,æ3¦æ3;óAs3;æ4^•ãÈÁ Á V@ðaÁ¦^][¦cÁå^•&¦äà^•Ác@^Á•čå^Á]¦[&^••ÈÁÁV@^ÁÚ¦ð]&ða]æþÁØðajåða]*•ÁæðjåÁ

Ü^&[{{ ^}åæaā]}•Áseb^Áj¦^•^}c^åÁ§iÁo@Á[[[[]ā]*Ái^&caā]}È



2.0 PRINCIPAL FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONSÁ

ÁÁÁ

- V@Á{I||[_ā]*ÁāsÁsadá^•&¦ā]cā[}Á;Ás@Á¢ã:cā]*Á[zaåÁ^c;[¦\ÁājÁs@Ácčå^Ásd^zaÁ **2.1**Á , ão@ko@ Á&|æ•ãã&æaã;}•Áaæ^åÁ;}Áx@^ÁÔãĉ q ÁJ~ã&ãæhÁÚ|æ}KÁ
 - •Á Tັ}ã&alæhÁÜ[æåÅ,€ÉÁÚ¦ã[æhÁQEcc\¦ãæhÉÁãc∧Ëæ),^Áĭ¦æhÁ&¦[••Ë=^&caī}}ÉÁ,[Á •ãå^, æ\•ÊÄ、€Á { ĐĐĚå ∞ãĵ Átæ-38.Áæ]] ¦[¢ã] æe^] ÁFÍ Ê€€€Á
 - •ÁÙ@3|^^ÁQE^}`^ÊŠ[&aaÁÜ[aaåÊ&c[Ëaa}^Á`¦aaÁ&¦••Ë^&aaf}}ÊA[Áãâ^,aa\•ÊÁ \{ ĐQĐÂna an Âda a-a&Ánd}]¦[¢ãi an c^|^Âi €€DÁ Á
- 2.2Å MR 80/Shirley Avenue IntersectionÅ

Existing Traffic Conditions

V@ 碋cā*Á,^æ\Á@*¦Á;æ-a&Áç[|*{ ^•ÁseÁs@ Á}•ā*}æjã^åÁşic^¦•^&cā;}Á;ÁrŰÁ Ì€ÐÙ@3|^^ÁQEc^}˘^Áset^Á@_;}Á§JÁExhibit3.1aÈÁ/@Á&¦ãa38æekÁ[[c^{ ^}oÁsaÁs@Á |^~~Áč`¦}Á¦[{ÂÛ@3|^^ÁOE;^}`^Á;}q'ÁTÜÂi€Áş'Á*[Á;[`c@ÈÁÖ`¦āj*Ás@∘Á;[¦}āj*Á]^æ\Á@``¦Ê\$c@^Á^~~Á\$`¦}*Á*\$#_Á]^¦æ#\$;*Á\$e#/Š^ç^|Á;~ÁÛ^¦ç&&^Á\ÖÄ^EÁ;ãc@Á\$ee;^¦æ*^Á å^|æ•4x,4x;iA^<&;}å•A,^|Aç^@&&^A,ão@4x,ãã;æ4X`^`ã,*AQ^^ATable 5.1D2## å^|æ•Á;-Á;€Á;^&[}å•Êà`0ks@A`^`ā]*Á^{æa]•Á;ā:a=deÈÁ

Collision History

Á

¦^][¦c^åÁ§iÁs@Ás&BajãcÂÁiÁs@ÁTÜÅi€EDÙ@3|^^Á§ic^¦•^&ca]}ÁsejåÁi}^Á;iAá@;•^Á æ]] ^æ+• Át Á@æç^Áà^^} Át ãrcæ\^} | ^ Áæ••ãt } ^åÁt Ás@ãrÁt &ææti } ÁQ•^^ÁOE] ^} åãcÁÓÁt ¦Á å^cæaai•DÈÁU}^Á\$IÁGEFÏÁ\$Iç[|ç^åÁæá4ā]*|^Áç^@a&|^Á+|ããā]*Á{i~Ác@A[æåÁ}å^\Å &{}åããå}}•LÁx@o\^Á, æ•ÁæÁ,[¦c@a[ĭ}åÁ^æ^}å^\Á\$JÁGEFIÁQæ]]^æ•Á\$IÁ@æç^Á\$u^^}Á { ãr cæà ^} |^ Áse • ãt } ^ å Ást Ás@ ÁÙ @ãi |^^ ÁŒc^} ` ^ Ást &ææãt } Dúása) å Ás@ Ás@ã å Ást c[|c^ å Áseá | •ãå^•, ā] ^ÈÁ/@~¦^Á ^¦^Á[Á|^!•[} æ¦Æi b`¦ð\•Á^][¦c^åÈĂ

Á FÁ Š^ç^|ĄĹ-ÁÛ^¦ç&&^ÁQĬŠUÙÄDÁseÁ`•^å/45jÁstæ~æ3dÁr}*aj^^¦aj*Ás[Ás@>Á^ç^|ĄĹ-Á&[}*^•ca[}Áœ4[}*Áœ4[]*Áœ4[]* Á

Á ; [záů, zê Á; | ÁszeÁse) Ásjer (+ ^ & caj.) ĚÁŠ^ç^ (+ Á; [{ ÁŘOĚÁ (; ÁŘOÁŠÁ)] [c^ Ásj. & ^ ze aj. * Á&[} * ^ • caj.) Á, ac QÁŘOÁÁ

Á



Þ[}^Áţ-Ás@/Ás@^^Ásē]]^ælÁt[Ásh^Á**•&^]cãa|^Át[Á];!^ç^}cāt]}Ásh^Átæ-ælÁ*āt}æ+ÈÁQA •*{{æh^Êbs@/Ást[||ãrāt]}Á^&t[¦å/ås[^•Á][cás]å&Bæee^ÁseAtæ-æskÁ*æ^c´Á];![à|^{ ÈÁ

2024 Background Traffic

Á

2.3Á Forecasts of Traffic by New SchoolÁ

 $V@^^{A_{a}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} +$

- $\bullet \dot{A} \circ (20^{\circ} \dot{A} \otimes 0^{\circ}) \circ (30^{\circ} \dot{A} \otimes 0^{\circ}) = [(30^{\circ} \dot{A} \otimes 0^{\circ}) \circ (30^{\circ}) \circ (30$

Á

V@ ÁsæææÁ¦[{ ÁD&[|^ÁR:\æ}ÁÚæ`|ÁQDÉ&æåbŏ•c\åÁ{[¦Ásã-^¦^}&\•ÁQ=^^ÁTable 4.2DÉÁ ,^¦^Á•^åÁ{[Á•cã[æe\Ás@ Ásæ-æ3Ás@ææÁ] āļlÁs^Á*^}^!æe\åÁsî^Ás@ Á,^, Á&@[|ÈÁ QÁSa Á\¢]^&c\åÁ{[Á*>}^!æe\Ásē]]![¢ã[æe\|^ÁGÏ€Áç^@&&|^Ás!ā]•Ás`¦ā]*Ás@ Á[[¦}ā]*Á]^æàÁQ;`¦ÁÇFÏ€Á§ÉAF€€Á,`dDÉGE€Áç^@&&|^Ás!ā]•Ás`¦ā]*Ás@ ÁÚT Á&QQ[|Á,^æàÁQ;`¦Á

ÁÁ^GÁ V@ÁQteãač c/Á,-Á/¦ægie][¦cæeañ[}ÁÓ)*āj^^^¦eÁnārÁszeYæe@3j*d[}ÁÖEDÉAsaæ-AåÁsiAc/}æañ[}ædná,'*æjãæaná]áÁzæjā]Á ÁÁ Á [-Átæ-a&Ár}*āj^^!eÁsajåÁtæ)e][¦cæeañ[}Á;|ægi}^!eÉAvÁy`à]ã@eAsáAj`{à^!Á;Ác&&@38æahÁ;æj`æ†ÉA Á Á āj&{`åāj*Ás@A/¦ājÁÕ^}^!æañ[}ÁTæg`ædÁ;@38@4árÁsæ-AåÁn[}ÁCE;^¦a8æahÁsajàÁôæa)æáãan)ÁsaæadÁa



Ç`€ÁŞIÊÆFG€Á[`dDÉæ)åÂi€Áç^@3&|^Ád'a]•Ási`¦a]*Ás@∘ÁÚTÁ:d^^cAj^æiÁq@`¦ÁçG€ÁŞIÊAi€Á [`dDÉÁ

Á

2.4Á Directional Orientation of the New School TrafficÁ

V@ Ásiā^&cāt }æļ{i ¦ār }cæaāt }A[, Ás@ Á &@ [|Átā] •Á, æ Á •cāt ær å Ásiæ ^å Ásiæ ^å Ási ā] -{ ¦{ æaāt }A[![çãa ^å Ási ^ Ás@ Á U & @ [|ÁO[æ å Åæàti ` c Ás@ Á@ { ^A[& æaāti } •A[-Á cæ -ÉA]`] ‡• Áæ) å Ásiæ Ásæ ^ Á • ^ ¦ • È Ás @ A • cāt ær ^å Ás@æa Æ €A A[-Á & @ [|Átæ -æ Å, āt A d[E+[{ Á U @ a] ^ ^ Á OEç ^ } ` ^ÊA €A A[E+[{ ÁT Ü Â €A, [¦ c @ Áse) å Á €A A[E+[{ ÁT Ü Â €A • [` c @ Á

2.5Á Impact of New School Traffic on MR 80/Shirley IntersectionÁ

Á Yão@Ác@Á,^,Á&@[[Éks@Á¢ãad]*Á/Ë3]c^¦•^&dā[}Ás4^&[{ ^•ÁzÁ4[`¦Ë,æÁ 3]c^¦•^&dā]}ĚÁÁCE•`{ 3]*ÁÙVUÚÁ:?ā}•Ázæ323]*ÁÙ@3|^^ÁOEç^}`^Áze}åÁc@Á&@[[|Á zæ&&^••Ás¦ãç^,æÊéx@;¦^Á;ã|Ása^Á?a}}ãã&ze)c4s^|zê•Á[¦Áç^@3&|^•Ázezc^{]d3]*Á[Áč¦}Á |^-c4x;}d[ÁTÜÂ,€Á;[{ Ás[c@Á;ãa^•4x;ÁTÜÂ,€ÈÁ

Á V@Á, ![b&c^åáţ, čà[`}åáţ^. cá[`}åáţ^. cáč; !}áç[|`{ ^• Á+[{ ás@Á&@@[|Áŝ|ãç^, zê Áseb^Á^¢] ^&c^åáţ[Á¢]^!ā} & A¢cc} •ãç^Áŝa^|zê •Ásej åÁ`^`^• Áço^ATable 5.1DžÁÁ/@Á|^-cÁč; !}•Á↑æçāj*Ás@Á&@@[|ÁŝjÁs@Á;[!}āj*Áse^Á¢c]^&c^åáţ[Á]^!ze Aserászá[^`{ ^E&zej zesző Ázezát Á; ÁFÈÈÌ Ásej åÁ¢c]^!ā} & Aserátát Á; A[ç^!Aãç^Á; āj`c*Áj ãc@Á``^`^•Á; Á; ç^!Á €Á; ^d^*Áç] ´!ā} & Aserátát Á; AFÈÈÌ Ásej åÁ¢c]^!ā} & Aserátát A; A[ç^!Áãç^Á; āj`c*Áj ãc@Á``^`^•Á; Á; ç^!Á €Á; ^d^*Áç] ´!ā} & Aserátát A; AFÈÈÌ Ásej åÁ¢c]^!ā} & Aserátát A; A[ç^!Áãç^Á; āj`c*Áj ãc@Á``^`^* •Á; Á; ç^!Á €Á; ^d^*Áç] ´!ā} & Aserátát A; A[] `!āAserátát A; A[] `.aAserátát A; A[] `.a</p

V@ Án &@[[|Á, [`|å Á, [oÁan Áacaà|^Áq[Á*} & &caā]} Á, ão@ók@ Áacaà[ç^Án^ç^|•Á, Áan |aé Áad}åÁ ``^`ā] * ÈÁAN}å^¦Á* &@Á&[}åãaā] • ÊÁc@ ¦^Á, [`|å Áa^Á&[} &^\} • Áacaà[`oÁ(acā);cæājā] *Á •æ^Á[]^¦acaā]}Á[-Ác@ Án &@[[|Áa*•n•ÁaceÁ, ^||Áace Áacĕ d[{ [àā]^• ÈÁKO*¦c@ ¦{ [¦^ÊA* &@Á



æÁnãčæaāį}Á,[č|åÁ,[cÁæåå¦^••Ác@A,^^åÁ{¦Áæ^Á&¦[••ā}*Á;-ÁTÜÁ,€Áà^Á]^å^•dãæ)•ÈÁÙ[{ ^Á{;¦{ A, -Ánã}}æ‡ã ^åÁdæ-3&Á&[}d[|Áá;A, ^&^••æ^Á&Ác@A&@[|Á ã:Át[Áà^Á[&æer^åÁæeAc@A,¦[][•^åÁnãr^ÈÁ

Á

2.6 Alternative Forms of Signalized ControlÁ

V@^Á{[||[, ā] * Á{[¦{ • Á[, -Á; ã]} æ‡ã ^å Á&[} d[|Á, ^¦^ Á&[} • ãå^¦^ å Á{[¦Á;@^ ÁT ÜÁ Ì €EDÙ@3|^^ ÁOEç^} ` ^ Á§; cº¦ • ^ & cā[} kÁ

aDÁ Q;c^¦∙^&caį}ĂÚ^å^∙clãaa)ÂÙāt}憕ÁÇÓUÙDÁ

- Á ãt }憕 Ébech Á&` ¦ \^ } qˆ Á[] ^ ¦æeã] * ÁeeeÁ ^ ç^ ¦æ†Á[&æeã[} Ác@[` * @[` oÁ
- Á Ùٽåàٽ¦^ÈÁAY@?}Áse&cčæe^åÊése¢|Áslæ-æ3kÁse¢[}*ÁTÜÁÌ€Á;[ĭ|åÁà^Á^ĭĭã^åÁq[Á
- Á d[] Á szerká@ Á Ú V U Ú Á ð] ^ Á ðJ Á szer çæ) &^ Á [, Á Û @ ð] /^ Á Ó Eç^} č^ Á se) a Á s@ Á & Q [| Á
- Á å¦ãç^, æî ĐÁÚ^å^•dãæ);•Á, [č|åÁ@æç^ÁæÁ,¦[ơ^&ơ^åÁ&¦[••ā,*Áæ);åÁç^@ã&|^•Á
- Á _ _ [ૻ |åÁá^Áæà|^Á[Á; æ\^ÁæÁ^-cÁč ¦} Á[čÁ+[{ ÁÙ@å|^^ÁŒç^}`^Á; ¦Áœ^Á&@[|Á
- Á å¦ãç^,æÊÁş¦Á*[Á+dæa≇@A+aeskk¦[●●ÁTÜÁì€ÈÁ√@Áa`αq[}Á&aa)Áa^Á,`●@*åÁa^Á
- Á]^å^∙dãæ}•Á{¦Á{[d[¦ãro•ĎÁ

```
ãÐÁ Ø″∥Á√¦æ-3&ÁÛã*}憕Á
```

- Á Øĭ||Ádæ-a&Árðā}憕Á,[č|åÁar^Ár^{abbeacčæer*åÁQ&[[¦åðjæer*åÁ(k]/Á
- Á `}&[[¦åðjæe^åÁæe[}*ÁTÜÁÌ€DDÁÁ/@^^Á;[`|åÁ^∙oA[}Á'¦^^}A(¦ÁTÜÁÌ€ÁæeÁ
- Á •&@[[/Áů;lãç^, æî/ų;lÁæAj^^å^•d´ãæa)/j`•@?•ÁæAbi`cd[}A([A&u[[••ÈA
- Á Á

2.7 Intersection Pedestrian Signals (IPS)Á

V@AÚ} cælā[Á cæ)åælå•ÁÇ, æl¦æ)o•DÁ[¦Álæ-æ3AÁã]}懕Á[¦Á]^å^•dãæ)•Á cā]ĭ|æe^Á



{ ĝi ĝi `{ Átæ-a8Áç[|`{ ^• Áqe[}* Ás@ Át ænij Á[æniá Ázg) å Át ĝi ĝi `{ Áj ^å^• d ãnej Á ç[|`{ ^• Á&t[•• ĝi * Ás@ Át ænij Á[æniá Át ç^t Át ãt @ ÁQ ` ¦• Át Ázekî] a8æ4Asi æi ÈÁt/@ Á] t[b^8c^å Áj ^å^• d ãnej Á&t[•• ĝi * Áç[|`{ ^ Át - Ázelj] t[¢ ãt ænt \^ Ât €Áj ^[] |^ Át ç^t ká ãt @ Á Q ` ¦• Ázelj• Át[Ázel Át @ to At - Ás@ Á ^` ` ãt^å Át ĝi ĝi ` { Át - ÁGEEËGI € Ás@ænáj [Át to@ t Á æ) æt • ãt Á, æ Á&æt åt å á At ` óAg ^ ACEL]] ^} å ãnt ÁDÁt[t Ás@ Á ^ |^ çæ) óAt VU Át æt æ) óA &@ # • ĒÁ

Q Áscá á ãáj } ÉÁU&@[| ÁÓ[æ á Á; ~ 38ãe Á; ~ 38ãe Á; Á; á á í AÚČ á A } ÓÚA ; ç 38A Á
Ô[} • [¦ cã { ÉÁ, @; Á; æ) æ ^ Ás@ Á & @[| Áa ` • Á ^ • c^ { Éé@æ; ^ Á cæe^ å Ás@æeÁ & @[| Á
^{] |[^^^ • Áæ] å Á & @[| Áa ` • Áa ¦ ã; ^ ¦ • Á; ä | Á, [oÁ } å ^ ¦ Áæ] ^ Á&ã & ` { • cæ} & ^ éæ&c` æe^ Á
c@ ÁÚÚÚÁ ã } æ ÉÁ

Ø[¦Ác@•^Á^æe[}•ÁQÚÙÁ;ãt}懕Áse¦^Á;[cÁ&[}•ãa^¦^åÁ([Ása^ÁseÁ^æ‡ã;cã&Áse¢c\¦}ææã;c^Á -{¦Á;ãt}æ‡Á&[}d[|ÁseeÁTÜÂ;€BÙ@ã|^^ÁOE;c^}`^ÈÁ Á

2.8 Full Traffic SignalsÁ

 $V @ AU \} cadat A cad a dada A c dada O D A [| A | | A dada - A dada A dada$

 $V @ \dot{A} : [b \& c^a \dot{A} \& @ [| \dot{A} : \& - a \& \dot{A} [* \circ @ : \dot{A} a @ \dot{A} @ \dot{A} @ \dot{A} @ \dot{A} @ \dot{A} : [`] a \dot{A} : \& - a \& \dot{A} a & \dot{A} a$



OZÁ ^} •ãaãçãĉÁæ) æ∲ •ã Á, æ Á&æ¦ã∿åÁ(čÁ⊈Á ^^Á@(,Á@) Á ã }æ,Á æ¦æ) oÁ [č|åÁ &@ea)*^Á, ãr@Á&@ea)*^•Á§, Ás@ Áse•`{] cā[}•Á; æå^Á§, Á; ¦^&ee cā]*Á &@[|Ás!æ-a&ÈÁ Ú¦[b^&c^åÁj^å^•dãæ); Đàã& ^&|^Áç[|ǐ{ ^•Á, ^¦^Á&[`à|^åÉA, ¦[b^&c^åÁÙ@ã|^^ÁŒ;c^}`^Á dæ-a&Át, Ð+[{ Ás@ Á &@ [|Á æ Ás,[`à|^å Áse) å Ás@ Ásiā^&da]} Át, Áse]] ¦[æ&@áse]] }* ÁT ÜÁ Ì€Á, æ•Á, ^ãt@e^åÁ, [¦^Á§; Áæç[č¦Á; Ác@A,[¦c@èÁQ,åãçããčæe¦^Ác@,•^Á&@ee)*^•Á@eeåÁ Yælæ) c⁄Gk4Ö^|æ Á⊈ÁÔ¦[••Á/¦æ-a8Á¦[{Â,€Ã Á⊈ Jà Á -Ás@ Á^ĭǎā^åÁ; ā]ā[`{ÈĂ

V@A^^}•ãnāçãc Áse)æf•ã Ásep•[Á][\^å ÁseeÁ@[, Ás@A, æs¦æ) o•A, ã @Asa^Ase-^&c^å As^A &@ea)*^•Á§Á[}Ë&@[|Átæ-æÁ;æec^{}•Á§Á@AÛ@a|^^ÁOEc^}`^Á&eee&@_^}œfaA c@A54;c^\•^&c74;}Å^\^Á?#}æ4a^^AA6;^^ATable 6.2D6X46;@Af`ca[`}åAf^-cAc`;}•Á -+[{ ÂÛ@ă|^^ ÁŒç^} ັ ^Á, ^¦^Áξi Áşi &¦^æ•^Ásì Âi €Ã ÊÝ æ¦æ; ơÁChÁÖ^|æ Áξi ÁÔ|[••Á Ùd^^oĄ́[č|åÁ^æ&@ÁJGÃÁ(Á~ác@A^ččā^åA,ájājã;č{ ĚÁKDÉÆ;€€ÃÁşi&¦^æ•^ÁşiÁc@A

Á

2.9 **Conclusion with Respect to Signalization**

V@A\&@[|A&aa}}[oA`}&aai}Á,ão@`oA[{ ^A;|{ Ai ~Ai ã} adjã ^åAd aa-ã&A&[}d[|Ai}A TÜÂi€ÁæeÁÙ@ãi|^^ÁŒc^}`^ÈÁØĭ||Ádæ-ã8Áiãi}æ+Ásd^Á&i}•ãå^¦^åÁqiÁsa^Ás@Ái}|^Á [] cā[} Á§Ás@^Á*&@[[|Á§i Á\$[Á\$a^Á][&ææ^å/ÁsæÁs@^Á];[][•^åÁ*ãz^ĚÅ Á

Á

2.10 **Active Transportation**

Xk@AÔãĉÁ, AÕ¦^æe^¦ÁÙčåàč¦^ÁU~a&ãædÁÚ|æ)Á, |æ&^•Á{]@æeãA, }Á;|{{[cā]*Á ÁBBCãt^Á/¦æ)•][¦œetā]}ÈÁQÁs@Á\$æ•^Á;-Ás@Á;¦[][•^åÁ&@@[|ÉOBBCãt^Á V¦æ}•][¦ææa]}Á,[ĭ|åÁ(^æ)ÁA}æàjā*Áæ}åÁ*}&[ĭ¦æ*ā]*Á,æd\ā;*Áæ}åÁsāā]*Á§A •&@[[Áá^Á,č]ā+É4;œ=É4;æ^}œ-Áa;åA;c@+EÁ√[Áæ4{^••^¦Á*¢c^}c∰aáA;&]čåA;&&`å^Á ^}&{``\æ*ā`*Áāâ^•@edā`*Áed åÁ``à\&&A{\æ}•ãA ``à\&A{\æ}•ãA(`•æ*^ÈA/@A(\ā\`ā&*A@A(\A •&@[|Áset^Áã:c^åÁ§;ÁGÈFFÁ§;ÁGÈFÍÈÁ



2.11 Sidewalks/Footpaths/Bike Paths

The following sidewalks/footpaths/bike paths are recommended:

- Pedestrian walkways (raised sidewalks or paths removed from vehicular driveways) from all building entrances to a main raised sidewalk along the main driveway leading to MR 80
- A safe raised pedestrian holding area on Shirley Avenue on the east side of MR 80
- iii) Bicycle path(s) from MR 80 to bike racks near the school entrance(s).
- iv) A paved pedestrian/bike path on the west side of MR 80 (removed from the vehicular roadway) from the school driveway to Jeanne d'Arc Street.
- v) A high level of winter maintenance on all of the above.

2.12 MR 80 Crossing

In addition to traffic signals, it is recommended that a school crossing guard should be on duty for pupils crossing MR 80 at Shirley

2.13 Vehicle/Pedestrian Conflicts on Site

To make walking/biking as attractive as possible and to maximize safety, the site plan should minimize/eliminate conflicts between vehicular traffic and pedestrian/bike traffic on school property. Pedestrians and bicyclists should be able to get from MR 80 to the school preferably without having to cross any automobile/bus traffic/driveways. The proposed site plan requires pedestrians/bicyclists to cross the parent drop-off parking lot on the east side of the school. Pupil safety and convenience would be improved if the east side parking lot were relocated to the west side of the school. If the east side parking lot is retained as proposed, it is recommended that the pedestrian crossing be a raised platform across the parking lot.



2.14 Bike Racks

Convenient and secure bike storage should be provided at all relevant school entrances.

2.15 Bus Shelters

In order to enhance the appeal of taking transit, the School Board should work with the City to provide bus shelters at the bus stops on MR 80.

2.16 Priority Parking for Ridesharers

In order to encourage ridesharing, the most desirable parking should be reserved for rideshare participants.



3. Existing ConditionsÁ

Á

3.1 Road Network

- Á
- Á T`}ā&aja‡AÜ[zaåÁì€ÁsaÁú¦ā[za¦ÁQEc°¦ãæ‡Á,ãc@ÁsaÁãç^Ë;aa}^Á`¦a‡Á&¦[••Ë=^&cāį}}Á Ç&^}c^Áç[Ë;zêÁr^-cAči}Áa)^DÁsa}åÁ;[Á*ãå^,ze‡\•ĚÁV@A;[•c^åA`]^^åÁā[ão/SarÁi€Á \{EQAÁ,ãc@Ásaaā^Ác¦ze-ã8A6;[|č{^•Aí}-Ása}]¦[¢ā[zec^]Á
- Á
- Á Ù@á¦^^ÁOĒç^}`^/ásīÁæáç [Ë;æ;)^ÁŠ[&æ‡ÁÜ[æå,Ájãc@áæá\`¦æ‡Á&¦[••E¤^&cāį}Áæ;)åA;[Á •ãå^,æ¦\•ÈÁV@\Á]^^å,Áäįão/ásīÁí€Á{E09ÈÁÖæã‡îÁs!æ-a&ký[|`{ ^•Áæ;^Á\•cãįæe*å,ÁæéA æ];]¦[¢ãįæe*\|îÁ퀀ÈÁ

3.2 Existing Peak Hour Traffic VolumesÁ

V@ Á*¢ã*cāj*Á;[¦}āj*Áj^æèÁ@;`¦ÁseeÁs@ ÁTÜÅi EEDù@ä|^^Ásjcº¦∙^&caj}}ÁsiÁs^ç^^}Á ïKtíÁsejåÅiKtíÁse;LÁs@ Áseev¦}[[}Áj^æèÁ@;`¦ÁsiÁs^ç^^}ÁiKH€ÁsejåÅiKH€Áj{Éás`cÁ c@ Á*&@;[|Áj^æèÁsjÁs@ Áseev¦}[[}ÁsiÁ*¢]^&cvåÁs[Ás^Asi^ç^^}ÁSKIÍÁsejåÁHKIÁ;{ÉÁ

U}^Á,[c^, [¦c@,Á^æč¦^Á,Á@,Á]^æ\Á@,č¦á@,á% að Á@,č¦á@, á&st|Á,∄,čæ,Á@,覕DÁslæ-a&Á ç[|č{ ^•ÁæcÁc@,Á§,c^¦•^&ca],}/≦r,Ác@,Á{,cap/Áæa)•^}&^Á,~Å_^å^•d`aæ),Áslæ-a&Á&l[••a],*Á TÜÂ, €ÉÉ&^•]ãc^Ác@,Áa&cÁc@acAc@ácÁc@ácÁa)•ãA(^¦ç&A^Á@ae,ÁcaAc([],Á]}Ác@,Á,^•o,Á;ãa^Á [-ÁTÜÂ, €ÉÁ

3.3 Existing Level of ServiceÁ

V@Aţ`cà[`}åAţ^~xáč';}•Á;[{Âù@ã|^^ÂOĘ;^}`^ÁæcÁs@ATÜÂ, €EÙ@ã|^^Aşi;c^;•^&cāį}Á æ<^Á&`;;^}d^Aţ]^;æcāj*ÁæcAŠ^ç^|Aţ,Âù^;çã&^Ä;ÔÄ\$å`;aj*Ás@Aţ,[;}āj*Áş^æ;ÁQ;`;Á



b) 2026 Peak Hour Background Traffic Volumes

X[|`{ ^•Áş}&u'^æe^åÁs`ÁFÉĂÁş\^¦Áæ}}`{ -¦[{ÁG€FJÁq[ÁG€GÎÈ





Exhibit 3.1 Existing and Projected 2026 Background Traffic Volumes





Ģaç,^¦æ*^kå^|æê•Áţ-Á∓ÏÁ<^&[}å•Áţ^¦Áç^@8&|^Áæo/kao/kao/ş[|`{ ^B&ad}æ&ãc ÁæađţÁţ-Á€ÈEJÁ að)åÁţājā[ad,Á`^`āj*ÁEÁ^^ÁTable 5.1DĚÁÖ`¦āj*Áx@ Áæa?\}[[}Áţ^ad,Á@`Á Š^ç^|Áţ-ÂÙ^¦çã&^&å¦[]•ÁţÄÖÄ,ão@kaoç,^¦æ*^&a^a/æê•Áţ-Á €Á<^&[}å•ÉaoAçB&AæađţÁţ-Á €ÈÈÌÁad)åÁţāj[¦Á`^`āj*ÉÁŠ^ç^|•Áţ-ÂÙ^¦çã&^Á®ÒÄ&ad)åÆd>Å&ZÄÁæađţÁ[} •d^^oÁsæ-ã&Áţadj*Áү~cÁs`¦}•Áţ}q[Á@#]@kç[|`{ ^Ásd-cv¦ãadA[ada+Á`&@kao-ÁTÜÂ,€ÉA

3.4 Collision Statistics

Á Á

Á



4. Traffic ForecastsÁ

Á

4.1 Background Traffic Growth

V@ Á*¢ã*cāj*Át¦æ-a&Áç[|`{ ^•Áæq[}*ÁTÜÂi€Áæg)åÁÛ@ä|^^ÁOĘc^}`^Á@æç^Áa\^}Á āj&¦^æ•^åÁa^ÁFĚÃÁj,^¦Áæg}}`{ Áţ ÁG€CÎÁξ[Áj;|[å`&^Áx@^ÁG€CÎÁa;æ&*¦[`}åÁt¦æ-a&Á ç[|`{ ^•ÁÇ}^^Æxhibit 3.1bDĚÁ

$$\begin{split} & \bigvee \otimes A_{2} \otimes A_{2} \otimes A_{3} \otimes A_{4} \otimes A_{$$

4.2 Site Traffic

 $\begin{aligned} Q \dot{A} &= c \vec{a} = a c \vec{a} + \dot{A} c @ \dot{A} = a c \dot{A} + c \vec{a} + c \dot{A} &= a c \dot{A} + c \vec{a} + c \dot{A} &= a c \dot{A} + c \vec{a} + c \dot{A} &= a c \dot{A} + c \vec{a} + c \dot{A} &= a c \dot{A} + c \dot{A} + c \dot{A} &= a c \dot{A} + c$

- Á Á •&@[[•Ác@eecÁāllÁsā^Áset æt*æt æt*ætÅætÁseeÁs@A^_Á&&@[[A
- Á ã DÁ O EÁ*; ¦ç^^ Áį-ÁszÁ&[{] ælæà|^Á^|^{{ ^} cæl^ Á & @2 [|Á§; ÁX æl/ÁÔæl[} ÉÁÁ
- Á Á Ò&[|^ÁR^a) ÁÚaĕ |ÁQQÁ;}ÁT ÜÁFÍ Á, ^∙o4í, -ÁT ÜÂi€ÈÁ
- $\dot{A} \qquad \tilde{a}\tilde{a}\tilde{b}A \qquad Q \circ (\tilde{a}\tilde{c}' c^{A} / \dot{a}) \circ] [| (caeaj) | A \dot{O} | * j | ^{A} | (caeaj) | A \dot{A} \dot{A} \rangle] (| (caeaj) | A \dot{A}) | (caeaj) | A \dot{A} \rangle]$
- Á Á Tæ)ĭæ¢Á

Table 4.1: COMPARISON OF TRIP GENERATIONDATA SOURCESITE RATES vs OBSERVED LOCAL VOLUMES

LAND USE		WEEKDAY AM PEAK	HOUF	ł		WEEKDAY SCHOOL PI	I PEA	к нои	R	WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR (4-6 PM)			
		ITE Trip Generation Rate ﷺ@YÒÁ/lậ/ÃÔ^}^¦æaậ} ÁTæ) ča∳Ætि€©ØЮåãaậ}}D	Vehicle Trips			ITE TG Rate //////QVÒÁ/!ậl//Ő^}^!æaậi} ÁTa)ča¢//Äaf€c@/Óåãaậi}D	Veł	nicle Tı	ips	ITE Trip Generation Rate ﷺ@OÁ/lậ/Õ^}^!æậ} Ấræ) ă4æ∰Ec@Юâāāậ}D	Vehicle Trips		ips
			Á/[œŧ	/////Q	Á¥¥AU°c		Á∕[œ≑	Á	Á¥¥AU°c		Á/[cæ	ÂXXXXQ	Á₩ÁUčc
ITE Trip Generation Manual Elementary School 570 ###02'Ô/\$5æ) åÁ∿ ^ /Åĺ G€ Çã^• ã } #### &æj æšã Day Care Centre 73 ###02'Ô/\$5æj åÁ∿ ^ /Åĺ î í 73)]ĭ]ậ+ Á ắ℃D \$&@3på	ÁŠ) ÇVDÁWÁFÈFI ÁŠ) ÇÝDÁĞAFÈÎ ÁŠ, @`{^ÁvÁký^@BK ^Ástaj• ÁŘ/ÁWÁ;[Á;-Á,*]]‡• ÁVÁWÁEEÈHÁÇÝDÁÉÁEEI ÁŠ, @`{^ÁvÁký^@BK ^Ástaj• ÁŠYÁWÁ;[Á;-ÁSa@Bá`{^}	ŒÎ	ÍÍÃ FFJ ÍHÃ HF	ÂÌI JÏ ÂÏI Ĝ	Š}ÇVDÁWÁFÈEJÁŠ}ÇÝDÁËÁFÈDC ÁŠ,@~¦^Á/ÁWÁş^@B4/Ástaj• ÁÝÁWÁ;[Áş-Áş`]ā• ÁÔ•cajaze^å Á	FIÌ Œ	IÍÃ ÎÏ Í€Ã F€	ÍÍÃ ÌF Í€Ã F€	ÁvÁnvÁeĒETÍÁÇÝD ÁŠ,@`¦^ÁvÁnvÁş^@BEV ^Ásla]• ÁŘÝÁNVÁ,[Á;-Á,*]ā• ÁŠ)ÇVDÁNVÁEĒEÏÏŠ}ÇÝDEEEĒEHG ÁŠ,@`¦^ÁvÁnVáş^@BEV ^Ásla]• ÁŘÝÁNVÁ,[Á;-Á&@Ajå!^}	ìî	IJÃ IJG IJĨÃ Ġ	Í FÃ II Í HÃ HF
TOTAL using ITE rates			274	150	125		168	77	91		143	69	74
St Joseph + Notre Dame + Val Therese ૠૼૡૣૼ ඤłૣ ૠૼૺૡ૾ૡૼ ૡૢૻ * Á&@[• D	Á	Á Á Á	260	Í I Ã 141	IÎÃ 119	Á Á	201	IÎÃ 93	Í I Ã 108	Á Á		Á	Á
Ecole Jean Paul II 436 ₩₩3%[{]æiæà ^Á&@@[/\$jÁxæi/40æi[}D	5]`] ‡	Á Á	264	Î I Ã 169	HÎ Ã 95	Á	202	I FÃ 83	Í JÃ 119	Á	59	H Ã 20	î î Ã 39

Á≂[c^kká≂`{à^¦•Á;æêÁ;[ośasååÁ`]Á¢æa&q^Áå`^Áq[Á[`}åā)*È



OB& [¦åā]*|^Ác@ Á;à•^¦ç^åÁ;æ-æÁ¢[]`{ ^•ÁsceÁÒ&[|^ÁR^æ)ÁÚæ`|ÁQÓ&_^¦^Ásceå[] c^åÁ æká@káæãA{¦kA•cãi æãi*Áčč¦^Átæ-38A\$[|`{ ^•ÁæA@A^_ ÁXæA(@\^^ ÁXæA(@\^•^Á •&@[[|Áæ];åÁæåbŏ•c^åÁ\$[Á^-{/^&cAs@/Ååã-^^¦^}}&^•Áà^ç_^^}Ás@/Áç_[|Á.&@[[|•ÁQ:^^Á V@:¦^•^Á§aÁÏEÁ`]∄•Á&[{]æ!^åÁg Á@@ÁHÎÁ`]∄•Á&`;¦^}d^Áeeec^}å∄*ÁR^æ}Á Úæĭ |ÁQQQH€Ã Á, [¦^DÊ&àč các@¦^Ásel^ÁF€Ã Á, [¦^Ácæ-ÁseáÆ^æ) ÁÚæĭ |ÁQQQCHÁç^¦•č•Á ¨ΙΙ ΦΞΆΑ/@/Å\$\æ`Á\$\æa^•Á\$eeA\$@/Áς, [Á\&@[]•Áse^/Á\$å^}ca\$kæa/Á§,Á~ã^Ê\$a` c/R*\æ},ÁÚæĭ|ÁQQÁ] ¦[çãå^•Á&æd^Á{; ¦Á&@åå¦^} Á, ão@Á] ^&ãædÁ^˘˘ã^{ ^} œÁœæA§; c[|c^Á] ^&ãædÁà˘•Á •^¦çã&^•ÈÁ/@/Á§[]æ&o/i Á?æ&@/i Á@/Á§ã~^¦^} &^•Á§ Á*•á§ æ*°å/Áæ Á@_}}Á§ Á Table 4.2Å

V@Á;[][•^åÁ^, Á&@[[/ÁārÁ¢]^&c^åÁg Á*^}^!æe^ÁQ=^^ÁTable 4.2D&Á ËÁ HE€Á¢^@884^Áslā]•Ásl`¦ā]*Ás@ÁCETÁ,^æ}Á@``¦ÁCFÌ€Á§LÊÆGEÁ,`dDÁ ËÁ GI€Áç^@384^Ád:a]•Áåĭ¦a]*Ác@AÚTÁ &@[[|Á,^æ\ÁQ]`¦ÁQF€€Á§IÊFI€Á,`dDÁ ËÁ ΀Áç^@384^Áctā]•Ásĭ¦ā]*Ás@∘ÁÚTÁ(d^^cAj^æ)ÁQ?`¦ÁQG€ÁşIÊÁ,€Á,čDÓÁ ËÁ HEË €Á,^å^•dãa; Đà 38.° &\^Á; đ, •Ás° ¦ð, *Á@ ÁOET Ás; åÅÚT Á,^æ; Á@ `¦•ĚÁ

Á

Á

4.3 **Orientation of the Site Traffic**

V@A[¦ð}}cæaā[}A[, Ás@Aslā] •Ás[E+[[{ Ás@A], ¦[][•^åA], ^, Ás&q2[|Á@ee+As\^^}A ^•cā[æe^åÁ[}Ás@-Áåæ•ã:Á[-Ás@-Á[∭_ā]*kÁ ËÁ @[{ ^ Ásceia å ¦ ^ • • ^ • Á Ásc@ Á & @ [| Á cæ-ÉÁ ËÁ @{{^Á{i & accāli}}Ái ~Ác@^Ái`]ã+ÊÁ $\dot{E}A = \left\{ A_{\rm A} \right\} \left\{ A_{\rm A} \right\} \dot{A} = \dot{A} =$ ËÁ &[}~ãtĭ¦æeā[}Á[×ác@\Ácčå^Áse4^æÁ[æåÁ[^c[[¦\ÊÁ V@A\&@[|A\cae-A@{{ ^Ascalal\^●●A[{ &accal}} }●Asca^Astard aa` c^aAsca A{ ||[_ ●KA •ÁÍÃ Áset^Ásμ Ás@^ÁÙ@ál/^^ÁOEc^}`^Áseeess@{^} of see ^æ4, ãr@át ÁxætÁ/@:¦^•^Á •Á̀à Áseh^Ást Ás@^ÁT ÜÁÌ€Á,[¦c@Áclæ-æ3kÁ&æe&@_^}oÁseh∞æÁ

•ÁIÍà Ásc⇔^Áşt Ás@∘ÁTÜÂI€Á[č c@Ástæ-38.4%Sæes&@{^} oÁsc⇔⁄æÁ

Table 4.2: ESTIMATED TRIP GENERATIONBY PROPOSED NEW VAL THERESEELEMENTARY SCHOOL

	WEEI	KDAY AN	I PK HR	SCH	OOL PM	PK HR	STR	EET PM	PK HR
	١	/ehicle Ti	rips	V	ehicle Tri	ps	v	ehicle Tri	ps
	Á/[œŧ	Á	Á¥¥ÁUčc	Á/[cæ	AMMQ	Á₩¥Učc	Á/[œ	AMMQ	Á¥¥ÁUčc
Ecole Jean Paul II 436] ǐ] 神 緇頌 {] 幸藏 ^Á &@ [媯 Á 細心。	264	Î I Ã 169	HÎ Ã 95	202	I FÃ 83	Í JÃ 119	59	H Ã 20	î Î Ã 39
Á∰A/¦ā]•Áà^Ák&@{[Áà`•^• Á∰Cò•cā[ææ^åÁsā]•Áà^Árcæ~ Á∰Cò•cā[ææ^åÁsā]•Áà^Ájæd-}o•ÁBÁ[c@?¦•	GÌ ÌÎ FÍ€	FI Ì€ ÏÍ	FI Î Ï Í	GÎ H€ FIÎ	FH € Ï€	FH H€ ÏÎ	G GÍ HG	F G FÏ	F GH FÍ
Differences between Jean Paul II and proposed New School	Á Á	Á	Á	Á	Á	Á	Á	Á	Á
∰ M A C A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	IÍ 臣 臣 G Á	GH Ë ËH F	GH € ËG F	II 茁 笛 G	GF € ÈG F	GH 出 F	F€ ËH ËG ËG) ● 田 田	í 田 臣
Proposed New SchoolÁVal Therese570]] å•	297	Á 181	Á 117	240	Á 103	Á 137	62	Á 23	Á 39

ÁÞ[c^k káÞ* { à^¦• Á(æ Â,[cÁsaå å Á] Á¢ æ & q^ Áå* ^ Á { Á[* } å ð;* È



Á V@^Á,č]ã¦Á,[]č|æeāj}}Áãa Ásaã dãač d^åÁæe Á{, ||[, ● KÁ •ÁÌÃÁ=±4, ãc@a,ÁU@3|^^ÁOE=^}`^Á&==&@2 ^} óf==*&@2 ^} •Á ÍÍà Áse¦^Á§I Ás@∘ÁT ÜÂI€Á,[¦c@Á&æe&@[^}oÁse¦^æÁ •Á HÏà Ásel^Á§I Ás@∘ÁT ÜÂİ€Á•[čc@Á&æe&@[^} cÁsel^æÁ Á V@^Á\$uæ`Á\$væd^Áæqí ājað∙Ásd^Ásuārdāna`c∿åÁserÁqí∭ . •KA •Á ÏÍÃÁ§Á@ÁPæ){^\+DÔæ}\^[Áæ^^æÁQ3&]čå3;*ÁXæ4Á/@\^•^DÁ •Á GÍà Á§IÁs@AX ælÁÔæ[} ĐÓ|^: ælå Áse/æÁ Á Óæ•^å/ų) Ás@ Áseà[ç^É4[¦Á|æ}} ā]*Á`¦][•^•Ás@ Áčč¦^Á &@[|Ás!æ-æk/ás Á]¦[b^&c^åÁq[Áà^Á₁¦ã^}c^åÁæ=Á[||[. ●kÁ δΈ. F€ÃÁ\$IÐ4[{ÁÛ@34|^^Á05c^}`^Á Í€ÃÁ5[Ð4][{Ás@eÁ;[¦c@Áse4]}*ÁTÜÁÌ€Á ãDÁ ããaDÁ I€ÃÁ5[Ð4][{Ás@(Á+[čo@Áse]]}*ÁTÜÂì€Á Á Exhibits 4.1a/æ) å 4.1b • @ , Á@ Á ¦ [b &c å Á ã Á æ-ã Á [| č { ^• Á à Á č ¦} ð * Á { [ç^{ ^} ohsi` ¦ā] * Ás@ Át [!} ā] * Áse) å Ác [Ásee^ !} [] Át ^æ ÁQ` '• ĚÁ

4.4 Total Traffic

Exhibits 4.1c æ) å 4.1dÁ @ $\hat{A} = \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} = \hat{A} \otimes \hat$





5. Capacity AnalysisÁ

V@Arc*å^Ásed^æAskæ-æ&Aş[|*{ ^•Á@æş;^Ásu^^}Ásed}æf:^åAr•āj*ÁsedAûr}&@[ÁPÔTÁ { ^c@lå[|[*^Á{l¦Ás@?Á{l|[,ā]*Ásee*^•KÁ

- aDA $\dot{O}c\bar{a}c\bar{a}*\dot{A}c\bar{a}$ $aa\bar{a}Ac\bar{c}[|~{ ^{-}}Ac\bar{c}^{-}Ac\bar{c}ac\bar{a}$
- ãĐÁ Ú¦[b^&c^åÁG€GÎÁàæ&*¦[`}åÁs'æ-ã&Áç[|`{ ^•ÁĢ^^∕Æxhibit 3.1bDĂ
- ääDÁ Ú¦[b^&c^åÁG€GÎÁàæ&*¦[č}åÁdæ-a&Áş[|č{^•Á}|č•Ás@A,^,Á&@Q[|Ádæ-a&Á Ç^^ÁExhibits 4.1cÁæ)å 4.1dDÈÁ

V@A^^A^•`|o•Áæ⇔A`{ { æiã ^åÁşi ÁTable 5.1ÈXÖ^œaşi^åÂÛ^}&@[Áæ)æ‡^•ãA` ¦^][¦o•Áæ⇒A\$şi ÁQEj]^}åã¢ÁÔÈÁ

5.1 Existing ConditionsÁ

Á OE Ábáã & •• ^ å Ábj Á ^ & cáj } Á HÈ HÉxec Á ¢ã cāj * Átæ-a&á/ ç^ |• Ébó@ Á, ča [`} å Á/ - cáč !} • Á
⊣[{ÂÙ@á|^^ÁOEç^}`^Áxec Áx@ ÁT ÜÂ €ED @á|^^Ábj c^!• ^ & cáj } Áxe ^ Áj] ^ ! æzið * Áxec Áš^ ç^ | Á
[-ÂÙ^!ça&^ÄÔÄbbič' ! ðj * Áx@ Á [! } ðj * Á ^ æð ÁQ ` ! Áçæç ^ ! æ* ^ Åb ^ | æ` • Á * ÁTÏ Á ^ &[} å • Á
] ^!Áç^@a& / Áxec ÁxeÁg [|`{ ^ Ebcað} æ&aĉ Á æzið Á æzið Á * Æ ED & dő a * Ábbič * Åbič / æ* ðj * DÉÁÖ` ! ðj * Á
. a * Atti Á ^ & [] Å / æ ÁQ ` ! Áx@ ÁŠ · ç^ | Á * ÁEEJ Ázið å Á * Å * ðj * DÉÁÖ` ! ðj * Á
. a * Atti Á ^ & [] Å / æ ÁQ ` ! Áx@ ÁŠ · ç^ | Á * ÁD · ! çã & Abi[] • Át #ÖÄÅ, a @áxeç ^ ! æ* ^ Åb ^ | æ* ^] & ` A & a * ^ Åb ^ | æ* ^ Åb ^ | æ* ^] & ` A & a * ^ Åb ^ | æ* ^ Å & a * ^] & ` A & a * ^] & ` A & a & a & ` A & a & a & ` A & a & a & ` A & a & a & ` A & a & a &

Á

5.2 2026 Background Traffic

Interse	ction		20 Exis Cond	19 sting itions	5		20 Backg Traf	26 Jroun fic**	d	2026	Backg + New \$ Unsig	round Schoo nalize	Traffic I*** d	2026	Backo + Nev Sigr	ground v Scho nalized	l Traffic ool I
		ŠUÙ	ÁÖ^∣æ̂ Ç⊧^&ÈD	ç₽&	ÛJÍ⊞ ⊈⊉	ŠUÙ	ÁÖ^∣æ̂ Ç⊧^&ÈD	ç ₽&	ÛJÍ⊞E ÇÈD	ŠUÙ	ÁÖ^∣æ̂ Ç⊧^&ÈD	ç ₽ &	ÛJÍ⊞ ⊈⊉			ç ₽&	⊞ ÌLÛ Gi⊉
AM pk hr	ÁÒÓVŠ ÁÒÓÜ Ár ÓŠVÜ Ár ÓŠ Ár ÓVÜ ÁJÓŠ ÁJÓVÜ	C A	Á Á FÏÈE Á ÌÈE Á	Á Á €ÈEJ Á €ÈEE Á	Á Á GÈG Á €ÈE Á	C	Á FJÈF Á ÌÈF Á	Á Á ÈÈFF Á A €È€€ Á	Á GÈI Á ÆÈEE Á	F F B	HGIÈE FIÈG ÏJÈÌ FGÈLL Á ÌÈE Á	FÌGÌ €ÌĒG €ĨĚH €ÌĒI Á €ÌĒ€ Á	ÍFÈÈ HÈF FJÈE HÈÈ Á €ÈEE Á	D D A A A A	IGÈ FGÈ FÉÈ ÍÈÈ HÈE IÈE	eiti eite eite eite eite eiti eiti H	GÍÈF JĒÌ FĒLĂ FHĒI €ĒLÎ Í€ĒG
PM pk hr (street peak)	 ÁÒÓVŠ ÁÒÓÜ Ár ÓŠVÜ Ár ÓŠ Ár ÓVÜ Ár ÓVÜ Ár ÓŠ Ár ÓŠ Ár ÓŠ 	E	Á Á I€ĨĔ Á FFTÈ Á	Á Á ÉÈÌ Á A €ÈEF Á	Á ÍÈE Á €ÈG Á	F	Á ÍÌÈ Á FOÈ Á	Á Á ÉÉE Á Á €ÈEF Á	Á ĨĔĽ Á €ÈG Á	E B F A B	IFÈH F€Ĩ FFHÈ ÌÈ Á FGĚ Á	enicu enicu enici enicu énicu Á enicu Á	ÌĒ EĒ FIÈE EÈH Á EÈG Á	D B D A A A A	IFÈF FÌÈH HĨÈE GĚE GĚE FÈÈ	eite eiter eiter eite eite eite eiter eiter eiter	FFĒ ÎĒ⊤ FFÈH FĒH IÎĒ ∰ FÎĖG
PM pk hr (school peak	ÂÒÓVŠ) ÀÒÓÜ Ár ÓŠVÜ Á=ÓŠ Á=ÓVÜ ÂÙÓŠ ÂIJÓVÜ		Á Á Á Á	Á Á Á Á Á	Á Á Á Á		Á Á Á Á Á	Á Á Á Á Á	Á Á Á Á	F B F A B	FJÍÈH FFÈ JJÈ JÈ Á F€Ě Á	FÈE €ÈF€ €ĚG ÉÉ Á €ÈE€ Á	IÏÈ GĚ FÌÈ FÈH Á €È Á	D B C A A A A	líĔ FFÈ GJĚ HÈ IÈ∓ HĚ	eĭF eù ei ei J ei J ei I i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	CÌË F€È FHÈ ÍÈ IÍÈ €Ë CÌÈH

Table 5.1: Summary of Intersection Analysis MR 80 / Shirley Avenue Synchro Software HCM Report*



[¦Áç, [Áç^@884/^•Éás`^Áξ Ác@Á[, Áç[|ǐ{ ^•DĚÁCE Á cææ^å Á*æ+]å*¦ÁŠ^ç^|•Á; –ÁÙ^¦çã&^Á ÄÒÄ&æ)åÄXØÄ&æ^Á&[{ { [}Á[¦Á ãå^Á d^^ơ∱~ơÁč ¦}•Á;}q[Á@ã*@4ç[|ǐ{ ^Áæ+c°¦ãæ+Á ¦[æå•Á `&@4æ=ÁTÜÂ, €ĚÁ

5.3 2026 with New School Traffic

Á Unsignalized

- Á Yão@Ás@A,^, Á&@[[Ésc@A^¢ãrcā]*Á/Ë3]c^¦•^&cā[}Ásh^&[{ ^•ÁscÁ[`¦Ë, æÂ ā]c^¦•^&cā[}ÈÉ¥KOE•`{ ā]*ÁÙVUÚÁ:ã}•Áæ&a]*ÁÙ@3|^^ÁOEç^}`^Áæ)åÁs@Á&@[[Á æ&&^••Ás¦ãç^, æÊÉsc@¦^Á,ā]|Ásh^Á:ã}ã&aa)c4sh^|æê•Á[¦Áç^@3&|^•Áseec^{]cā]*Á[Áč¦}Á |^-c4s]}d[ÁTÜÂ,€Á¦[{ Ás[c@Á;ãa^•Á;ÁTÜÂ,€ÈĂ

Á Signalized

Á V@ Á&æjæ&ãc Ásjæf•ã Á, æ Ástp•[Á&ælååÁ, öfse•č{ j, * Álæ-æká ã} æp Ástekk@ Á j cli•^&cāj Áçi^^Á, ¢ có Á & cāj } Át l Ástekiã & *•āj } Át Álæ-æká ã } æb Ásteki@ Á Vlæ-æká ã } æb Á [č|å Á l [çãå Ásteki [å Áš^ç^| Át -ÁD^lçæ Asteki@ Ásj cli•^&cāj } ÈÁc[l Á •čå^Á,č] [•^•ÁstA æ Áse•č{ ^å Å @esteki@ Ásj cli•^&cāj } Á [č]å Á@eç^Á ã } æp Ás@estA •čå^Á,č] [•^•ÁstA æ Áse•č{ ^å Å @esteki@ Ásj cli•^&cāj } Á [č]å Á@eç^Á ã } æp Ás@estA [č]å Á^•cát } Át l^^} Át l A € A ¢&?] cáj @ } ÁstA æ Ase•č{ ^å A ã @stA cli & A ã •ãa^Á cl^^cás]] l [æ&@ĚÁCEA æ A este^A & [}å Ásc@estA & A este*č{ ^å A á ã@sh^l{ a estev} [~ástA cli & A estev]] l [æ&@ĚÁCEA æ A estev] cáj & A f [~ástA estev]] l [æ&@ĚÁCEA æ A estev] a f [~ástA estev]] l [æ&@ĚÁCEA æ A estev] a f [~ástA estev]] l [æ&@ÉÁCEA æ A estev] a f [~ástA estev]] l [æ&@ÉÁCEA æ A estev] a f [~ástA estev]] l [æ&@ÉÁCEA æ A estev] a f [~ástA estev]] l [æ&@ÉÁCEA æ A estev] a f [~ástA estev]] a f [a f] cáj } e Á [č] a f [a f] cáj } e Á [č] a f] a f [a f] a f



¦^•ĭ|œÉáačo4(́}|^Á(æa*ājæa|)^ÉÁ

Á Ote Ásæaj Ási∧Ái∧∧} Ásj Á/ææi |^Á ÈEÉsed|Á; [ç∧{ ^} or Ásed[}*ÁTÜ €Á; [č|åÁ;]^¦æe^ÁseeÁ Š^ç^|Á; ÁÙ^¦çã&^ÄÖCEÄ, ão@á; ãj ãţ ædÁsi∧|æê•ÈÁ√@ Á;ča`[č}åÁn~cAč¦}•Á½[{ Ás@∘Á •&@[|Ásej åÁ½[{ ÁÛ@ãi|^^ÁOEç^}č^Á; [č|åÁ;]^¦æe^ÁseeAŠo^ç^|Á; ÁÛ^¦çã&^ÄÖÄAsej åÄÖÄÁ , ão@áseç^¦æ*^Åsi^|æê•Áæij*ãj*Á½[{ ÁH€Ái^&[}å•Át[Á Í Ái^&[]å*ásej åÁ`^č^•A Á; ÁF€Á dţ ÁH€Á; ^d^•ÈÁQÁ;^^å^åAå@@Áãá^Áid^^oA*¦^> •Á&[č|åÁsi^Ásj &!^æ•^åAé|ã@aî^Át[Á ¦^åč &^Ás@Asi^|æê•Áæij åÁ`^čāj*ÁseeAseA A át ási [¦Ást] æsoÁ; }ÁTÜ €Átæ-aseEÁ

Á



6. Analysis of the Need for Signalization

- Á V@ÁÙ&@Į[|ÁÓ[æłåÁ[æå^ÁãoÁ&|^æłÁ¦[{ Ás@Áå^*ā]}ā]*Ás@æeÁs@^Á, æ) oks@ÁTÜÁ Ì ⊕ÐÙ@å|^^ÁŒç^}`^Áājo^¦•^&aāi} Át[Áå^Átā?}ædã ^åÁt[Á];[çãå^Ás@Á];[]^¦Á/ç^|Á[-Á &[}d[||^åÁæ&&^••Át[Ð+[{ Ás@Á];[][•^åÁ,^, Á &@[[|ĎÁQ2A[;¦å^¦Át[Á^•][}åÁt[Ás@Á Ó[æłå©Á][•ãāi]}ĎÉs@Á[||[,ā]*Áæjæf^•^•Áœeç^Áà^^}Ásæk]&*åÅtj`drÁ
- Á 3DÁ OEJ]|38æaa‡i}A(i-Ás@^Á);|[b^&c^åÁčč¦^Ás!æ-38k4ş[|`{ ^•Ás[Ás@AÚ}cæla‡iÁqTVUDÁ Á V¦æ-38kÁÙãt}æd,Áæl;æ)o•Á
- Á ãaDÁ V¦æ-a&ÁÛði}æ,ÁYæ¦æ)o•Á^}•ãaçãc Áæ)æ)•ě A ão©Á^•]^&&Á(Á©A&@,[|Á Á dæ-a&Á
 - •Á][c^}cãa‡Áçælãæaãi}Á§Á@Ašiã^&cãi}Á(Asē)|¦[æ&@Á
 - •Á][c^}cãaqÁçælãaceāį}Á§jÁc@Aj^å^•clãae)Áæ)åÁsãāj*Áç[|`{ ^•ÈÁ
- Á ãããçãc Áxè;a¢A (181;)æ-38ÁUðã;a¢ÁY æs¦æ)•A (184;)•A (184;)•A (184;) æsík (184;) Á [-Á,[}Ë=&@;[|Átæ-38Á1[Ác@A íðā;a¢ã ^åÁ5;c^¦•^&cā];}ÈÁ
- Á ãç DÁ OEJ] |ã&ææāj} Á (-Ás@A), ¦[b>&c^åA`č ¦^Á), ^å^•dãæ), Áse) å Ásiã ^Áç[|`{ ^•Á (LÁs@A) Á U} cæbáj Á QT VUDÁ, æb¦æ) o•Á (L¦Áse), ÁQ, c^¦•^&cāj} Á Ú^å^•dãæ), Á Ú ã }æþÁ QDÚ DDÁ
- Á çDÁ Ùãz^Á§j•]^&cāj}Á[¦Átā*@djāj^•Áæ)åÁæ)^Á`}ã`^Á&[}åãaāj}•Áo@eezÁ(ā*@Aáee-^&oA Á c@^Áj^^åÁ[¦Átā*}æjāaeaāj}ÈĂ
- Á

Á

6.1 Ontario (MTO) Traffic Signal Warrants

- Á V@Á/!æ-a&ÁÙāł}æ,ÁYæ!!æ)or Áæ^Áàæ ^å,Áţ}Átæ-a&Ár,ç^|•ÁGaj&|čåj*Áj^å^ectaæ)Á
 dæ-a&DÁr,ç^!Áx@Á@at@•o/Á?at@ÁQ2`!•Ár,ÁæA52]a&æ,Áåæ?EÁV@Á;![b%&c°å,ÁG€GÎÁtæ-a&Á
 ç[[č{ ^•Áæ,Áx@ÁTÜÂ, €EÙ@at|^^ÁOEç^}`^Ásg.cc!•^&ata]}Át[!Áx@Á*at@Á@at@•o/ÁQ2`!•Á
 æ^ÁrQ, }ÁsjÁTable 6.1EÁV@•^Áç[[č{ ^•Á, ^!^Áæ]]|a?åÁt[Áx@ÁU}cæatiÁÇTVUDÁ
 V!æ-a&ÁUāt}æ,ÁY æ:!æ)or ÁQ0^^ÁOE[]^}åãc/ÁOÁt[!Áx@Á*a^cæati^åÁ;æ:!æ)oÁ
 &[{]čæati}}•DÉÁ
- Á V@Ą́;¦[b*&c^åÁş[|ǐ{ ^•Ą́;|[å`&^åÁs@Á[|][¸ąੋ*Á^•`|o⁺h⁄á
- Á Yae¦aa) oÁGÁÖ^|aê Áq[ÁÜãå^ÁÜd^^oÁ ̀à ÁQ[-Ás@∿Á;ājā[ĭ{Á^ĭă^{ }}d^{ }}d^{ }}d^{ }

^{HÁ}Á Ùãł}æpā æpāį}ÁasiĄæb¦æ)c^å/AsAY æb¦æ)o/东/ရ́¦ÁY æb¦æ)o/AGA^æ&@•AF€€ÃÊA;¦AsAba[o@AA^æ&@Ak €ÃĚA

∨[¦[} o[ÁÁAFÎÉİÏ €ËGƏ € É Á Á Á Á Á Á Á Á Á Á É É GOË E GÄMMMÁ / ∕ ∞¦à[¦[`* @ÁÁ € É ÏI Ë Ĥ Ĥ Á

Table 6.1 Hourly Traffic Volumes Through MR 80 / Shirley Avenue Intersection

a) Existing Traffic Volumes 2019

		MR 80 SI	В		Shirley A	Ave W	в		MR 80 N	3	Sch	ool Drwa	ay EB
TIME	RT	Thru	LT	RT	Thru	LT	Peds*	RT	Thru	LT	RT	Thru	LT
6:00 - 7:00		604	0	1		27	0	3	134				
7:00 - 8:00		927	1	4		21	0	5	310				
8:00 - 9:00		731	3	1		23	0	9	373				
11:00 - 12:00		512	3	3		13	0	8	514				
12:00 - 1:00		557	3	5		18	0	14	547				
2:00 - 3:00		566	5	3		17	1	22	655				
3:00 - 4:00		572	2	6		15	0	26	852				
4:00 - 5:00		522	3	4		23	1	39	1109				
5:00 - 6:00		474	2	5		13	0	48	964				

Note *: Pedestrians crossing MR 80 in both directions on both sides of Shirley Avenue.

b) Projected Background Traffic Volumes 2026

		MR 80 SI	3		Shirley /	Ave W	в		MR 80 NI	3	Sch	ool Drwa	ay EB
TIME	RT	Thru	LT	RT	Thru	LT	Peds*	RT	Thru	LT	RT	Thru	LT
6:00 - 7:00		670	0	1		30	0	3	149				
7:00 - 8:00		1029	1	4		23	0	6	344				
8:00 - 9:00		811	3	1		26	0	10	414				
11:00 - 12:00		568	3	3		14	0	9	571				
12:00 - 1:00		618	3	6		20	0	16	607				
2:00 - 3:00		628	6	3		19	1	24	727				
3:00 - 4:00		635	2	7		17	0	29	946				
4:00 - 5:00		579	3	4		26	1	43	1231				
5:00 - 6:00		526	2	6		14	0	53	1070				

c) New School Traffic

	MR 80 SB				Shirley A	Ave W	В	MR 80 NB			School Drway EB			
TIME	RT	Thru	LT	RT	Thru	LT	Peds*	RT	Thru	LT	RT	Thru	LT	
6:00 - 7:00 7:00 - 8:00 8:00 - 9:00 11:00 - 12:00 12:00 - 1:00	0 39 78 11 8				0 8 16 2 2		0 2 36 2 1			0 31 62 9 6	0 5 50 8 6	0 1 12 2 2	0 62 10 8	
2:00 - 3:00 3:00 - 4:00 4:00 - 5:00 5:00 - 6:00	20 36 18 3				4 7 4 1		13 28 2 1			16 29 15 3	2 63 20 11	0 16 5 3	2 78 25 13	

d) 2026 Total Traffic

		MR 80 SI	3		Shirley A	Ave W	В		MR 80 NI	3	Sch	ool Drw	ay EB
TIME	RT	Thru	LT	RT	Thru	LT	Peds*	RT	Thru	LT	RT	Thru	LT
6:00 - 7:00	0	670	0	1	0	30	0	3	149	0	0	0	0
7:00 - 8:00	39	1029	1	4	8	23	2	6	344	31	5	1	6
8:00 - 9:00	78	811	3	1	16	26	36	10	414	62	50	12	62
11:00 - 12:00	11	568	3	3	2	14	2	9	571	9	8	2	10
12:00 - 1:00	8	618	3	6	2	20	1	16	607	6	6	2	8
2:00 - 3:00	20	628	6	3	4	19	14	24	727	16	2	0	2
3:00 - 4:00	36	635	2	7	7	17	28	29	946	29	63	16	78
4:00 - 5:00	18	579	3	4	4	26	3	43	1231	15	20	5	25
5:00 - 6:00	3	526	2	6	1	14	1	53	1070	3	11	3	13



Á V¦æ⊶a&Aáāt}憕Áæ¦^Á,[oÁ,æ¦æ)c∿åÁį}Áx@Aàæ∙ãrÁį-Áx@^Á,¦[b∿&c∿åÁG€GÎÁç^@a&`|æ¦Á æ)åÁj^å^∙dãæ)Áç[|`{ ^∙ÈĂ

6.2 School Traffic Sensitivity AnalysisÁ

Á Ù^} • ãuãçãc Ása) æf •^• Á, ^!^ Á&æd å å Át ` ÓA (Át ^^ ÁQ , Át @ea) * ^• Ás) Át@ Áse • ` {] cāt } • Á
{ æt ^ Ás, Át !^ & & ea cā * Át & Q [| Át æ-38 Á, [` | å Áse-^ & óA @ea) * ^• Ás, Át@ Á, æd æ) óA
{ æt ^ Ás, Át !^ & & ea cā * Át & Q [| Át æ-38 Á, [` | å Áse-^ & óA @ea A, ` óA @ea A, ` óA @ea A, ` Át @ea A, ` Át @ea A, ` óA @ea A, ` Át @ea A, ` Át @ea A, ` Át @ea A, ` Át @ea A, ` Át @ea A, ` Át @ea A, ` ÓA @ea A, ` ÓA @ea A, ` Át @ea A, ` Át @ea A, ` Át @ea A, ` A ^ • ` | o Ás A, ` Table 6.20 A

```
Á
```

- Á ÁÐÁ V@A&aā^&caāj}æļĄ`¦ār}cæaaāj}Ą[Ás@AÁ&Q4[[Ásiæ-a&Aýæbě*ó*dka[A&[*à]^Á Á c@Aç[|`{ ^Aæd:'açaj*10p?æçaj*Ás@Aí&Q4[[ÁçãæAÛ@34|^^AOEç^}`^ÈÁV@æeA&@æe)*^Á
 - Á [}ÁãerÁ,;}Á,¦[å`&∧åÁo@^Á{[||[,ā]*Á∧∙č|orKÁ
- Á Á Yæs¦æ)o4∓Á/[œa¢Á/¦æ⊶a&Á Á ÍÌÃÁ
- Á Á Yas¦aa)oÁGÁÖ^|aêÁq[ÁÜãa^ÁÙd^^oÁÌIÃÁ
- Á ãaĐÁ V@Ašaā^&caţ}ækÁt¦ða}cæeaţ}Át,Ás@AÁ&@?[|Ástæ-a8AÁ,æ•Ásæåbŏ•c^åAát[Ásg&st^æ•A Á c@A∫t[][¦caţ}Átj-Áç^@384/^•Áse¦ãçãj*Á¦[{ÁTÜÂt€ÁÞ[¦c@Á+¦[{Át€Ã Ást[Ái€Ã Á
 - Á a∌,åÁ∧åĭ&aj;*ÁoQ;•^Áas¦ãçāj;*Á¦[{ÁTÜÂi€ÁÙ[ĭc@Á¦[{Ái€ÃiÁ[ÁG€Ã ĚÁ/@aazÁ
- Á Á &@ee)*^Á;}ÁãorÁ;;}Á;¦[å`&^åÁc@?Á;||[;ā;*Á^•č|orKÁ
- Á ÁÁ Ya⇔¦aa)o/∓Á/[aæ–4&Á Á ÍÎÃÁ
- Á Á Yas¦aa) cÁGÁÖ^|aê Áq[ÁÜãa^ÁÙd^^cÁ ÌIÃÁ
- Á ããĐÁ V@~Á,^å^•dãæ),Áse) åÁsiãāj,*Áç[|`{ ^•Ásc&¦[••ÁTÜÂ,€Á,^¦^Ásj,&¦^æ•^åÁsì^Á Á F€€Ã ÈÁ√@æcÁsj,&¦^æ•^Á;}Áão•Á,.}Á,¦[å`&^åÁs@^Á;||[,ā]*Á^•`|o•kÁ
- Á Á Yælæ) o/FÁ/[ædÁ/læ-æAÁ Á ÍÎÃÁ
- Á Á Yælæ) «ÁGÁÖ^ Jæ Át ÁU ãa ^ ÁU d^^ ó Á Ì HÃ Á
- Á ãããDÁ V@Á&[{àð]æað]}Á[-Ás@Áæà[ç^Ás@^^Áæåb`•q'^}o•Á*æç^Ás@A{[||[]ð]*Á Á ¦^•`|o•Ká
- Á Á Ya⇔¦aa)o4FÁ/[cæa†Á/¦ae⊶a&Á Á ÍÌÃÁ
- Á Á Yas¦aa) cÁGÁÖ^|aê Áq[ÁÜãa^ÁÙd^^cÁ ÌJÃÁ
- Á

TABLE 6.2 PROPOSED NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MR 80 at SHIRLEY AVENUE, VAL THERESE SIGNAL WARRANT SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

	Warrant 1* Total Traffic	Warrant 2* Delay to Cross Traffic
Projected 2026 Total Traffic		
₩₩\$CDECÎÁÓæ&*¦[`}åÁV¦æ-æ3kÆÁÚ¦[b%&c^åÁÙ&@{[ÁV¦æ-æ3D	55%	80%
Sensitivity Test No.1		
₩₩\$ÇÙ&@[Áslæ-æ3kÁşãæAÛ@3a ^^ÁOEç^}`^Á\$a[`à ^åD	58%	84%
Sensitivity Test No.2		
Á₩ÁÇÖã^&cā;}æ∮Á;¦ã^}cæaā;}Á;-Á*&@?[Ás'æ-æ3Á,^ã*@c^åÁq;Á;[¦c@DEE	56%	84%
Sensitivity Test No.3		
λ₩₩QCĺΛåΛ∙dãæ)-Áæ)-åÁaã.^Ádæ-a&Áq[Đ⊀[{Á-&@[[/Ás[čà ^åD	56%	83%
Adjustments No.1, No.2 and No.3 Combined	58%	89%
Sensitivity Test No.4 - Non-School Traffic		
Á₩₩QY^•cà[`}ẳÁp∿-cÁsč¦}•Á¦[{Âù@ã ^^ÁŒĘ^}`^Áşj&¦^æ•^åÁsìÂi€Ã[62%	92%
Sensitivity Test No.5 - Non-School Traffic		
/₩₩\$ÇY^•cà[`} [°] åÁp^-cAs`¦}•Á¦[{ÂÙ@ã ^^ÁCEç^}`^Á§j&¦^æ•^åAs^ÁF€€Ã	68%	97%



6.3	Non-School Traffic Sensitivity AnalysisÁ
Á	V¦æ-a&Ááã}æ‡Á&a)Áà^Á¢]^&c^åÁţÁœeç^Áad}Áãţ]æ&o4ţ}Áţ[}Ë&@Q[Ádæ-a&ÉÁ ^•]^&aad ^Áţ}Áţ[d[¦ão Á§Á@AÛ@ã ^^ÁOEc^}`^Á&aa&@Q ^}oÁd~aAv@aadAjä @ÁdţÁť[Á •[`c@4ţ}ÁTÜ €ÁGZÈÈ&ţÁţaà^ÁadAj~Adč¦}Á¦[{ÂÛ@3a ^^ÁOEc^}`^Aţ}d[ATÜ €Á •[`c@4[`}åDEÁV¦æ-a&Áã}æ‡Á{ã}æ‡Áj[` åÁaada&oAa[{^Áaadaj}æ4xdæ-a&Áad}åAq[¦Ácă^Á]`¦][•^•Áç [Á&^}æ4ā]•Áj^¦^Ác^•c^åÈÁ
Á	
Á	Q Ác@ Áal•oÁ &^}ælā[Ébc@ Áç[ǐ{ ^Áį-Á,[}Ё&@[[Ádæ-a&Á•ā]*ÁÙ@al ^^ÁOEç^}`^Áq[Á { æ\^Á/\~Áoč¦}•Áį}q[ÁTÜÂi€Á, ærÁsj&¦^æ-^åÁsì^Ái€Ã ĚÁ√@æeA&@æe)*^Áį}ÁserÁ[,}Á]¦[å`&^åÁo@ Á[[,ā]*Á^•č orÁQ;^^ÁTable 6.2DAÁ
Á	Á Yad-laa)o/FÁ/[caqlÁ/lac-a&Á Á ÎGÃÁ
Á Á	Á Yæl¦æ)oÁGÁÖ^ æîÁ{[ÁÚãa^ÁÚd^^oÁ JGÃÁ
Á	Q Ác@ Ár^&[}åÁ&æe^Éa∞áF€€Ã Á3j&¦^æe^Á3jÁr∿oÁč¦}•Á¦[{ÁÙ@á ^^ÁOEç^}`^Á;æeÁ c∿∙c∿åÁ;ãc@ác@ ÁE[[,引*Á^•č o•kÁ
Á	Á Yas¦a∋lo4FÁ/[œadÁ/¦æ⊶a8Á Á ÎÌÃÁ
Á	Á YælæjoÁGÁÖ^læjÁ¢IÁÚãã^ÁÛd^^cÁ JÏÃÁ
Á	Á
Á	V@,Á&[}& `•ā[}Á\![{Á@,Á^}•ãnāçāc Áse)æ î •ã,Ási@æeAk&@[Áclæ-a&A[}Áse A[] ÉA ^ç^}Á}å^!Ás@,Á[[•óÁ4]]cā[ã:cā&Äkee•`{]cā]}•ÉasA[A[]*ÉasA[]*Á][ã^ ^Á[Á,æ]!æ)oÁclæ-a&Á •ã}æ)A[}ÁTÜÂ,€ÉÁAP[,^c,'¦ÁsaÁs@,Á]]E &@[Áclæ-a&Á•ā]*ÁÙ@3 ^^ÁOEç^}`^Á[!Á ^-oÁc`!}•Á[`d4]{d`TÜÂ,€Á,^!^Á[Ás]&\'^æ^A&^AE~EA Éase&A[{]æ}a&Asî^ÁseA{ a]&\'^æ^A[s]Ás@,Á&@[Áclæ-a&A[!^&æeoEx@,Áclæ-a&A[]`{ ^•Á&[` åA^a&@A`ā}}æ]&\'^æ^As]Ás@,Á&@[Áclæ-a&A[!^&æeoEx@,Áclæ-a&A[]`{ ^•Á&[`]aA^a&@A`ā}}æ]æ!æ)o4[^ç^]•ĚA
Á	

6.4 Ontario (MTO) Intersection Pedestrian Signals (IPS)

Á V@ Áj ¦ [b/8 cc å Áj ^ å ^ e dãa aj Ága j å Ásiða ^ Dáş [| ` { ^ e Ás@æa Ást ^ Ár ¢] ^ 8 cc å Át f Ást [• e ÁT ÜÁ ì €Át EH [{ Ás@ Á & @ [| Át ç^ ¦ Árði @ Á@ ` ¦ e Át cæ f Ást] ` | [¢ã a ær \ ^ Ât € E Á / @ e ^ Á] ^ å ^ e dãa j • Á [` | å Ást | Ás ^ ÁF G Á ^ æ e Át - Ást ^ Át | á ^ ¦ Át] å ^ ¦ Á ā 8 ^ Ás@ Á U & @ [| ÁÓ [æ å Á] \ [çãa ^ e Á & @ [| Ás ` e Á ^ ¦ çã X Át f Ás j ^ à [å ^ Át [` } * ^ ¦ Á / * æ å | ^ e • Át - Ás@ á Åsi a æ aj & ^ Á] \ [çãa ^ e Á & @ [| Ás ` e Á ^ ¦ çã X Át f Ás j ^ à [å ^ Át [` } * ^ ¦ Á / * æ å | ^ e • Át - Ás@ á Åsi a æ aj & ^ Á - ¼ [{ Á & @ [| Ás Ás@ ^ Á@ aç ^ Át f Ás [• e Ást { æ f at] æ Á ` & @ fæ A T ÜÂ € E Á / @ af Åsi æ A - ¼ [{ Á & @ [| Ás Ás@ ^ Á@ ac ^ Át f Ás] e • Ást { æ f } æ A ` & @ fæ A ` & @ fæ A ` & @ fa ` A ` Ú \ [çã & ãæ Á & æ ¦ æ) o Át | Á / å ^ e dãa f A [æ af A ` & af a ´ A ` æ å añ } æ Á ` å @ Ág È É Á



~æ&q[¦^å/&a^Âxî^Áç [DÁx[Á[`}*^¦Á&@qå¦^}Áæ)åÁx@vÁv|å^¦|^Ê&x@æex4&[^•Á,[oÁæ]]|^Á§JÁx@ærÁ •ãčæeqā[}ÈĂ

- Á V@ÁÚ¦[çā]&ãænþÁYæ¦æ)oÆEÄÚ^å^•dãæn)ÁX[|ǐ{^ÁQ}^^ÁOEJ]^}åã¢Á2DÁ^``ā^•ÁæÁ {ā]ā[`{Á[-ÁGI€Á]^å^•dãæn)Á&¦[••ā]*•Á&`¦ā]*Á?ā1@Á@ā1@•oÁQ2`¦•Á[-Ás@A&aĉA _@¦^Ás@AÎEQ2`¦Áş^@3&`|æelÁş[|ǐ{^Á®a:ÁF€E€€EÁQTÜÂI€Ás[cæelÁş[|ĭ{^Á&a`¦ā]*Á?ā1@6Á Q2`¦•DBÁ
- Á V@ÁÚ¦[çā] &ãæ‡ÁY æ¦æ) óÁGÄÄÁÚ^å^∙dãæ) ÁÖ^|æÂÁÇ}^^ÁQE;]^}åã¢Á2DÁ^``ã^•ÁæÁ {ājā[`{Áç ÁGE€Á;^å^•dãæ) Á&¦[••ā]*•Á§iÁ`ãt @ÁQE`¦•Áæ) åÁ@ãt @Á^ç^|•Áç Á&^|æÂ -{¦Á∞eÁr∕æ•óÆH€Á; Ás@ ÁGE€Á;^å^•dãæ) •ÈÁ
- Á V@Á[cæ‡Á,¦[b%&c^åÁç[|`{ ^Á[.~Â,€Á].^å^•dãæ)•Áæ‡|•Á[Áæ}Á,@{¦o4[.~Ás@A]^å^•dãæ)Áã}æ‡Á,懦æ)o•Ás@æeA,[Áč¦c@°¦Áæ)憰•ãÁ,æ•Á}å^¦cæè^}ÈÁ

Q, Ászá á ãtāj } ÉÁU&@[| ÁÓ[æ tả Áj ~ 38 ãet+ Áse) å Ás@ ÁU* å à ` ¦^ ÁUč å ^ } o ÁU^ ¦ç 38 ^ Á Ô[} • [¦ cã { ÉÁ, @, Áj æ t ^ Ás@ Á &@[| Ás ` • Á ^ • c^ { ÉÆ@æç ^ Á cæe^ å Ás@ætÁ &@[| Á ^{] |[^ ^ • Áse) å Á &@[| Ás ` • Ás ¦ ãç ^ ¦ • Áj ä| Á, [o Á } å ^ ¦ Áse) ^ Ásai & ` { • cæ) & ^ • Áses čæe^ Á ÚÚ Á ã } æ+ ÉÁ

6.5 Site InspectionÁ

- - •A U a esta a cag a * A ca A fata A
 - -¦[æå:DÁæ)åÁv@ãkÁ,ã|Áæ),æ°∙Á*}&[覿*^Á@a*@°¦Á+]^^å•ÈÁ

Á

6.6 Potential Impact of Traffic Signals on Background TrafficÁ

Á Qa/áa Ájã ^|^Ás@eer/áaÁtæ-a&Á ãt } 憕Á, ^¦^Át[Áa)^Áaj•œe/|^å ÁseerÁs@ ÁT ÜÂL €EÙ@á|^^Á Otç^} * ^Áaj c^¦•^&cajt } Ás@eerÁs@ ¦^Á, [*|å Áa)^Á [{ ^Áseatå ãa ji } ælÁ, [} Ё &@[|Átæ-a&Á



- Á
- Á V@`¦^Á;æ`Ása^ÁsaÁ,`{ à^¦Á;~Á; [q[¦ãro=Ás@æecÁ;ā"@Asáac a&sc^å Á;[ÁÙ@3a|^^ÁOEç^}`^Á ãÆstAfat}ædjā ^ å ÈÁOE=Á:cæec^å ÁsjÁÙ^&caj;}A`È=ÉÉSaÁs@Aj,`cà[`}åAj^-cAs`¦}•Á';[{ Á Ù@3a|^^ÁOEç^}`^Á;^¦^Át[Ás[[`à|^Ê5s@A`ā"}ædjÁ;æd;læajcA{[¦Ás@Asj;c^¦•^&caj]}ÁsiÁ]¦[b%&c^å Át[Á^æ&s@Áy]ïÄ ÈÁ

Á


Active Transportation 7

×k/@ ÁÔāĉ ϟį - ÁÕ¦^æe^¦ÁÙ*åà*¦^ÁJ~-a&ãæqÁÚ|æ); ϟj |æ&^•Á^{{]} @æ•ã ϟi} ϟ μ; [{[cā]*Á ÁBBCãt,^Á/¦æ)•][¦œetā]}ÈÁQÁs@Á&æ•^Á; Ás@Á;![][•^åÁ&@[|ÉOBBCãt,^Á V¦æ}•][¦œea]}Á,[ĭ|åÁ\^æ}ÁA}æà|ā,*Áæ}åÁ}&]či*a#aÅ}&[ĭ¦æ#ā,*Áæ}åÁ\a#*Áæ}åÁa}&[ĭ'a#ā,*Áæ}åÁaā,*Á§A •&@[|Á\$a^Á\`] #•ÉÁ cæ-ÉÁ æ\^} o Áse} åÁ c@\+ ĚÁV[ÁseÁ \+ • ^ \ Á ¢ c^} cÉásÁ [` |å Á§ &|` å^Á ^}&[`'¦ætā]*Áāâ^•@eda]*Áed;åÁ`à|ã&Ák'æd;•ãrÁ•æt^ĚÁV@:Á{ ||[_ā]*Áed;^Á &{;}•ãå^¦^åÁ\$;Áà^Á\$@^Á;¦ã;¦ããà•Á\$;¦Á\$@^Á,^_Á&@[|kÁÁ

- ÐÁ
- Á @at@A^c^lAtA;ato^lAtæato}aa;&^AtAc@•^Aæsataaa*•AA
- ãDÁ Ùæ^Á&¦[••ā]*Á∖ÁTÜ €Á8ÈÈÉdæ-38Áðā}æ+Áaàå&¦[••ā]*Á*`æ¦åÁ
- âadá Ò|ā[ā]æeā],*Ð)ā]ā[ã]ā],*Áç^@a&č|æ+Đ)^å^∙dãæ),Á&[}-|ã&o•Á[}Á;ãč^Á
- Ô[}c^}ā^}d^Á/&æe^åÁ^&`¦^Áàã^Ád`¦æ*^ÁæÁ&@[|Á^}dæ}&^•Á ãcDÁ
- Ò}&(ĭ`¦æ≛^Á;¦[cãā]}Á;~Áà`•Á;@(|ơ^¦•Á;}ÁTÜÂ;€ÁœAà`•Ád[]•Á çDÁ
- Ú¦ā; ¦ãĉ Á, æ¦∖ā; * Á; ¦Áãå^∙ @æ¢ā; * ÁÈA çaDA

Á

7.1 Sidewalks/Footpaths/Bike Paths A

.V@:¦^Áse¦^Á,[Á,ãâ^, æk)•Ásel[}*Ás@ã,Á^&cā;}Á;-ÁTÜÂ,€Á,[¦Áse¦^Ás@;¦^Áse}^Ásel[}*Á Ù@34|^^ÁOEc^}`^ÈÁV@^¦^Ása ÁsaÁ ãa^_a4\Ása4[}*Ás@A4[`c@4\ãa^A1, ÁÕæ`c@3\¦ÁÙd^^cÁÁA æ) å Ás@ ¦^Áæ^Á ãå^ e/ e/ á á Ás@ ÁR^æ) }^Ás CEE & ÁUd^^c/æ á a * á a * á a * á a * á a * á a * á a * á a * á a _ ^• σÁ ãå ^ Á; -ÁÖč * æ• ÁÙ d ^ ^ σ́与 Ác@ Áçã&ð; ãĉ Á; -ÁR^ æ; } ^ Áå CEE&ÁÙ d ^ ^ dĚÁ

 $\dot{U} \left[\left\{ \begin{array}{c} A\dot{h} \\ a^{+}\dot{A} \end{array} \right] \frac{1}{4^{\bullet}} \dot{A} \\ \dot{a}$ ^¢]^&c^åÁξ[Á, æ‡\ÁǦÁàã^DÁξ[Á&@?Á, ã‡|Á,^^åÁæ^Á;æ4\●Á Ð [[] æc@ Ðaã ^Á,æc@ Á¦[{ ÁT Ü €Á; Ác@ Á &@ [|Á^} dæ) &^ĎÁÙ[{ ^Á,æ^} o Áze) åÁ • cæ-Á, āļlÁ懕 [Á, æ) cÁ{[Á, æ]\ Á{[Á &@, [|Áæ] å Ác@\ \^Á @, ` |å Áà^Á ãå^, æ]\ • E[[d] æc@ Á d[Ásel] | [] | ãæe^ Á &@ [| Á } dæ) &^ • Ě́́́́́Юæ` ÁÔæ'^ Á œe-Á &&æ•ã } æ|^ Ásel ^ Á&@åå | ^ } Á ` ÓA $\frac{1}{4}$

Q,Á&, } • ãå^¦æaā, } Á, Ác@,Áæà, [c^Éx@,Át, ||[, ã, * Á,ãå^, æt, •Ð; [d] æc@,Ðaã^Á,æc@,Áæ^Á ¦^&[{ { ^}å^åÁse•Á¦¦ã[¦ããã)•Á[¦Ás@∘Á&@[|KÁ



- Pedestrian walkways (raised sidewalks or paths removed from vehicular driveways) from all building entrances to a main raised sidewalk along the main driveway leading to MR 80
- A safe raised pedestrian holding area on Shirley Avenue on the east side of MR 80
- iii) Bicycle path(s) from MR 80 to bike racks near the school entrance(s).
- A paved pedestrian/bike path on the west side of MR 80 (removed from the vehicular roadway) from the school driveway to Jeanne d'Arc Street.
- v) A high level of winter maintenance will need to be put in place possibly with shared responsibility between the City and the School Board.

7.2 MR 80 Crossing

MR 80 is a straight wide open roadway with high vehicular speeds. In addition to traffic signals, a school crossing guard should be on duty for pupils crossing MR 80 at Shirley

7.3 Vehicle/Pedestrian Conflicts on Site

To make walking/biking as attractive as possible and maximize safety, the site plan should minimize/eliminate conflicts between vehicular traffic and pedestrian/bike traffic on school property. Pedestrians and bicyclists should desirably be able to get from MR 80 to the school without having to cross any automobile/bus traffic/driveways.

The proposed site plan requires pedestrians/bicyclists to cross the parent drop-off parking lot on the east side of the school. This conflict between pedestrians and vehicles could be eliminated if the parking lot was relocated to the west side of the school. If the parking lot remains on the east side. pedestrian safety would be improved by making the crossing a raised platform



(i.e. the crossing at sidewalk level with ramps on the approaches for vehicular traffic).

7.4 Bike Racks

Convenient and secure bike storage should be provided at all relevant school entrances.

7.5 Bus Shelters

In order to enhance the appeal of taking transit, the School Board should work with the City to provide bus shelters at the bus stops on MR 80.

7.6 Priority Parking for Ridesharers

In order to encourage ridesharing, the most desirable parking spaces should be reserved for rideshare participants.

APPENDIX A

Traffic Count Data provided by City Traffic Office



Turning Movement Data

				ιų	i i iling i	NOVEII		ala					
		MR	80			Shirley	Avenue			MF	R 80		
		South	bound			West	ound			North	bound		
Start Time	Thru	Left	Peds	App Total	Right	Left	Peds	App Total	Right	Thru	Peds	App Total	Int Total
2:00 DM	405	0	0	125	0	E	0	7 tpp: 10tai	4	407	0	144	204
2.00 PW	135	0	0	133	0		0		4	137		141	201
2:15 PM	161	3	0	164	3	4	0	7	8	153	0	161	332
2:30 PM	131	1	0	132	0	5	1	5	6	176	0	182	319
2:45 PM	139	1	0	140	0	3	0	3	4	189	0	193	336
Hourly Total	566	5	0	571	3	17	1	20	22	655	0	677	1268
3:00 PM	119	1	0	120	2	3	0	5	4	172	0	176	301
2:15 DM	164	1	0	165	1	7	0		6	211	0	217	200
3.13 PW	104		0	105		/	0	0	0	211		217	390
3:30 PM	149	0	0	149	2	3	0	5	8	243	0	251	405
3:45 PM	140	0	0	140	1	2	0	3	8	226	0	234	377
Hourly Total	572	2	0	574	6	15	0	21	26	852	0	878	1473
4:00 PM	132	0	0	132	1	4	1	5	5	267	0	272	409
4:15 PM	122	1	0	123	2	9	0	11	8	249	0	257	391
4:30 PM	142	1	0	143	1	3	0	4	10	207	0	207	454
4.30 F M	142		0	143			0		10			307	434
4:45 PM	126	1	0	127	0	/	0	/	16	296	0	312	446
Hourly Total	522	3	0	525	4	23	1	27	39	1109	0	1148	1700
5:00 PM	106	0	0	106	3	3	0	6	11	290	0	301	413
5:15 PM	151	2	0	153	0	3	0	3	16	245	0	261	417
5:30 PM	112	0	0	112	1	5	0	6	11	227	0	238	356
5:45 PM	105	0	0	105	1	2	0	3	10	202	0	212	320
5.45 F M	105	0	0	100	-	2	0		10			212	520
Hourly I otal	474	2	0	476	5	13	0	18	48	964	0	1012	1506
6:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
*** BREAK ***	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6:00 AM	124	0	0	124	1	3	0	4	0	23	0	23	151
6:15 AM	151	0	0	151	0	7	0	7	0	20	0	20	197
0.15 AlVI	131	0	0	101	0	/	0	/	0	29		29	107
6:30 AM	163	0	0	163	0	9	0	9	2	37	0	39	211
6:45 AM	166	0	0	166	0	8	0	8	1	45	0	46	220
Hourly Total	604	0	0	604	1	27	0	28	3	134	0	137	769
7:00 AM	228	0	0	228	0	4	0	4	1	51	0	52	284
7:15 AM	248	0	0	248	1	6	0	7	2	57	0	59	314
7:30 AM	263	0	0	263	2	6	0		2	80	0	01	362
7.30 AN	205		0	203	2		0	0	2			31	302
7:45 AM	188	1	0	189	1	5	0	6	0	113	0	113	308
Hourly Total	927	1	0	928	4	21	0	25	5	310	0	315	1268
8:00 AM	217	1	0	218	0	5	0	5	2	63	0	65	288
8:15 AM	182	1	0	183	1	10	0	11	2	102	0	104	298
8:30 AM	170	0	0	170	0	7	0	7	4	100		104	281
8:45 AM	162	1	0	163	0	1	0	1	1	108	0	100	272
Usurki Tatal	704	1	0	70.4			0	0.1	0	070	0	105	210
Houriy Totai	731	3	0	734	1	23	0	24	9	3/3	. 0	382	1140
9:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
*** BREAK ***	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:00 AM	126	2	0	128	1	3	0	4	1	113	0	114	246
11:15 AM	120	1	0	130	0	3	0	3	3	126	0	120	262
11.15 AM	123	1	0	100	0	5	0	5	0	120	0	123	202
11:30 AM	123	0	0	123	0	5	0	5	2	120	. 0	122	250
11:45 AM	134	0	0	134	2	2	0	4	2	155	0	157	295
Hourly Total	512	3	0	515	3	13	0	16	8	514	0	522	1053
12:00 PM	148	2	0	150	2	3	0	5	2	132	0	134	289
12:15 PM	143	0	0	143	1	5	0	6	1	146	0	147	296
12:20 DM	120	0	0	120	1	4	0	E	0	124	0	142	205
12.30 FM	130		0	130		4	0		0	134	0	142	200
12:45 PM	128	1	0	129	1	6	0		3	135	0	138	274
Hourly Total	557	3	0	560	5	18	0	23	14	547	0	561	1144
Grand Total	5465	22	0	5487	32	170	2	202	174	5458	0	5632	11321
Approach %	99.6	0.4	-	-	15.8	84.2	-	-	3.1	96.9	-	-	-
Total %	48.3	0.2	-	48.5	0.3	1.5	-	1.8	1.5	48.2	-	49.7	-
Lichto	5120	20		5140	30	166		106	167	5160		5207	10671
	0120	20	-	0140	30	001	-	190	107	0100	-	0321	100/1
% Lights	93.8	90.9	-	93.8	93.8	97.6	-	97.0	96.0	94.5	-	94.6	94.3
Mediums	201	2	-	203	2	4	-	6	7	180	-	187	396
% Mediums	3.7	9.1	-	3.7	6.3	2.4	-	3.0	4.0	3.3	-	3.3	3.5
Articulated Trucks	135	0	-	135	0	0	-	0	0	116	-	116	251
% Articulated Trucks	2.5	0.0	-	2.5	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	2.1	-	2.1	2.2
Bicycles on Pood	1	0	_	1	0	0	_		0		-	 ?	2
Dicycles Un Rudu		U	-	1	U	U	-	U	U	4	-	4	5

% Bicycles on Road	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pedestrians	-	-	0	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-





Turning Movement Data Plot



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:30 PM)

			runni	IY INDVE		i car i		ala (4.5	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				
		MR	80			Shirley	Avenue			MR	80		
Otort Time		South	bound			Westb	ound			North	bound		
Start Time	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
4:30 PM	142	1	0	143	1	3	0	4	10	297	0	307	454
4:45 PM	126	1	0	127	0	7	0	7	16	296	0	312	446
5:00 PM	106	0	0	106	3	3	0	6	11	290	0	301	413
5:15 PM	151	2	0	153	0	3	0	3	16	245	0	261	417
Total	525	4	0	529	4	16	0	20	53	1128	0	1181	1730
Approach %	99.2	0.8	-	-	20.0	80.0	-	-	4.5	95.5	-	-	-
Total %	30.3	0.2	-	30.6	0.2	0.9	-	1.2	3.1	65.2	-	68.3	-
PHF	0.869	0.500	-	0.864	0.333	0.571	-	0.714	0.828	0.949	-	0.946	0.953
Lights	508	4	-	512	4	16	-	20	53	1104	-	1157	1689
% Lights	96.8	100.0	-	96.8	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	97.9	-	98.0	97.6
Mediums	10	0	-	10	0	0	-	0	0	14	-	14	24
% Mediums	1.9	0.0	-	1.9	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	1.2	-	1.2	1.4
Articulated Trucks	7	0	-	7	0	0	-	0	0	10	-	10	17
% Articulated Trucks	1.3	0.0	-	1.3	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.9	-	0.8	1.0
Bicycles on Road	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Bicycles on Road	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pedestrians	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:30 PM)



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (7:15 AM)

			i unin		mont			aia (7. j	57101				
		MR	80			Shirley	Avenue			MR	80		
0. T		South	bound			Westb	ound			North	ound		
Start Time	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
7:15 AM	248	0	0	248	1	6	0	7	2	57	0	59	314
7:30 AM	263	0	0	263	2	6	0	8	2	89	0	91	362
7:45 AM	188	1	0	189	1	5	0	6	0	113	0	113	308
8:00 AM	217	1	0	218	0	5	0	5	2	63	0	65	288
Total	916	2	0	918	4	22	0	26	6	322	0	328	1272
Approach %	99.8	0.2	-	-	15.4	84.6	-	-	1.8	98.2	-	-	-
Total %	72.0	0.2	-	72.2	0.3	1.7	-	2.0	0.5	25.3	-	25.8	-
PHF	0.871	0.500	-	0.873	0.500	0.917	-	0.813	0.750	0.712	-	0.726	0.878
Lights	862	2	-	864	4	22	-	26	5	286	-	291	1181
% Lights	94.1	100.0	-	94.1	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	83.3	88.8	-	88.7	92.8
Mediums	35	0	-	35	0	0	-	0	1	30	-	31	66
% Mediums	3.8	0.0	-	3.8	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	16.7	9.3	-	9.5	5.2
Articulated Trucks	19	0	-	19	0	0	-	0	0	6	-	6	25
% Articulated Trucks	2.1	0.0	-	2.1	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	1.9	-	1.8	2.0
Bicycles on Road	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Bicycles on Road	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pedestrians	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (7:15 AM)



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (11:00 AM)

		1	unnin	y move	inent i	Cari		ala (1 1.))			
		MR	80			Shirley	Avenue			MR	80		
Otort Time		South	bound			Westb	ound			North	bound		
Start Time	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
11:00 AM	126	2	0	128	1	3	0	4	1	113	0	114	246
11:15 AM	129	1	0	130	0	3	0	3	3	126	0	129	262
11:30 AM	123	0	0	123	0	5	0	5	2	120	0	122	250
11:45 AM	134	0	0	134	2	2	0	4	2	155	0	157	295
Total	512	3	0	515	3	13	0	16	8	514	0	522	1053
Approach %	99.4	0.6	-	-	18.8	81.3	-	-	1.5	98.5	-	-	-
Total %	48.6	0.3	-	48.9	0.3	1.2	-	1.5	0.8	48.8	-	49.6	-
PHF	0.955	0.375	-	0.961	0.375	0.650	-	0.800	0.667	0.829	-	0.831	0.892
Lights	486	3	-	489	3	13	-	16	7	481	-	488	993
% Lights	94.9	100.0	-	95.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	87.5	93.6	-	93.5	94.3
Mediums	9	0	-	9	0	0	-	0	1	14	-	15	24
% Mediums	1.8	0.0	-	1.7	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	12.5	2.7	-	2.9	2.3
Articulated Trucks	17	0	-	17	0	0	-	0	0	19	-	19	36
% Articulated Trucks	3.3	0.0	-	3.3	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	3.7	-	3.6	3.4
Bicycles on Road	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Bicycles on Road	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pedestrians	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (11:00 AM)



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (12:00 PM)

		1	unning	y move	inent i	Cari		ala (12.))			
		MR	80			Shirley	Avenue			MR	80		
Otort Time		South	bound			West	ound			North	bound		
Start Time	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
12:00 PM	148	2	0	150	2	3	0	5	2	132	0	134	289
12:15 PM	143	0	0	143	1	5	0	6	1	146	0	147	296
12:30 PM	138	0	0	138	1	4	0	5	8	134	0	142	285
12:45 PM	128	1	0	129	1	6	0	7	3	135	0	138	274
Total	557	3	0	560	5	18	0	23	14	547	0	561	1144
Approach %	99.5	0.5	-	-	21.7	78.3	-	-	2.5	97.5	-	-	-
Total %	48.7	0.3	-	49.0	0.4	1.6	-	2.0	1.2	47.8	-	49.0	-
PHF	0.941	0.375	-	0.933	0.625	0.750	-	0.821	0.438	0.937	-	0.954	0.966
Lights	525	3	-	528	5	17	-	22	14	527	-	541	1091
% Lights	94.3	100.0	-	94.3	100.0	94.4	-	95.7	100.0	96.3	-	96.4	95.4
Mediums	10	0	-	10	0	1	-	1	0	7	-	7	18
% Mediums	1.8	0.0	-	1.8	0.0	5.6	-	4.3	0.0	1.3	-	1.2	1.6
Articulated Trucks	22	0	-	22	0	0	-	0	0	11	-	11	33
% Articulated Trucks	3.9	0.0	-	3.9	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	2.0	-	2.0	2.9
Bicycles on Road	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	2	-	2	2
% Bicycles on Road	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.4	-	0.4	0.2
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pedestrians	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (12:00 PM)

Automatic Counter Tabulations

Street: Location: Title: Counter Number: Start Date of Count: Total: AADT: Analyst: MR 80 (Total) North of Dominion Drive Special Radar Wednesday, June 11, 2014 17457 15010 PG

Hour	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Total	Factored
noui	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	TOtal	Total
0 to 1	19	33	23	13	88	76
1 to 2	18	13	11	6	48	41
2 to 3	10	11	9	9	39	34
3 to 4	4	4	3	8	19	16
4 to 5	15	16	28	43	102	88
5 to 6	62	88	122	123	395	340
6 to 7	161	218	254	224	857	737
7 to 8	237	289	292	281	1099	945
8 to 9	313	297	283	263	1156	994
9 to 10	229	228	221	230	908	781
10 to 11	242	206	230	215	893	768
11 to 12	225	228	230	245	928	798
			-	-		
12 to 13	227	232	265	240	964	829
13 to 14	278	244	261	263	1046	899
14 to 15	257	293	292	261	1103	948
15 to 16	262	322	305	308	1197	1029
16 to 17	369	367	355	363	1454	1250
17 to 18	345	345	371	316	1377	1184
18 to 19	293	270	258	228	1049	902
19 to 20	214	245	221	170	850	731
20 to 21	194	183	193	183	753	647
21 to 22	177	162	140	170	649	558
22 to 23	80	76	69	57	282	242
23 to 24	55	69	46	31	201	173
Total	4286	4439	4482	4250	17457	15010

Monthly Factor:

Daily Factor: Wednesday 0.91

```
Thursday 0.9
```

0.95

 Total:
 17457

 AADT:
 15010



Turning Movement Data

	1					unni	y wo	VEILIEI	πυαι	a	I					i .
		Mu	nicipal Road	180			Jea	nne D'Arc St	treet			Mu	nicipal Road	80		-
Start Time			Southbound					Westbound					Northbound			-
Start Time	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
11:30 AM	130	1	0	0	131	0	13	0	0	13	18	116	0	0	134	278
11:45 AM	136	4	0	0	140	1	20	0	0	21	18	135	0	0	153	314
Hourly Total	266	5	0	0	271	1	33	0	0	34	36	251	0	0	287	592
12:00 PM	125	1	0	0	126	2	18	0	0	20	7	174	0	0	181	327
12:15 PM	152	2	0	0	154	1	22	0	0	23	24	150	0	0	174	351
12:30 PM	176		0	0	177	2	25	0	0	27	15	148	0	0	163	367
12:45 PM	172	0	0	1	172	4	13	0	0	17	13	145	0	0	158	347
Hourly Total	625	4	0	1	629	9	78	0	0	87	59	617	0	0	676	1392
1:00 PM	138	 1		0	139	1	15		0	16	11	136		0	147	302
1:15 PM	136	0	0	1	136	1	10	0	0	11	15	119	0	0	134	281
1:30 PM	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
*** BREAK ***	-			-		-	-		-		-	-		-	-	-
Hourly Total	274	1	0	1	275	2	25	0	0	27	26	255	0	0	281	583
3:00 PM	165			0	165	0	14		0	14	23	168		0	191	370
3:15 PM	113	0		0	113	2	17		0	19	36	221		0	257	380
3:30 PM	132	0	0	0	132	3	16	0	0	19	28	243	0	1	271	422
3:45 PM	153	1		0	15/	0	18		0	18	30	238		0	277	1/0
Hourly Total	563			0	564	5	65		0	70	126	870	0	1	006	1620
4:00 PM	13/			0	136	3	17		0	20	34	232		0	266	1030
4:15 PM	121			0	124	1	21		0	20	30	255		1	200	440
4:10 PM	121	- 3		0	124	1	20		0	22	39	200		2	205	440
4.30 FM	130			0	139	3	12		0	15	44	201	0	2	315	475
Hourly Total	F24	0		0	E22	0	80		0	00	164	1016		4	1190	1901
	324			0	407	0	00	. 0	0		104	070		4	201	510
5:00 PIM	104	<u> </u>	0	0	107	0	16	0	0	16	49	272	0	0	321	510
5.15 FM	120		0	0	122	0	10		0	- 10	37	239		0	2/0	414
5:30 PM	120	4		0	132		28		0	29	31	212		0	243	404
5:45 PIVI	123	10	0	0	545	3	20	0	0	23	30	204	0	0	234	1700
	0		0	0	0	4	00		0	90	147	927		0	1074	1709
0:00 PIM	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0
BREAK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	0		0	0		0	10	0	0		0	0		0	0	0
0.30 AM	407	. 1		0	220	0	10		0	10	5	32		0	30	270
6:45 AIVI	201		0	0	202	0	23		0	23	о О	39	0	1	70	230
	200			0	200	1	21		1	22	0	22		0	20	271
7:00 AM	200			0	107	0	24		0		5	70		0		2/1
7:30 AM	197	1		0	197	0	34		0	30	6	53	0	0	50	202
7:30 AM	194			0	195	2	39		0		5	74		0	39	293
Hourly Total	792	1		0	792	2	121		1	124	22	220		0	252	1160
	190		0	0	190	3	22	0	0	134	11	230	0	0	252	207
8.00 AM	109		0	0	109	2	32		0	27	10	74		0	00	201
8.15 AM	1/9			0	140	2	34		0		10	14		0	04	301
0.30 AM	142			0	142	2	24		0	27	7	00		0	00	204
Hourly Total	669	2		0	671	10	101		0	121	22	30		0	254	1156
	100	. 3		0	100	10	121		0	. 131	33	321		0	01	017
9.00 AIVI	100			0	109	3	14		0	20	10 E	70		0	31	21/
9:15 AM	138	0	0	0	138	3	21	0	0	0	5	70	0	0	/5	243
9.50 Alvi	4074			0	4011	40	701		0	740	0	4700		0	5245	11005
Grand Total	4874	3/	0	2	4911	48	701	0	1	749	030	4709	0	0	5345	11005
Approach %	99.2	8.0	0.0	-	-	0.4	93.6	0.0	-	-	11.9	dð.1	0.0	-	-	
Total %	44.3	0.3		-	44.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	-	0.8	0.6	42.8	0.0	-	48.0	-
	4005		U	-	4099	43	869	U	-	/41	025	4522	U	-	514/	10001
% Lights	95.7	91.9	-	-	95.7	89.6	99.6		-	98.9	98.3	96.0	-	-	96.3	96.2
	128	3		-	131	3	1 0.4	U	-	4	/	116	U	-	123	258
% iviediums	2.6	<u>8.1</u>	-	-	2.1	0.3	0.1		-	0.5	1.1	2.5		-	2.3	2.3
Articulated Trucks	81	0	0	-	81	U	U	0	-	U	U	70	U	-	70	151
% Aniculated Trucks	1.7	0.0	-	-	1.6	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	1.5	-	-	1.3	1.4
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	-	0	2	2	0	-	4	4	1	0	-	5	9
% Bicycles on Road	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	4.2	0.3	-	-	0.5	0.6	0.0	-	-	0.1	0.1
Bicycles on	-	_		2			_	-	1			_		0	-	
Crosswalk	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	U	-	_ ·

% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	0.0	-	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	6	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	0.0	-	-	_	-	0.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-





Turning Movement Data Plot



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (12:00 PM)

	_			arring	<i>j</i> 1010 v	CITICIT	1100	K I IOU	Dat	α (12.		<i></i>				
		Mu	nicipal Road	- 08 b			Jear	nne D'Arc St	treet	-		Mu	nicipal Road	180		
		:	Southbound	ł				Westbound					Northbound			
Start Time	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
12:00 PM	125	1	0	0	126	2	18	0	0	20	7	174	0	0	181	327
12:15 PM	152	2	0	0	154	1	22	0	0	23	24	150	0	0	174	351
12:30 PM	176	1	0	0	177	2	25	0	0	27	15	148	0	0	163	367
12:45 PM	172	0	0	1	172	4	13	0	0	17	13	145	0	0	158	347
Total	625	4	0	1	629	9	78	0	0	87	59	617	0	0	676	1392
Approach %	99.4	0.6	0.0	-	-	10.3	89.7	0.0	-	-	8.7	91.3	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	44.9	0.3	0.0	-	45.2	0.6	5.6	0.0	-	6.3	4.2	44.3	0.0	-	48.6	-
PHF	0.888	0.500	0.000	-	0.888	0.563	0.780	0.000	-	0.806	0.615	0.886	0.000	-	0.934	0.948
Lights	598	3	0	-	601	8	78	0	-	86	59	583	0	-	642	1329
% Lights	95.7	75.0	-	-	95.5	88.9	100.0	-	-	98.9	100.0	94.5	-	-	95.0	95.5
Mediums	18	1	0	-	19	1	0	0	-	1	0	21	0	-	21	41
% Mediums	2.9	25.0	-	-	3.0	11.1	0.0	-	-	1.1	0.0	3.4	-	-	3.1	2.9
Articulated Trucks	9	0	0	-	9	0	0	0	-	0	0	13	0	-	13	22
% Articulated Trucks	1.4	0.0	-	-	1.4	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	2.1	-	-	1.9	1.6
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Bicycles on Road	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (12:00 PM)



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:15 PM)

	_			unnin	y mov	CITICI			הם המ	ıа (т. I		' /				
		Mu	nicipal Road	180			Jear	nne D'Arc St	treet			Mu	nicipal Road	d 80		
		:	Southbound	1				Westbound					Northbound	1		
Start Time	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
4:15 PM	121	3	0	0	124	1	21	0	0	22	39	255	0	1	294	440
4:30 PM	136	3	0	0	139	1	30	0	0	31	44	261	0	2	305	475
4:45 PM	133	1	0	0	134	3	12	0	0	15	47	268	0	1	315	464
5:00 PM	164	3	0	0	167	0	22	0	0	22	49	272	0	0	321	510
Total	554	10	0	0	564	5	85	0	0	90	179	1056	0	4	1235	1889
Approach %	98.2	1.8	0.0	-	-	5.6	94.4	0.0	-	-	14.5	85.5	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	29.3	0.5	0.0	-	29.9	0.3	4.5	0.0	-	4.8	9.5	55.9	0.0	-	65.4	-
PHF	0.845	0.833	0.000	-	0.844	0.417	0.708	0.000	-	0.726	0.913	0.971	0.000	-	0.962	0.926
Lights	532	9	0	-	541	5	84	0	-	89	177	1042	0	-	1219	1849
% Lights	96.0	90.0	-	-	95.9	100.0	98.8	-	-	98.9	98.9	98.7	-	-	98.7	97.9
Mediums	14	1	0	-	15	0	1	0	-	1	1	8	0	-	9	25
% Mediums	2.5	10.0	-	-	2.7	0.0	1.2	-	-	1.1	0.6	0.8	-	-	0.7	1.3
Articulated Trucks	8	0	0	-	8	0	0	0	-	0	0	6	0	-	6	14
% Articulated Trucks	1.4	0.0	-	-	1.4	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.6	-	-	0.5	0.7
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	-	1	1
% Bicycles on Road	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.6	0.0	-	-	0.1	0.1
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	-	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	4	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-





Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:15 PM)



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (7:15 AM)

	_			unnin	y mov	CITICI	11 00			ια (<i>1</i> . Ι	10711	' /				
		Mu	nicipal Road	180			Jear	nne D'Arc S	reet			Mu	nicipal Road	80		
		:	Southbound	I				Westbound					Northbound			
Start Time	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
7:15 AM	197	0	0	0	197	0	34	0	0	34	5	70	0	0	75	306
7:30 AM	194	1	0	0	195	0	39	0	0	39	6	53	0	0	59	293
7:45 AM	191	0	0	0	191	2	27	0	0	29	5	74	0	0	79	299
8:00 AM	189	0	0	0	189	1	32	0	0	33	11	74	0	0	85	307
Total	771	1	0	0	772	3	132	0	0	135	27	271	0	0	298	1205
Approach %	99.9	0.1	0.0	-	-	2.2	97.8	0.0	-	-	9.1	90.9	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	64.0	0.1	0.0	-	64.1	0.2	11.0	0.0	-	11.2	2.2	22.5	0.0	-	24.7	-
PHF	0.978	0.250	0.000	-	0.980	0.375	0.846	0.000	-	0.865	0.614	0.916	0.000	-	0.876	0.981
Lights	752	1	0	-	753	3	132	0	-	135	24	251	0	-	275	1163
% Lights	97.5	100.0	-	-	97.5	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	88.9	92.6	-	-	92.3	96.5
Mediums	12	0	0	-	12	0	0	0	-	0	1	11	0	-	12	24
% Mediums	1.6	0.0	-	-	1.6	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	3.7	4.1	-	-	4.0	2.0
Articulated Trucks	7	0	0	-	7	0	0	0	-	0	0	9	0	-	9	16
% Articulated Trucks	0.9	0.0	-	-	0.9	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	3.3	-	-	3.0	1.3
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	0	-	2	2
% Bicycles on Road	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	7.4	0.0	-	-	0.7	0.2
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (7:15 AM)

APPENDIX B

Collision Data provided by City Traffic Office

Location	Initial Impact Type	Accident No.	Vehicle 1 Type	Vehicle 2 Type	Apparent Driver 1 Action
Municipal Road 80 @ Shirley Avenue (144034)	07 - SMV other	17-012590	01 - Automobile, station wagon		10 - Lost control
Municipal Road 80 @ Shirley Avenue (144034)	03 - Rear end	14044288			
Municipal Road 80 @ Shirley Avenue (144034)	04 - Sideswipe	14036994			

Driver One Disobey Signal	Apparent Driver 2 Action	Driver Two Disobey Signal	Accident Date	Accident Year	Pedestrian 2 Action	Pedestrian 1 Action	Accident Time
Unchecked		Unchecked	26/03/2017	2017			10:10
Unchecked		Unchecked	24/09/2014	2014			16:00
Unchecked		Unchecked	13/08/2014	2014			6:20

Vehicle 1 First Event Vehicle 1 Second Event I	Initial Direction Of Travel One	Initial Direction Of Travel Two	Vehicle 1 Third Event	Vehicle 1 Manoeuver
21 - Skidding/sliding 54 - Pole (sign, parking meter)	North	None	60 - Ditch	02 - Slowing or stopping
5	South	South		
5	South	North		

Vehicle 2 Manoeuver	Accident Location	Impact Location	Road 1 Condition	Thru Lane No	Environment Condition 1	Environment Condition 2	Light
	02 - Intersection related	99 - Other	02 - Poor	0	04 - Freezing Rain		01 - Daylight
00 - Unknown	02 - Intersection related	02 - Thru lane	01 - Good	0	01 - Clear		01 - Daylight
00 - Unknown	02 - Intersection related	02 - Thru lane	01 - Good	0	02 - Rain		01 - Daylight

Traffic Control	Traffic Control Condition	Road Jurisdiction	Road 2 Condition	Classification Of Accident	Road 1 Surface Condition	Last Edited By
02 - Stop sign	01 - Functioning	01 - Municipal (excl. Twp. Rd.)	02 - Poor	03 - P.D. only	06 - Ice	tes
01 - Traffic signal	01 - Functioning		01 - Good		01 - Dry	tes
02 - Stop sign	01 - Functioning		01 - Good		02 - Wet	tes
		3				

Road 2 Surface Condition	Validated	Collision Type
06 - Ice	Checked	PDO
01 - Dry	Checked	PDO
02 - Wet	Checked	PDO

APPENDIX C

School Traffic Counts by Tranplan Associates

- a) Ecole Jean Paul II (Val Caron) b) Ecoles Ste Therese &St Joseph
- c) Ecole Notre Dame and Total of Three **Existing Schools**

Jean Paul II Elementary School, Val Caron Date: October 3, 4, 7, 8, 2019

Tranplan

TIME	Ca In	ars Out	School In	buses Out	Bicy In	cles Out	Pedes In	strians Out	TOTAL (15 min)	TOTAL (60 min)
Oct 4&8, 2019 7:30 - 7:45 7:45 - 8:00 8:00 - 8:15 8:15 - 8:30 8:30 - 8:45 8:45 - 9:00	15 44 37 29 43 10	3 4 14 21 41 16	0 1 0 1 14 14	0 1 0 14 2	0 1 1 6 4 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 14 6 0	0 0 0 0 0	18 52 52 71 122 29	193 297 274
AM Pk Hr	153	80	16	15	12	0	21	0	7:45 - 8:4	l5 am
11:00 - 11:15 11:15 - 11:30 11:30 - 11:45 11:45 - 12:00 12:00 - 12:15 12:15 - 12:30 12:30 - 12:45 12:45 - 1:00	4 5 7 1 2 2 4 6	5 3 7 1 3 6 3 2	0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0	9 10 15 4 6 8 7 8	38 35 33 25 29
Oct 3&7, 2019 2:30 - 2:45 2:45 - 3:00 3:00 - 3:15 3:15 - 3:30 3:30 - 3:45 3:45 - 4:00 4:00 - 4:15 4:15 - 4:30 4:30 - 4:45 4:45 - 5:00 5:00 - 5:15 5:15 - 5:30	5 28 21 6 11 7 8 7 10 7 2 1	0 3 43 24 33 20 12 8 12 12 9 6	0 9 7 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 10 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 4 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	1 4 17 2 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0	7 40 97 49 27 20 15 22 21 12 7	193 235 222 145 111 84 78 70 62
PM Pk Hr	66	103	17	16	0	9	1	23	2:45 - 3:4	l5 pm

Ecole Ste Therese, Val Therese (Grades 4-8 plus Day Care) Date: October 4, 2019

Tranplan

TIME	Cars		hoolbus	ies	Bicycles	P	edestria	ns	TOTAL	TOTAL
	In	Out	In	Out	În	Out	In	Out	(15 min)	(60 min)
7:45 - 8:00	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
8:00 - 8:15	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	5	
8:15 - 8:30	7	7	0	0	0	0	11	0	25	
8:30 - 8:45	14	14	5	5	0	0	2	0	40	74
8:45 - 9:00	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	76
AM Pk Hr	26	25	5	5	2	0	13	0	8:00 - 9	:00 am
2:30 - 2:45	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
2:45 - 3:00	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
3:00 - 3:15	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	
3:15 - 3:30	3	19	5	5	0	0	0	0	32	51
3:30 - 3:45	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	51
3:45 - 4:00	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	55
PM Pk Hr	21	24	5	5	0	0	0	0	3:00 - 4	:00 pm

Ecole St Joseph, Hanmer Date: October 7, 2019

F=

Tranplan

TIME	Cars In	Out	hoolbus: In	es Out	Bicycles In	F Out	edestria In	ns Out	TOTAL (15 min)	TOTAL (60 min)
7:30 - 7:45	12	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	
7:45 - 8:00	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	7	
8:00 - 8:15	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
8:15 - 8:30	15	10	1	1	0	0	2	0	29	61
8:30 - 8:45	20	21	5	5	0	0	0	0	51	93
8:45 - 9:00	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	91
AM Pk Hr	43	36	6	6	0	0	2	0	7:45 - 8	:45 am
2:30 - 2:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2:45 - 3:00	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	
3:00 - 3:15	14	15	2	2	0	0	1	1	35	
3:15 - 3:30	0	3	2	3	0	0	0	0	8	49
3:30 - 3:45	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	54
3:45 - 4:00	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	52
4:00 - 4:15	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	19
PM Pk Hr	20	22	5	5	0	0	1	1	2:45 - 3	:45 pm

Ecole Notre Dame, Hanmer Date: October 8, 2019

Tranplan

TIME	Cars In	Out	hoolbus In	es Out	Bicycles In	P Out	edestriaı In	ns Out	TOTAL (15 min)	TOTAL (60 min)
7:45 - 8:00	16	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	
8:00 - 8:15	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
8:15 - 8:30	10	8	0	0	3	0	1	0	22	
8:30 - 8:45	23	20	8	8	6	0	8	0	73	123
8:45 - 9:00	11	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	23	126
9:00 - 9:15	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	126
9:15 - 9:30	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	109
AM Pk Hr	52	38	9	9	9	0	9	0	8:00 - 9	:00 am
2:00 - 2:15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
2:15 - 2:30	5	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	
2:30 - 2:45	7	6	6	6	0	4	0	13	42	
2:45 - 3:00	13	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	18	73
3:00 - 3:15	7	17	6	6	2	7	0	7	52	122
3:15 - 3:30	1	10	1	3	0	0	0	0	15	127
3:30 - 3:45	6	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	102
PM Pk Hr	28	37	14	15	2	11	0	20	2:30 - 3	:30 pm

Ecole Ste Therese+Ecole St Joseph+Ecole Notre Dame

TIME	Cars In	Out	hoolbus In	es Out	Bicycles In	P Out	edestriar In	ns Out	TOTAL (15 min)	TOTAL (60 min)
7.45 0.00	04	7	0	0		0	0	0	04	
7:45 - 8:00	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	
8:00 - 8:15	13	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	19	
8:15 - 8:30	32	25	1	1	3	0	14	0	76	
8:30 - 8:45	57	55	18	18	6	0	10	0	164	290
8:45 - 9:00	14	17	1	1	0	0	1	0	34	293
AM Pk Hr	116	101	20	20	11	0	25	0	8:00 - 9	:00 am
2:30 - 2:45	8	6	6	6	0	4	0	13	43	
2:45 - 3:00	19	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	26	
3:00 - 3:15	37	32	8	8	2	7	1	8	103	
3:15 - 3:30	4	32	8	11	0	0	0	0	55	227
3:30 - 3:45	7	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	207
3:45 - 4:00	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	191
PM Pk Hr	68	75	24	25	2	11	1	21	2:30 - 3	:30 pm
APPENDIX D

MR 80 / Shirley Avenue Intersection Capacity Analysis Synchro Reports

- a) Existing Conditions 2019
- b) Background Traffic 2026
- c) Total Traffic 2026 (Unsignalized)
- d) Total Traffic 2026 (Signalized)

	4	•	1	1	1	Ļ
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		≜t ≽		۲	^
Volume (veh/h)	22	4	322	6	2	916
Sign Control	Stop		Free	Ū	_	Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	24	4	350	7	2	996
Pedestrians			000	•	_	,,,,
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC. conflicting volume	855	178			357	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol					00.	
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	855	178			357	
tC, single (s)	6.8	6.9			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	92	99			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	297	834			1199	
Direction. Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2	SB 3
Volume Total	28	233	123	2	498	498
Volume Left	20	0	0	2	0	0
Volume Right	<u> </u>	0	7	0	0	0
rsH	329	1700	, 1700	1100	1700	1700
Volume to Canacity	0.09	0.14	0.07	0.00	0.29	0.29
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.07	0.14	0.07	0.00	0.27	0.27
Control Delay (s)	17.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0
Lane LOS	17.0 C	0.0	0.0	Δ	0.0	0.0
Approach Delay (s)	17.0	0.0		0.0		
Approach LOS	C	0.0		0.0		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.4			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		35.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
, ,						

New Elementary School CSCNO

	4	•	Ť	1	1	Ŧ
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		đ₽		۲	<u>†</u> †
Volume (veh/h)	16	4	1128	53	4	525
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	17	4	1226	58	4	571
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	1549	642			1284	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1549	642			1284	
tC, single (s)	6.8	6.9			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	83	99			99	
cM capacity (veh/h)	104	417			536	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2	SB 3
Volume Total	22	817	466	4	285	285
Volume Left	17	0	0	4	0	0
Volume Right	4	0	58	0	0	0
cSH	122	1700	1700	536	1700	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.18	0.48	0.27	0.01	0.17	0.17
Queue Length 95th (m)	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (s)	40.7	0.0	0.0	11.8	0.0	0.0
Lane LOS	E			В		
Approach Delay (s)	40.7	0.0		0.1		
Approach LOS	E					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		42.9%	IC	U Level of	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	<	•	†	1	>	Ŧ
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		th		۲	<u>††</u>
Volume (veh/h)	24	4	357	7	2	1017
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	26	4	388	8	2	1105
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	949	198			396	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	949	198			396	
tC, single (s)	6.8	6.9			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	90	99			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	258	810			1159	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2	SB 3
Volume Total	30	259	137	2	553	553
Volume Left	26	0	0	2	0	0
Volume Right	4	0	8	0	0	0
cSH	286	1700	1700	1159	1700	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.11	0.15	0.08	0.00	0.33	0.33
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (s)	19.1	0.0	0.0	8.1	0.0	0.0
Lane LOS	С			А		
Approach Delay (s)	19.1	0.0		0.0		
Approach LOS	С					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.4			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		38.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15	10	2 201011	2. 20. 1.00
			15			

New Elementary School CSCNO (Val Therese)

	<	•	†	1	~	Ŧ
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		†î⊧		٦	<u>††</u>
Volume (veh/h)	18	4	1252	59	4	583
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	20	4	1361	64	4	634
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	1718	712			1425	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1718	712			1425	
tC, single (s)	6.8	6.9			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	76	99			99	
cM capacity (veh/h)	80	375			473	
Direction Lano #	\//R 1	NR 1	NR 2	SR 1	SBJ	CB 3
Volumo Total	24	007	F10		217	217
	24	907	010	4	317	317
Volume Dight	20	0	0	4	0	0
	4	1700	04 1700	0	1700	1700
CSH Volume to Conseitu	93	1700	1700	4/3	0.10	1/00
	0.20	0.53	0.30	0.01	0.19	0.19
Queue Lengin 95in (m)	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (s)	56.4	0.0	0.0	12.7	0.0	0.0
Lane LUS		0.0		B		
Approach Delay (s)	56.4	0.0		0.1		
Approach LUS	F					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.7			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		46.5%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	٦	+	¥	4	+	×.	•	1	۲	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्भ	1		4		۲.	≜ ⊅		۲	≜ ⊅	
Volume (veh/h)	58	12	47	24	18	4	73	357	7	2	1017	90
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	63	13	51	26	20	4	79	388	8	2	1105	98
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	1526	1713	602	1165	1758	198	1203			396		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	1526	1713	602	1165	1758	198	1203			396		
tC, single (s)	7.5	6.5	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	0	83	88	75	73	99	86			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	57	77	443	103	72	810	576			1159		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	NB 3	SB 1	SB 2	SB 3			
Volume Total	76	51	50	79	259	137	2	737	466			
Volume Left	63	0	26	79	0	0	2	0	0			
Volume Right	0	51	4	0	0	8	0	0	98			
cSH	60	443	94	576	1700	1700	1159	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	1.28	0.12	0.53	0.14	0.15	0.08	0.00	0.43	0.27			
Queue Length 95th (m)	51.8	3.1	19.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Control Delay (s)	324.1	14.2	79.8	12.3	0.0	0.0	8.1	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	F	В	F	В			А					
Approach Delay (s)	199.6		79.8	2.0			0.0					
Approach LOS	F		F									
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			16.3									
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	ion		54.2%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	٦	+	¥	4	4	×.	•	1	۲	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्भ	1		\$		۲	≜ ⊅		۲	≜ ⊅	
Volume (veh/h)	68	14	55	17	10	7	42	946	29	2	635	51
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	74	15	60	18	11	8	46	1028	32	2	690	55
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	1341	1873	373	1552	1885	530	746			1060		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	1341	1873	373	1552	1885	530	746			1060		
tC, single (s)	7.5	6.5	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	19	77	90	66	84	98	95			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	91	67	625	55	66	494	858			653		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	NB 3	SB 1	SB 2	SB 3			
Volume Total	89	60	37	46	686	374	2	460	286			
Volume Left	74	0	18	46	0	0	2	0	0			
Volume Right	0	60	8	0	0	32	0	0	55			
cSH	86	625	72	858	1700	1700	653	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	1.04	0.10	0.52	0.05	0.40	0.22	0.00	0.27	0.17			
Queue Length 95th (m)	47.9	2.5	17.1	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0			
Control Delay (s)	195.3	11.4	99.7	9.4	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	F	В	F	А			В					
Approach Delay (s)	121.5		99.7	0.4			0.0					
Approach LOS	F		F									
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			10.9									
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		49.0%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	4	←	•	1	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्स	1		4		٦	¢⊅		۲	ŧ₽	
Volume (veh/h)	19	4	16	18	2	4	10	1252	59	4	583	11
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	21	4	17	20	2	4	11	1361	64	4	634	12
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	1356	2095	323	1760	2069	712	646			1425		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	1356	2095	323	1760	2069	712	646			1425		
tC, single (s)	7.5	6.5	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	80	91	97	59	96	99	99			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	102	50	6/3	48	52	375	936			4/3		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	NB 3	SB 1	SB 2	SB 3			
Volume Total	25	17	26	11	907	518	4	422	223			
Volume Left	21	0	20	11	0	0	4	0	0			
Volume Right	0	17	4	0	0	64	0	0	12			
cSH	86	673	57	936	1700	1700	473	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.29	0.03	0.46	0.01	0.53	0.30	0.01	0.25	0.13			
Queue Length 95th (m)	8.6	0.6	14.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0			
Control Delay (s)	62.8	10.5	113.8	8.9	0.0	0.0	12.7	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	F	В	F	А			В					
Approach Delay (s)	41.3		113.8	0.1			0.1					
Approach LOS	E		F									
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			2.3									
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		51.2%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	≯	→	•	4	Ļ	×	•	t	1	×	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		۴	1		4		۲	∱ ⊅		۲	≜ †⊅	
Volume (vph)	58	12	47	24	18	4	73	357	7	2	1017	90
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0	5.0		5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85		0.99		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected		0.96	1.00		0.97		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1789	1583		1796		1770	3528		1770	3496	
Flt Permitted		0.79	1.00		0.80		0.22	1.00		0.52	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1463	1583		1468		403	3528		966	3496	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	63	13	51	26	20	4	79	388	8	2	1105	98
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	46	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	5	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	76	5	0	46	0	79	395	0	2	1198	0
Turn Type	Perm		Perm	Perm			Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		8.9	8.9		8.9		69.6	69.6		69.6	69.6	
Effective Green, g (s)		8.9	8.9		8.9		69.6	69.6		69.6	69.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.10	0.10		0.10		0.79	0.79		0.79	0.79	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0		5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		147	159		148		317	2775		760	2749	
v/s Ratio Prot								0.11			c0.34	
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.05	0.00		0.03		0.20			0.00		
v/c Ratio		0.52	0.03		0.31		0.25	0.14		0.00	0.44	
Uniform Delay, d1		37.8	35.9		37.0		2.5	2.3		2.0	3.1	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		3.1	0.1		1.2		1.9	0.1		0.0	0.5	
Delay (s)		40.8	36.0		38.2		4.4	2.4		2.0	3.6	
Level of Service		D	D		D		А	А		А	А	
Approach Delay (s)		38.9			38.2			2.7			3.6	
Approach LOS		D			D			А			А	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			6.7	Н	CM Level	of Servic	e		A			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.44									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			88.5	S	um of lost	time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	า		58.5%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

												<u> </u>
	•	-	\rightarrow	✓	-	•	1	Ť	1	*	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्भ	1		4		۳.	ተቡ		٦	†î≽	
Volume (vph)	68	14	55	17	10	7	42	946	29	2	635	51
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0	5.0		5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85		0.97		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected		0.96	1.00		0.98		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1788	1583		1765		1770	3523		1770	3500	
Flt Permitted		0.74	1.00		0.82		0.37	1.00		0.25	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1372	1583		1477		682	3523		475	3500	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	74	15	60	18	11	8	46	1028	32	2	690	55
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	53	0	7	0	0	2	0	0	5	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	89	7	0	30	0	46	1058	0	2	740	0
Turn Type	Perm		Perm	Perm			Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		9.7	9.7		9.7		69.1	69.1		69.1	69.1	
Effective Green, g (s)		9.7	9.7		9.7		69.1	69.1		69.1	69.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.11	0.11		0.11		0.78	0.78		0.78	0.78	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0		5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		150	173		161		531	2741		370	2724	
v/s Ratio Prot								c0.30			0.21	
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.06	0.00		0.02		0.07			0.00		
v/c Ratio		0.59	0.04		0.19		0.09	0.39		0.01	0.27	
Uniform Delay, d1		37.7	35.4		36.0		2.3	3.1		2.2	2.8	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		6.2	0.1		0.6		0.3	0.4		0.0	0.2	
Delay (s)		43.8	35.5		36.5		2.7	3.5		2.2	3.0	
Level of Service		D	D		D		А	А		А	А	
Approach Delay (s)		40.5			36.5			3.5			3.0	
Approach LOS		D			D			А			А	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			6.6	Н	CM Level	of Servic	е		А			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.41									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			88.8	S	um of lost	t time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	۱		51.8%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	۶	→	\mathbf{r}	4	-	×	•	Ť	*	1	ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4	1		4		ሻ	†î≽		٦	≜ †⊅	
Volume (vph)	19	4	16	18	2	4	10	1252	59	4	583	11
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0	5.0		5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85		0.98		1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected		0.96	1.00		0.96		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1788	1583		1756		1770	3515		1770	3529	
Flt Permitted		0.78	1.00		0.76		0.41	1.00		0.17	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1458	1583		1385		757	3515		323	3529	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	21	4	17	20	2	4	11	1361	64	4	634	12
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	16	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	1	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	25	1	0	22	0	11	1423	0	4	645	0
Turn Type	Perm		Perm	Perm			Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		4.7	4.7		4.7		75.0	75.0		75.0	75.0	
Effective Green, g (s)		4.7	4.7		4.7		75.0	75.0		75.0	75.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.05	0.05		0.05		0.84	0.84		0.84	0.84	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0		5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		76	83		73		633	2939		270	2951	
v/s Ratio Prot								c0.40			0.18	
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.02	0.00		0.02		0.01			0.01		
v/c Ratio		0.33	0.01		0.30		0.02	0.48		0.01	0.22	
Uniform Delay, d1		41.0	40.3		40.9		1.2	2.0		1.2	1.5	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		2.5	0.1		2.4		0.1	0.6		0.1	0.2	
Delay (s)		43.5	40.3		43.3		1.3	2.6		1.3	1.6	
Level of Service		D	D		D		А	А		А	А	
Approach Delay (s)		42.2			43.3			2.6			1.6	
Approach LOS		D			D			А			А	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			3.6	Н	CM Leve	l of Servic	e		А			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.47									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			89.7	S	um of los	t time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ו		52.8%	IC	CU Level	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

.

APPENDIX E

Ontario Traffic Signal Warrants MR 80 / Shirley Avenue

- a) Projected 2026 Total Traffic
- b) Sensitivity Test 1 (Shirley Avenue traffic doubled)
- c) Sensitivity Test 2 (North approach weighted)
- d) Sensitivity Test 3 (Pedestrian/bike volume doubled)
- e) Sensitivity Test 4 (Combination of 1, 2 and 3)
- f) Sensitivity Test 5 (WB LTs increased by 50%)
- g) Sensitivity Test 6 (WB LTs increased by 100%)

Input Data Sheet	Analysis Sheet Re	Proposed Collis	GO TO Justification:
What are the intersecting roadways?	R 80 at Shirley Avenue		_
What is the direction of the Main Road street?	North-South 💌	When was the data collected?	2026 Total Traffic (Base Case)
Justification 1 - 4: Volume Warrants			
a Number of lanes on the Main Road?	2 or more 💌		
b Number of lanes on the Minor Road?	1 💌		
c How many approaches? 4			
d What is the operating environment?	Rural	Population < 10,000 AND Speed	>= 70 km/hr
a What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the i	ntorpostion? (Plagge fill in t	able below)	

e.- What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the intersection? (Please fill in table below)

Hour Ending	Main No	Main Northbound Approach		Minor Eastbound Approach			Ach Main Southbound Approach Minor Westbound Approach			pproach	Pedestrians		
Hour Enailing	LT	TH	RT	LT	тн	RT	LT	тн	RT	LT	TH	RT	Road
8:00	31	344	37	6	1	5	1	1,029	39	23	8	4	2
9:00	62	414	74	62	12	50	3	811	78	26	16	1	31
12:00	9	571	6	10	2	8	3	568	11	14	2	3	4
13:00	6	607	17	8	2	6	3	618	8	20	2	6	4
15:00	16	727	19	2	0	2	6	628	20	19	4	3	12
16:00	29	946	34	78	16	63	2	635	36	17	7	7	24
17:00	15	1,231	35	25	5	20	3	579	18	26	4	4	3
18:00	3	1,070	25	13	3	11	2	526	3	14	1	6	1
Total	171	5,910	247	204	41	165	23	5,394	213	159	44	34	81

Justification 5: Collision Experience

Preceding Months	Number of Collisions*
1-12	0
13-24	1
25-36	0

* Include only collisions that are susceptable to correction through the installation of traffic signal control

Justification 6: Pedestrian Volume

a.- Please fill in table below summarizing total pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

	Zor	ne 1	Zor	ne 2	Zone 3 (if	needed)	Zone 4 (i	Total	
	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Total
Total 8 hour pedestrian volume	0	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume	8	1	(0	C))	
% Assigned to crossing rate	23	%	34	1%	30	%	10	0%	
Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Cros	sing								19
Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Stree	t Being Cros	sed							10,000

	Zor	ne 1	Zoi	ne 2	Zone 3 (i	f needed)	Zone 4 (i	if needed)	Total
	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Total
Total 8 hour pedestrian volume	0	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total 8 hour pedestrians delayed greater than 10 seconds	0	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Factored volume of total pedestrians	8	1		0	()			
Factored volume of delayed pedestrians	7	5		0	()		0	
% Assigned to Crossing Rate	23	3%	34	4%	30	1%	10	0%	
Net 8 Hour Volume of Total Pedestrian	s								19
Net 8 Hour Volume of Delayed Pedestr							17		

Results Sheet Proposed Collision

-

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue

Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic (Base Case)

Justification 1: Minimum Vehicle Volumes

Free Flow Rural Conditions

lustification	Gi	uidance Ap	proach Lan	es		Percentage Warrant								
Justification	1 La	nes	2 or Mor	e Lanes		Hour Ending							Across	Percent
Flow Condition	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	8:00	9:00	12:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00		
10	480	720	600	900	1,528	1,609	1,207	1,303	1,446	1,870	1,965	1,677		
14		COMPL	IANCE %		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	800	100
1 P	120	170	120	170	47	167	39	44	30	188	84	48		
IB		COMPL	IANCE %		39	100	33	37	25	100	70	40	443	55
	Free Flow Signal Justification 1:				Both 1A and 1 Lesser of 1A c	3oth 1A and 1B 100% Fullfilled each of 8 hours Yes No Lesser of 1A or 1B at least 80% fulfilled each of 8 hours Yes No								

Justification 2: Delay to Cross Traffic

Free Flow Rural Conditions

lustification	Gι	uidance Ap	proach Lan	es		Percentage Warrant								
Justification	1 lai	nes	2 or Mo	re lanes				Hour En	ding				Across	Percent
Flow Condition		RESTR. FLOW	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	8:00	9:00	12:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00		
24	480	720	600	900	1,481	1,442	1,168	1,259	1,416	1,682	1,881	1,629		
24		COMPL	IANCE %		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	800	100
28	50	75	50	75	39	135	30	34	37	135	59	31		
28		COMPL	IANCE %		78	100	60	68	74	100	100	62	642	80
	Free Flow Signal Justification 2:			Both 2A and 2 Lesser of 2A o	Both 2A and 2B 100% Fulfilled each of 8 hours Yes No Lesser of 2A or 2B at least 80% fulfilled each of 8 hours Yes Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves V									

Justification 3: Combination

Combination Justification 1 and 2

	Justification Satisfied 80% or Mo	Two Just Satisfied 8	ifications 0% or More		
Justification 1	Minimun Vehicular Volume	NO 🔽	YES	NO 🔽	
Justification 2	Delay Cross Traffic	YES 🗹	NO 🗆		NOT JUSTIFIED

Justification	Time Period	Total Volume of Both Approaches (Main) X	Heaviest Minor Approach Y (actual)	Required Value Y (warrant threshold)	Average % Compliance	Overall % Compliance
	8:00	1,481	35	115	30 %	
luctification (16:00	1,682	157	115	100 %	40.9/
Justification 4	17:00	1,881	50	115	43 %	49 %
	18:00	1,629	27	115	23 %	

Results	Sheet	Input Sheet Analy	sis Sheet	Propo
Intersection: N	IR 80 at Shirley Avenue	Count D	ate: 2026 Tot	al Traffic (B
Summary F	Results			
	Justification	Compliance	Signal	Justified?
1. Minimum	A Tatal Values	100 %	YES	NO
Vehicular	A Total volume	IUU %		~
2 Delay to	B Crossing Volume	55 %		
Cross	A Main Road	100 %		~
Traffic	B Crossing Road	80 %		
3. Combination	A Justificaton 1	55 %		
	B Justification 2	80 %		
4. 4-Hr Volume		49 %		~
			·	
5. Collision Expe	erience	7 %		~
6 Pedestrians				:
o. i edestrialis	A Volume	Justification not met		~
	B Delay	Justification not met		

Input Data Sheet	Analysis Sheet Res	ults Sheet Proposed Colli	GO TO Justification:
What are the intersecting roadways?	R 80 at Shirley Avenue		
What is the direction of the Main Road street?	North-South 💌	When was the data collected?	2026 Total Traffic Adj1(ShirleyX2)
Justification 1 - 4: Volume Warrants			
a Number of lanes on the Main Road?	2 or more 💌		
b Number of lanes on the Minor Road?	1		
c How many approaches? 4			
d What is the operating environment?	Rural	Population < 10,000 AND Speed	>= 70 km/hr

e.- What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the intersection? (Please fill in table below)

Hour Ending	Main Northbound Approach			Minor Eastbound Approach			Main Southbound Approach			Minor W	Pedestrians Crossing Main		
j	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	тн	RT	LT	тн	RT	Road
8:00	25	344	37	5	2	5	1	1,029	31	23	14	4	2
9:00	53	414	74	60	38	47	3	811	67	26	30	1	31
12:00	9	571	6	10	4	8	3	568	11	14	6	3	4
13:00	6	607	17	8	4	6	3	618	8	20	4	6	4
15:00	13	727	19	2	2	2	6	628	18	19	10	3	12
16:00	27	946	34	70	32	54	2	635	35	17	16	7	24
17:00	14	1,231	35	23	10	18	3	579	18	26	8	4	3
18:00	3	1,070	25	11	4	8	2	526	3	14	2	6	1
Total	150	5,910	247	189	96	148	23	5,394	191	159	90	34	81

Justification 5: Collision Experience

Preceding Months	Number of Collisions*
1-12	0
13-24	1
25-36	0

* Include only collisions that are susceptable to correction through the installation of traffic signal control

Justification 6: Pedestrian Volume

a.- Please fill in table below summarizing total pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

	Zor	ne 1	Zor	ne 2	Zone 3 (if	needed)	Zone 4 (i	Total	
	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Total
Total 8 hour pedestrian volume	75	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume	15	56	(0	C))	
% Assigned to crossing rate	23	3%	34	1%	30	%	10	0%	
Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Cros	sing								36
Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume 156 0 0 0 % Assigned to crossing rate 23% 34% 30% 100% Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Crossing Image: Crossed Image: Crossed Image: Crossed Image: Crossed Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Street Being Crossed Image: Crossed Image: Crossed Image: Crossed Image: Crossed								13,000	

	Zor	ne 1	Zoi	ne 2	Zone 3 (i	f needed)	Zone 4 (i	Total			
	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Total		
Total 8 hour pedestrian volume	75	6	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total 8 hour pedestrians delayed greater than 10 seconds	70 5		0	0	0	0	0	0			
Factored volume of total pedestrians	15	56	0		0		0				
Factored volume of delayed pedestrians	14	45	0		0			0			
% Assigned to Crossing Rate	23	3%	34%		30%		10	0%			
Net 8 Hour Volume of Total Pedestrians											
Net 8 Hour Volume of Delayed Pedestrians											

Results Sheet Proposed Collision

-

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue

Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic Adj1(ShirleyX2)

Justification 1: Minimum Vehicle Volumes

Free Flow Rural Conditions

lustification	Gι	iidance Ap	proach Lan	es	Percentage Warrant									Section
Justincation	1 La	nes	2 or Mor	e Lanes			Across	Percent						
Flow Condition	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	8:00	9:00	12:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00		
10	480	720	600	900	1,520	1,624	1,213	1,307	1,449	1,875	1,969	1,674		
1A -	COMPLIANCE %			100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	800	100	
1B	120	170	120	170	53	202	45	48	38	196	89	45		
10	COMPLIANCE %				44	100	38	40	32	100	74	38	465	58
Free Flow Signal Justification 1:				Both 1A and 1B 100% Fullfilled each of 8 hours Lesser of 1A or 1B at least 80% fulfilled each of 8 hours						Yes No Yes No				

Justification 2: Delay to Cross Traffic

Free Flow Rural Conditions

lustification	GL	uidance Ap	proach Lan	es	Percentage Warrant									Section
Justification	1 laı	nes	2 or Mo	re lanes			Across	Percent						
Flow Condition		RESTR. FLOW	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	8:00	9:00	12:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00		
24	480	720	600	900	1,467	1,422	1,168	1,259	1,411	1,679	1,880	1,629		
2A -	COMPLIANCE %				100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	800	100
28	50	75	50	75	44	155	34	36	43	143	62	30		
28	2B COMPLIANCE %			88	100	68	72	86	100	100	60	674	84	
Free Flow Signal Justification 2:				Both 2A and 2B 100% Fullfilled each of 8 hours Yes No Lesser of 2A or 2B at least 80% fulfilled each of 8 hours Yes ✓ No							v			

Justification 3: Combination

Combination Justification 1 and 2

	Justification Satisfied 80% or Mo	Two Justifications Satisfied 80% or More				
Justification 1	Minimun Vehicular Volume	YES 🗆	NO 🔽	YES	NO 🔽	
Justification 2	Delay Cross Traffic	YES 🔽	NO 🗆		NOT JUSTIFIED	

Justification	Time Period	Total Volume of Both Approaches (Main) X	Heaviest Minor Approach Y (actual)	Required Value Y (warrant threshold)	Average % Compliance	Overall % Compliance
	8:00	1,467	41	115	36 %	
	16:00	1,679	156	115	100 %	E0.9/
Justification 4	17:00	1,880	51	115	44 %	50 %
	18:00	1,629	23	115	20 %	

Results	Sheet	Input Sheet Analysis	s Sheet	Propo	GO TO Justification:
Intersection: M	IR 80 at Shirley Avenue	Count Dat	e: 2026 Total	Traffic Ad	j1(ShirleyX2)
Summary R	Results				
J	Justification	Compliance	Signal Jus	stified?	
1. Minimum Vehicular	A Total Volume	100 %		NU IZ	
Volume	B Crossing Volume	58 %		·	
2. Delay to Cross	A Main Road	100 %		L.	
Traffic	B Crossing Road	84 %			
3. Combination	A Justificaton 1	58 %		L.	
	B Justification 2	84 %			
4. 4-Hr Volume		50 %		~	
5. Collision Expe	rience	7 %		7	
6. Pedestrians	A Volume	Justification not met			
	B Delay	Justification not met		Ŧ	

	Input Data Sheet Analysis Sheet Results Sheet Proposed Collision GO TO Justification:
	What are the intersecting roadways? MR 80 at Shirley Avenue
	What is the direction of the Main Road street? North-South 🗸 When was the data collected? 2026 Total Traffic (Adj.2 70% from North) orth)
Ī	
	Justification 1 - 4: Volume Warrants
	a Number of lanes on the Main Road?
	b Number of lanes on the Minor Road?
	c How many approaches?
	d What is the operating environment? Rural Population < 10,000 AND Speed >= 70 km/hr
	e What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the intersection? (Please fill in table below)

Llaur Fadina	Main No	Main Northbound Approach			Minor Eastbound Approach			uthbound A	oproach	Minor W	Pedestrians		
Hour Ending	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	Road
8:00	14	344	37	8	1	3	1	1,029	49	23	7	4	2
9:00	30	414	74	98	19	28	3	811	105	26	15	1	31
12:00	5	571	6	15	2	5	3	568	18	14	3	3	4
13:00	4	607	17	12	2	4	3	618	12	20	2	6	4
15:00	9	727	19	4	1	1	6	628	32	19	5	3	12
16:00	16	946	34	109	16	31	2	635	54	17	8	7	24
17:00	8	1,231	35	36	5	10	3	579	28	26	4	4	3
18:00	2	1,070	25	16	2	5	2	526	5	14	1	6	1
Total	88	5,910	247	298	48	87	23	5,394	303	159	45	34	81

Justification 5: Collision Experience

Preceding Months	Number of Collisions*
1-12	0
13-24	1
25-36	0

* Include only collisions that are susceptable to correction through the installation of traffic signal control

Justification 6: Pedestrian Volume

a.- Please fill in table below summarizing total pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

	Zor	Zone 1		Zone 2		needed)	Zone 4 (i	Total			
	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	rotar		
Total 8 hour pedestrian volume	75	75 6		0	0	0	0	0			
Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume	15	56	0		0		0				
% Assigned to crossing rate	23	%	34	1%	30	%	10	0%			
Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Crossing											
Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Street Being Crossed											

	Zor	ie 1	Zo	ne 2	Zone 3 (i	f needed)	Zone 4 (i	Total			
	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Total		
Total 8 hour pedestrian volume	75	6	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total 8 hour pedestrians delayed greater than 10 seconds	70	70 5		0	0	0	0	0			
Factored volume of total pedestrians	15	56	0		0		0				
Factored volume of delayed pedestrians	14	15	0		0			0			
% Assigned to Crossing Rate	23	%	34%		30%		100%				
Net 8 Hour Volume of Total Pedestrians											
Net 8 Hour Volume of Delayed Pedestrians											

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue

Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic (Adj.2 70% from North)

Justification 1: Minimum Vehicle Volumes

Free Flow Rural Conditions

lustification	Gι	lidance Ap	proach Lan	es		Percentage Warrant								
Justification	1 La	nes	2 or Mor	e Lanes			Across	Percent						
Flow Condition	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	8:00	9:00	12:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00		
			✓											
1.0	480	720	600	900	1,520	1,624	1,213	1,307	1,454	1,875	1,969	1,674		
1A -	COMPLIANCE %			100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	800	100	
18	120	170	120	170	46	187	42	46	33	188	85	44		
	COMPLIANCE %				38	100	35	38	28	100	71	37	447	56
	Free Flow Signal Justification 1:				Both 1A and 1B 100% Fullfilled each of 8 hours Lesser of 1A or 1B at least 80% fulfilled each of 8 hours						Yes No			

Justification 2: Delay to Cross Traffic

Free Flow Rural Conditions

Justification -	Gι	idance Ap	proach Lan	es		Percentage Warrant								Section
Justification	1 lai	nes	2 or Mo	re lanes		Hour Ending								
Flow Condition		FREE FLOW RESTR. FREE FLOW RESTR. FLOW		RESTR. FLOW	8:00	9:00	12:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00		
2A -	480	720	600	900	1,474	1,437	1,171	1,261	1,421	1,687	1,884	1,630		
		COMPL	IANCE %		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	800	100
2B	50	75	50	75	40	174	36	38	40	166	70	33		
20	2B COMPLIANCE %				80	100	72	76	80	100	100	66	674	84
	Free Flow Signal Justification 2:					Both 2A and 2B 100% Fullfilled each of 8 hours Lesser of 2A or 2B at least 80% fulfilled each of 8 hours						Yes No Yes ✔ No		

Justification 3: Combination

Combination Justification 1 and 2

	Justification Satisfied 80% or Mo	Two Justifications Satisfied 80% or More				
Justification 1	Minimun Vehicular Volume	YES 🗆	NO 🔽	YES	NO 🔽	
Justification 2	Delay Cross Traffic		NOT JUSTIFIED			

Justification	Time Period	Total Volume of Both Approaches (Main) X	Heaviest Minor Approach Y (actual)	Required Value Y (warrant threshold)	Average % Compliance	Overall % Compliance
	8:00	1,474	34	115	30 %	
luctification 4	16:00	1,687	156	115	100 %	49.0/
Justification 4	17:00	1,884	51	115	44 %	40 %
	18:00	1,630	23	115	20 %	

Analysis Sheet Proposed Collision

GO TO Justification:

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue

Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic (Adj.2 70% from North)

Summary Results

		1			
J	Justification	Compliance	Signal J	ustified?	
		• • •	YES	NO	
1. Minimum Vehicular	A Total Volume	100 %		V	
Jus Minimum Vehicular Volume B Delay to Cross Traffic B Combination A B 4-Hr Volume Collision Experier	B Crossing Volume	56 %			
2. Delay to Cross	A Main Road	100 %		L.	
Cross Traffic B Combination A b. 4-Hr Volume	B Crossing Road	84 %		L.	
. Combination	A Justificaton 1	56 %		-	
	B Justification 2	84 %		L.	
4. 4-Hr Volume		48 %		~	
5. Collision Expe	rience	7 %		•	
6. Pedestrians A Volume		Justification not met		L.	
	B Delay	Justification not met		∨	

Input Data Sheet	Analysis Sheet Resu	ts Sheet Proposed Collis	GO TO Justification:
What are the intersecting roadways?	80 at Shirley Avenue		_
What is the direction of the Main Road street?	North-South	When was the data collected?	2026 Total Traffic (Adj 3 PedsX2)
Justification 1 - 4: Volume Warrants			
a Number of lanes on the Main Road?	2 or more		
b Number of lanes on the Minor Road?	1 💌		
c How many approaches? 4			
d What is the operating environment?	Rural 🔻 Po	opulation < 10,000 AND Speed >	= 70 km/hr

e.- What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the intersection? (Please fill in table below)

Hour Ending	Main No	orthbound A	pproach	Minor E	Minor Eastbound Approach			uthbound A	pproach	Minor W	/estbound /	Approach	Pedestrians Crossing Main
	LT	TH	RT	LT	тн	RT	LT	тн	RT	LT	TH	RT	Road
8:00	28	344	37	6	1	5	1	1,029	35	23	7	4	2
9:00	60	414	74	70	19	56	3	811	75	26	15	1	60
12:00	10	571	6	11	2	9	3	568	13	14	3	3	4
13:00	7	607	17	9	2	7	3	618	9	20	2	6	4
15:00	18	727	19	3	1	2	6	628	23	19	5	3	20
16:00	31	946	34	78	16	62	2	635	39	17	8	7	46
17:00	16	1,231	35	26	5	20	3	579	20	26	4	4	3
18:00	3	1,070	25	12	2	9	2	526	4	14	1	6	1
Total	173	5,910	247	215	48	170	23	5,394	218	159	45	34	140

Justification 5: Collision Experience

Preceding Months	Number of Collisions*
1-12	0
13-24	1
25-36	0

* Include only collisions that are susceptable to correction through the installation of traffic signal control

Justification 6: Pedestrian Volume

a.- Please fill in table below summarizing total pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

	Zor	ne 1	Zor	ne 2	Zone 3 (if	needed)	Zone 4 (i	f needed)	Total
	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Total
Total 8 hour pedestrian volume	75	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume	156		0		0		0		
% Assigned to crossing rate	23	8%	34	1%	30	%	10	0%	
Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Cros	sing								36
Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Street Being Crossed									

	Zor	ne 1	Zoi	ne 2	Zone 3 (i	f needed)	Zone 4 (i	f needed)	Total
	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Total
Total 8 hour pedestrian volume	75	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total 8 hour pedestrians delayed greater than 10 seconds	70	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Factored volume of total pedestrians	15	56	0		0		0		
Factored volume of delayed pedestrians	14	45		0	()		0	
% Assigned to Crossing Rate	23	3%	34	4%	30)%	10	0%	
Net 8 Hour Volume of Total Pedestrian	s		· · · · · ·						
Net 8 Hour Volume of Delayed Pedestr	ians								33

Results Sheet Proposed Collision

-

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue

Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic (Adj 3 PedsX2)

Justification 1: Minimum Vehicle Volumes

Free Flow Rural Conditions

lustification	Gι	iidance Ap	proach Lan	es				Percentage	Warrant				Total	Section
Justineation	1 La	nes	2 or Mor	e Lanes	Hour Ending									Percent
Flow Condition	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	8:00	9:00	12:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00		
1A –	480	720	600	900	1,520	1,624	1,213	1,307	1,454	1,875	1,969	1,674		
	COMPLIANCE %			100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	800	100	
1B	120	170	120	170	46	187	42	46	33	188	85	44		
10	1B COMPLIANCE %				38	100	35	38	28	100	71	37	447	56
Free Flow Signal Justification 1:					Both 1A and 1B 100% Fullfilled each of 8 hours Lesser of 1A or 1B at least 80% fulfilled each of 8 hours						Yes No			

Justification 2: Delay to Cross Traffic

Free Flow Rural Conditions

lustification	Gι	uidance Ap	proach Lan	es		Percentage Warrant								Section
Justification	1 lai	nes	2 or Mo	re lanes	Hour Ending									Percent
Flow Condition		FREE FLOW RESTR. FREE FLOW RESTR. FLOW		RESTR. FLOW	8:00	9:00	12:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00		
2A -	480	720	600	900	1,474	1,437	1,171	1,261	1,421	1,687	1,884	1,630		
		COMPL	IANCE %		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	800	100
28	50	75	50	75	38	175	32	35	47	157	60	29		
20		COMPL	IANCE %		76	100	64	70	94	100	100	58	662	83
Free Flow Signal Justification 2:				Both 2A and 2B 100% Fulfilled each of 8 hours Lesser of 2A or 2B at least 80% fulfilled each of 8 hours						Yes No Yes ✔ No				

Justification 3: Combination

Combination Justification 1 and 2

	Justification Satisfied 80% or Mo	Two Just Satisfied 8	tifications 0% or More		
Justification 1	Minimun Vehicular Volume	YES 🗆	NO 🔽	YES	NO 🔽
Justification 2	Delay Cross Traffic	YES 🔽	NO 🗆		NOT JUSTIFIED

Justification	Time Period	Total Volume of Both Approaches (Main) X	Heaviest Minor Approach Y (actual)	Required Value Y (warrant threshold)	Average % Compliance	Overall % Compliance
	8:00	1,474	34	115	30 %	
luctification 4	16:00	1,687	156	115	100 %	49.0/
Justification 4	17:00	1,884	51	115	44 %	40 /0
	18:00	1,630	23	115	20 %	

Results	Sheet	Input Sheet Analysi	s Sheet	Propo	GO TO Justification:
Intersection: M	IR 80 at Shirley Avenue	Count Da	te: 2026 Tota	I Traffic (Ad	(Adj 3 PedsX2)
Summary R	Results				
	Justification	Compliance	Signal Ju YES	ustified? NO	
1. Minimum Vehicular	A Total Volume	100 %		~	
Volume	B Crossing Volume	56 %			
2. Delay to Cross	A Main Road	100 %		•	
Traffic	B Crossing Road	83 %			
3. Combination	A Justificaton 1	56 %		V	
	B Justification 2	83 %			
4. 4-Hr Volume		48 %		~	
5. Collision Expe	rience	7 %		7	
6. Pedestrians	A Volume	Justification not met		L.	
	B Delay	Justification not met		,▼.	

Input Data Sheet	Analysis Sheet Proposed Collision GO TO Justification:
What are the intersecting roadways?	R 80 at Shirley Avenue
What is the direction of the Main Road street?	North-South v When was the data collected? 2026 Total Traffic Adj1+2+3
Justification 1 - 4: Volume Warrants	
a Number of lanes on the Main Road?	2 or more 💌
b Number of lanes on the Minor Road?	1 •
c How many approaches? 4	
d What is the operating environment?	Rural Population < 10,000 AND Speed >= 70 km/hr
e What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the i	ntersection? (Please fill in table below)

Pedestrians Main Northbound Approach Minor Eastbound Approach Main Southbound Approach Minor Westbound Approach Hour Ending **Crossing Main** LT RT LT τн RT LT RT LT ΤН RT τн ΤН Road 8:00 1,029 9:00 12:00 13:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 1,231 1,070 **5,910** 75 5,394 159 18:00 247 96 23 263 34 78 Total

Justification 5: Collision Experience

Preceding Months	Number of Collisions*
1-12	0
13-24	1
25-36	0

* Include only collisions that are susceptable to correction through the installation of traffic signal control

Justification 6: Pedestrian Volume

a.- Please fill in table below summarizing total pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

	Zor	Zone 1		Zone 2		Zone 3 (if needed)		Zone 4 (if needed)		
	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Total	
Total 8 hour pedestrian volume	75	6	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume	15	56	0		0		0			
% Assigned to crossing rate	23	3%	34%		30%		100%			
Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Crossing									36	
Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Stree	Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Street Being Crossed									

	Zor	Zone 1		ne 2	Zone 3 (if	f needed)	Zone 4 (i	f needed)	Total
	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	TOLAT
Total 8 hour pedestrian volume	75 6		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total 8 hour pedestrians delayed greater than 10 seconds	70	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Factored volume of total pedestrians	15	56	0		0		0		
Factored volume of delayed pedestrians	14	45	0		0		0		
% Assigned to Crossing Rate	23	3%	34	4%	30	1%	10	0%	
Net 8 Hour Volume of Total Pedestrians									
Net 8 Hour Volume of Delayed Pedestrians									33

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue

Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic Adj1+2+3

Justification 1: Minimum Vehicle Volumes

Free Flow Rural Conditions

luctification	GL	iidance Ap	proach Lan	es		Percentage Warrant								Section
Justification	1 Lanes		2 or More Lanes			Hour Ending								Percent
Flow Condition	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	8:00	9:00	12:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00		
			✓											
14	480	720	600	900	1,520	1,624	1,213	1,307	1,449	1,875	1,969	1,674		
1A	COMPLIANCE %				100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	800	100
18	120	170	120	170	53	202	45	48	38	196	89	45		
	COMPLIANCE %			44	100	38	40	32	100	74	38	465	58	
Free Flow Signal Justification 1:				Both 1A and 1 Lesser of 1A c	Both 1A and 1B 100% Fulfilled each of 8 hours Yes No Lesser of 1A or 1B at least 80% fulfilled each of 8 hours Yes No							>		

Justification 2: Delay to Cross Traffic

Free Flow Rural Conditions

lustification	Gι	idance Ap	proach Lan	es		Percentage Warrant								Section
Justification	1 lanes		2 or More lanes			Hour Ending								Percent
Flow Condition		RESTR. FLOW	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	8:00	9:00	12:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00		
24	480	720	600	900	1,467	1,422	1,168	1,259	1,411	1,679	1,880	1,629		
24	COMPLIANCE %				100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	800	100
2B	50	75	50	75	46	207	38	39	52	192	71	34		
20	COMPLIANCE %				92	100	76	78	100	100	100	68	714	89
Free Flow Signal Justification 2:				Both 2A and 2B 100% Fullfilled each of 8 hours Yes Lesser of 2A or 2B at least 80% fulfilled each of 8 hours Yes						No No				

Justification 3: Combination

Combination Justification 1 and 2

	Justification Satisfied 80% or Mo	Two Just Satisfied 8	tifications 0% or More		
Justification 1	Minimun Vehicular Volume	YES 🗆	NO 🔽	YES	NO 🔽
Justification 2	Delay Cross Traffic	YES 🔽	NO 🗆		NOT JUSTIFIED

Justification	Time Period	Total Volume of Both Approaches (Main) X	Heaviest Minor Approach Y (actual)	Required Value Y (warrant threshold)	Average % Compliance	Overall % Compliance
	8:00	1,467	41	115	36 %	
luctification (16:00	1,679	156	115	100 %	E0.9/
Justification 4	17:00	1,880	51	115	44 %	50 %
	18:00	1,629	23	115	20 %	

Results Sheet		Input Sheet Analysis	Analysis Sheet Proposed Collisio		sed Collision	GO TO Justification:
Intersection: N	IR 80 at Shirley Avenue	Count Date	e: 2026 Tota	al Traffic Ad	lj1+2+3	
Summary	Results					
	Justification	Compliance	Signal J	ustified?]	
			YES	NO]	
1. Minimum Vehicular	A Total Volume	100 %		~		
Volume	B Crossing Volume	58 %				
2. Delay to Cross	A Main Road	100 %				
Traffic	B Crossing Road	89 %				
3. Combination	A Justificaton 1	58 %				
	B Justification 2	89 %				
4. 4-Hr Volume		50 %		~		
					-	
5. Collision Exp	erience	7 %		~		
6. Pedestrians	A Volume	Justification not met		E		
	B Delay	Justification not met		•		

Input Data Sheet	Analysis Sheet	Results Sheet	Proposed Collision	GO TO Justification:
What are the intersecting roadways?	MR 80 at Shirley Avenue			_
What is the direction of the Main Road street	? North-South	▼ When was th	ne data collected?	2026 Total Traffic (Adj 4 Non-school LT+50%)
Justification 1 - 4: Volume Warran	ts			
a Number of lanes on the Main Road?	2 or more 💌			
b Number of lanes on the Minor Road?	1 💌			
c How many approaches? 4				
d What is the operating environment?	Rural	Population < 10,000	AND Speed >= 70	0 km/hr

e.- What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the intersection? (Please fill in table below)

Hour Ending	Main Northbound Approach			Minor Eastbound Approach			Main Southbound Approach			Minor Westbound Approach			Pedestrians Crossing Main
j	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	тн	RT	LT	тн	RT	Road
8:00	28	344	37	6	1	5	1	1,029	35	35	7	4	2
9:00	60	414	74	70	19	56	3	811	75	39	15	1	31
12:00	10	571	6	11	2	9	3	568	13	21	3	3	4
13:00	7	607	17	9	2	7	3	618	9	30	2	6	4
15:00	18	727	19	3	1	2	6	628	23	29	5	3	12
16:00	31	946	34	78	16	62	2	635	39	26	8	7	24
17:00	16	1,231	35	26	5	20	3	579	20	39	4	4	3
18:00	3	1,070	25	12	2	9	2	526	4	21	1	6	1
Total	173	5,910	247	215	48	170	23	5,394	218	240	45	34	81

Justification 5: Collision Experience

Preceding Months	Number of Collisions*
1-12	0
13-24	1
25-36	0

* Include only collisions that are susceptable to correction through the installation of traffic signal control

Justification 6: Pedestrian Volume

a.- Please fill in table below summarizing total pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

	Zor	Zone 1		Zone 2		Zone 3 (if needed)		Zone 4 (if needed)	
	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Total
Total 8 hour pedestrian volume	75	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume	15	56	0		0		0		
% Assigned to crossing rate	23	%	34	1%	30	%	10	0%	
Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Crossing									36
Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Street Being Crossed									13,000

	Zor	ne 1	Zoi	ne 2	Zone 3 (if	f needed)	Zone 4 (i	f needed)	Total
	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	TOLAI
Total 8 hour pedestrian volume	75	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total 8 hour pedestrians delayed greater than 10 seconds	70	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Factored volume of total pedestrians	15	56	0		0		0		
Factored volume of delayed pedestrians	14	45	0		0		0		
% Assigned to Crossing Rate	23	3%	34	4%	30)%	10	0%	
Net 8 Hour Volume of Total Pedestrians									36
Net 8 Hour Volume of Delayed Pedestrians									33

-

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue

Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic (Adj 4 Non-school LT+50%)

Justification 1: Minimum Vehicle Volumes

Free Flow Rural Conditions

lustification	Gι	lidance Ap	proach Lan	es		Percentage Warrant								Section
Justification	1 Lanes		2 or Mor	2 or More Lanes		Hour Ending								
Flow Condition	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	8:00	9:00	12:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00		
			~											
1.0	480	720	600	900	1,532	1,637	1,220	1,317	1,464	1,884	1,982	1,681		
		COMPL	IANCE %		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	800	100
18	120	170	120	170	58	200	49	56	43	197	98	51		
15	COMPLIANCE %				48	100	41	47	36	100	82	43	496	62
Free Flow Signal Justification 1:					Both 1A and 1 Lesser of 1A o	Joth 1A and 1B 100% Fulfilled each of 8 hours Yes No Lesser of 1A or 1B at least 80% fulfilled each of 8 hours Yes No							V	

Justification 2: Delay to Cross Traffic

Free Flow Rural Conditions

lustification	Guidance Approach Lanes							Percentage	Warrant				Total	Section
Justification	1 lanes 2 or More		re lanes			Across	Percent							
Flow Condition		RESTR. FLOW	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	8:00	9:00	12:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00		
24	480	720	600	900	1,474	1,437	1,171	1,261	1,421	1,687	1,884	1,630		
24	COMPLIANCE %			100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	800	100	
ЭР	50	75	50	75	50	159	39	45	49	144	73	36		
20	COMPLIANCE %				100	100	78	90	98	100	100	72	738	92
Free Flow Signal Justification 2:					Both 2A and 2B 100% Fulfilled each of 8 hours Yes No Lesser of 2A or 2B at least 80% fulfilled each of 8 hours Yes ✓ No							v		

Justification 3: Combination

Combination Justification 1 and 2

	Justification Satisfied 80% or Mo	Two Justifications Satisfied 80% or More			
Justification 1	Minimun Vehicular Volume	YES 🗆	NO 🔽	YES	NO 🔽
Justification 2	Delay Cross Traffic	YES 🗹	NO 🗆		NOT JUSTIFIED

Justification	Time Period	Total Volume of Both Approaches (Main) X	Heaviest Minor Approach Y (actual)	Required Value Y (warrant threshold)	Average % Compliance	Overall % Compliance
	8:00	1,474	46	115	40 %	
	16:00	1,687	156	115	100 %	F2 9/
Justification 4	17:00	1,884	51	115	44 %	52 %
	18:00	1,630	28	115	24 %	

Analysis Sheet Proposed Collision

GO TO Justification:

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue

Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic (Adj 4 Non-school LT+50%)

Summary Results

		1		
Justification		Compliance	Signal J	ustified?
			YES	NO
1. Minimum Vehicular	A Total Volume	100 %		~
Volume	B Crossing Volume	62 %		
2. Delay to Cross	A Main Road	100 %		V
Traffic	B Crossing Road	92 %		
3. Combination	A Justificaton 1	62 %		F
	B Justification 2	92 %		Ľ
4. 4-Hr Volume		52 %		~
5. Collision Expe	rience	7 %		~
6. Pedestrians	A Volume	Justification not met		न
	B Delay	Justification not met		

Input Data Sheet	Analysis Sheet	Results Sheet	Proposed Collision	GO TO Justification:
What are the intersecting roadways?	MR 80 at Shirley Avenue			
What is the direction of the Main Road street	? North-South	▼ When wa	s the data collected?	2026 Total Traffic (Adj 5 Non-school LT+100%)
Justification 1 - 4: Volume Warran	ts			
a Number of lanes on the Main Road?	2 or more 💌			
b Number of lanes on the Minor Road?	1 💌			
c How many approaches? 4				
d What is the operating environment?	Rural 👻	Population < 10,0	00 AND Speed >=	70 km/hr

e.- What is the eight hour vehicle volume at the intersection? (Please fill in table below)

Hour Ending	Main No	orthbound A	pproach	Minor E	astbound A	pproach	Main So	uthbound Ap	oproach	Minor W	/estbound /	pproach	Pedestrians Crossing Main
g	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	тн	RT	LT	тн	RT	Road
8:00	28	344	37	6	1	5	1	1,029	35	46	7	4	2
9:00	60	414	74	70	19	56	3	811	75	52	15	1	31
12:00	10	571	6	11	2	9	3	568	13	28	3	3	4
13:00	7	607	17	9	2	7	3	618	9	40	2	6	4
15:00	18	727	19	3	1	2	6	628	23	38	5	3	12
16:00	31	946	34	78	16	62	2	635	39	34	8	7	24
17:00	16	1,231	35	26	5	20	3	579	20	52	4	4	3
18:00	3	1,070	25	12	2	9	2	526	4	28	1	6	1
Total	173	5,910	247	215	48	170	23	5,394	218	318	45	34	81

Justification 5: Collision Experience

Preceding Months	Number of Collisions*
1-12	0
13-24	1
25-36	0

* Include only collisions that are susceptable to correction through the installation of traffic signal control

Justification 6: Pedestrian Volume

a.- Please fill in table below summarizing total pedestrians crossing major roadway at the intersection or in proximity to the intersection (zones). Please reference Section 4.8 of the Manual for further explanation and graphical representation.

	Zor	ne 1	Zor	ne 2	Zone 3 (if	needed)	Zone 4 (i	f needed)	Total
	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Total
Total 8 hour pedestrian volume	75	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Factored 8 hour pedestrian volume	15	56	(0	C))	
% Assigned to crossing rate	23	3%	34	1%	30	%	10	0%	
Net 8 Hour Pedestrian Volume at Cros	sing								36
Net 8 Hour Vehicular Volume on Stree	t Being Cros	sed							13,000

	Zor	le 1	Zoi	ne 2	Zone 3 (if	f needed)	Zone 4 (i	f needed)	Total
	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Assisted	Unassisted	Total
Total 8 hour pedestrian volume	75	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total 8 hour pedestrians delayed greater than 10 seconds	70	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Factored volume of total pedestrians	15	56		0	c)		0	
Factored volume of delayed pedestrians	14	15		0	C)		0	
% Assigned to Crossing Rate	23	%	34	4%	30	%	10	0%	
Net 8 Hour Volume of Total Pedestrian	s				-				36
Net 8 Hour Volume of Delayed Pedestr	ians								33

-

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue

Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic (Adj 5 Non-school LT+100%)

Justification 1: Minimum Vehicle Volumes

Free Flow Rural Conditions

lustification	Gι	lidance Ap	proach Lan	es				Percentage	Warrant				Total	Section
Justification	1 La	nes	2 or Mor	e Lanes				Hour En	ding				Across	Percent
Flow Condition	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	8:00	9:00	12:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00		
			~											
10	480	720	600	900	1,543	1,650	1,227	1,327	1,473	1,892	1,995	1,688		
		COMPL	IANCE %		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	800	100
18	120	170	120	170	69	213	56	66	52	205	111	58		
15		COMPL	IANCE %		58	100	47	55	43	100	93	48	543	68
	Fre Signal J	ee Flow	on 1:		Both 1A and 1 Lesser of 1A o	B 100% Fullfi r 1B at least	led each of 8 80% fulfilled	hours each of 8 ho	urs	Yes Yes		No	V	

Justification 2: Delay to Cross Traffic

Free Flow Rural Conditions

lustification	Gι	idance Ap	proach Lan	es				Percentage	Warrant				Total	Section
Justification	1 lai	nes	2 or Mo	re lanes				Hour En	ding				Across	Percent
Flow Condition		RESTR. FLOW	FREE FLOW	RESTR. FLOW	8:00	9:00	12:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00		
24	480	720	600	900	1,474	1,437	1,171	1,261	1,421	1,687	1,884	1,630		
24		COMPL	IANCE %		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	800	100
2B	50	75	50	75	61	172	46	55	58	152	86	43		
20		COMPL	IANCE %		100	100	92	100	100	100	100	86	778	97
	Fr Signal J	ee Flow ustification	on 2:		Both 2A and 2 Lesser of 2A o	B 100% Fullfil r 2B at least 8	led each of 8 80% fulfilled	hours each of 8 hou	ırs	Yes Yes	•	No No	v	

Justification 3: Combination

Combination Justification 1 and 2

	Justification Satisfied 80% or Mo	re		Two Just Satisfied 8	ifications 0% or More
Justification 1	Minimun Vehicular Volume	YES 🗆	NO 🔽	YES	NO 🔽
Justification 2	Delay Cross Traffic	YES 🔽	NO 🗆		NOT JUSTIFIED

Justification	Time Period	Total Volume of Both Approaches (Main) X	Heaviest Minor Approach Y (actual)	Required Value Y (warrant threshold)	Average % Compliance	Overall % Compliance
	8:00	1,474	57	115	50 %	
luctification 4	16:00	1,687	156	115	100 %	E9 0/
Justification 4	17:00	1,884	60	115	52 %	50 %
	18:00	1,630	35	115	30 %	

Analysis Sheet Proposed Collision

GO TO Justification:

Intersection: MR 80 at Shirley Avenue

Count Date: 2026 Total Traffic (Adj 5 Non-school LT+100%)

Summary Results

ى ا	Justification	Compliance	Signal J	ustified?
1. Minimum		100	YES	NO
Vehicular	A Total Volume	100 %		~
Volume	B Crossing Volume	68 %		
2. Delay to Cross	A Main Road	100 %		~
Traffic	B Crossing Road	97 %		
3. Combination	A Justificaton 1	68 %		V
	B Justification 2	97 %		
4. 4-Hr Volume		58 %		~
5. Collision Expe	rience	7 %		~
6. Pedestrians	A Volume	Justification not met		L L
	B Delay	Justification not met		

APPENDIX F

Ontario Pedestrian Signal Warrants MR 80 / Shirley Avenue

4.9 Justification 6 – Pedestrian Volume and Delay

Purpose

The minimum pedestrian volume conditions are intended for applications where the traffic volume on a main road is so heavy that pedestrians experience excessive delay or hazard in crossing the main road, or where high pedestrian crossing volumes produce the likelihood of such delays.

The justification is applicable to an unsignalized intersection or a mid-block location.

Once justification has been established, determination of the appropriate crossing protection device should be subject to site-specific engineering judgement (see Guideline 3 for options).

Standard

The need for a traffic control device at an intersection or mid-block location must be considered if <u>both</u> the following minimum pedestrian volume and delay criteria are met:

1. The total eight-hour pedestrian volume crossing the main road at an intersection or mid-block location during the highest eight hours of pedestrian traffic fulfils the



Figure 22 – Justification 6 – Pedestrian Volume





Figure 23 – Justification 6 – Pedestrian Delay

justification requirement identified in Figure 22. A tabular form of the justification values is provided in Table 18.

2. The total 8-hour volume of pedestrians experiencing delays of ten seconds or more in crossing the road during the highest eight hours of pedestrian traffic fulfils the justification requirement identified in Figure 23. A tabular form of the justification values is provided in Table 19.

Guidelines

 If a roadway is crossed by pedestrians at several locations, and the introduction of a signal-protected crossing is likely to consolidate the crossings at a single point, the road segment may be divided into zones, with an appropriate proportion of crossings in each zone reassigned to the signal-protected crossing zone included in Tables 16 and 17.

- In the case of a divided roadway with a raised median at least 1.2 m wide, the justification may be calculated separately for each side. The "worst case" will govern the outcome: such that if a protected crossing is justified for one side, the entire crossing will be justified.
- 3. If both Justification 6 and a traffic engineering study determine that protection of pedestrian traffic crossing a roadway is appropriate, consideration may be given to the variety of options. Consistent municipal practice