



Building
Connections
for Life

500 Regent Street
P.O. Box 250/C.P. 250
Sudbury ON P3E 4P1

Greater Sudbury
West Nipissing
Website

705.675.7536
705.753.2341
sudburyhydro.com

January 23, 2025

Emailed: alex.singbush@greatersudbury.ca

City of Greater Sudbury
Planning Services Division
P.O. Box 5000, Station A
200 Brady Street
Sudbury, ON P3A 5P3

Attention: Alex Singbush
Manager of Development Approvals

Subject: Application for Plan of Subdivision – Timestone Corporation

Property: PINs 73576-0496, -0499, -0526, -0122, -0183, and -0181, Parts of PINs 73576-0530, -0172, and -0173, Lots 45 to 69, 74 to 90, 97 to 106 and 133, part of Cambridge Crescent, and Block G, Plan M-1003, Lot 10, Concession 3, Township of Neelon (0 Birmingham Drive, Sudbury)

Your File: 780-6/24002

Our File: WF0163949

Dear Mr. Singbush:

Please be advised, the only condition that Greater Sudbury Hydro Inc. has, is as follows;

The owner/developer must transfer a three metre (3m) wide easement, to be registered on title to the subject property, to Greater Sudbury Hydro Inc. for that portion of the subdivision that fronts on any existing or proposed road allowances. The Owner/Developer will be responsible for all legal and survey costs associated with this. The owner/developer is also responsible for obtaining/providing a Postponement in favour of Greater Sudbury Hydro Inc's interest with respect to any and all existing Charge/Mortgage/Lien and/or Encumbrance of Land registered on title to this property. The Owner/Developer will be responsible for all costs associated with obtaining said Postponement.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Robillard'.

Serge Robillard
Engineering Supervisor
:as

cc: bailey.chabot@greatersudbury.ca






2025 01 23 GSHI to COGS Standard Response Application Subdivision 0 Birmingham

Final Audit Report

2025-01-23

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Created: | 2025-01-23 |
| By: | Amanda Simmons (amanda.simmons@gsuinc.ca) |
| Status: | Signed |
| Transaction ID: | CBJCHBCAABAAQUYnPFuOSO0rZJxjGqMsB0DSh9mtNMyi |

"2025 01 23 GSHI to COGS Standard Response Application Subdivision 0 Birmingham" History

-  Document created by Amanda Simmons (amanda.simmons@gsuinc.ca)
2025-01-23 - 7:46:30 PM GMT
-  Document emailed to Serge Robillard (serge.robillard@gsuinc.ca) for signature
2025-01-23 - 7:46:59 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by Serge Robillard (serge.robillard@gsuinc.ca)
2025-01-23 - 7:47:39 PM GMT
-  Document e-signed by Serge Robillard (serge.robillard@gsuinc.ca)
Signature Date: 2025-01-23 - 7:51:02 PM GMT - Time Source: server
-  Agreement completed.
2025-01-23 - 7:51:02 PM GMT

INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

To: Bailey Chabot

From: Infrastructure Capital Planning

Date: February 3, 2025

Subject: **Files 751-6/24-24 & 780-6/24002**
PINs 73576-0496, -0499, -0526, -0122, -0183, and -0181, Parts of PINs
73576-0530, -0172, and -0173, Lots 45 to 69, 74 to 90, 97 to 106, and 133,
part of Cambridge Crescent, and Block G, Plan M-1003, Lot 10,
Concession 3, Township of Neelon (0 Birmingham Drive, Sudbury)

Further to your email dated January 17, 2025, Infrastructure Capital Planning and Linear Infrastructure Services staff have reviewed this application and provide the following comments.

Roads

No concerns.

Transportation & Innovation Support

A Traffic Impact Study will be required as a condition of draft plan approval.

Active Transportation

No concerns.

Roads Operations

No concerns.

Drainage

A stormwater management report and associated plans must be submitted by the Owner's Consulting Engineer for approval by the City. The report must address the following requirements:

- The underground storm sewer system within the plan of subdivision must be designed to accommodate and/or convey the minor storm flow, that is, the rainfall runoff resulting from the subject site and any external tributary areas using the City's 2-year design storm. The permissible minor storm discharge from the subject development must be limited to 80% of the existing pre-development site runoff resulting from a 2-year design storm. Any resulting post development runoff in excess of this permissible discharge rate must be controlled and detained within the plan of subdivision.

- The overland flow system within the plan of subdivision must be designed to accommodate and/or convey the major storm flow, that is, the rainfall runoff resulting from the subject site and any external tributary areas using the City's 100-year design storm or regional storm event, whichever is greater, without causing damage to proposed and adjacent public and private properties. The permissible major storm discharge from the subject development must be limited to 80% of the existing pre-development runoff resulting from a 100-year design storm or regional storm event, whichever is greater.
- A hierarchical approach to stormwater management must be followed as described by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks and as amended by City of Greater Sudbury most recent Storm Water Management Guide and Engineering Design Standards.
- "Enhanced" level must be used for the design of stormwater quality controls as defined by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks.
- Stormwater management must further follow the recommendations of the Ramsey Lake Subwatershed Study.
- The drainage catchment boundary including external tributary catchments and their respective area must be clearly indicated with any stormwater management plan;
- The final grading of the lands shall be such that the surface water originating on or tributary to the said lands, including roof water from buildings and surface water from paved areas, will be discharged in a manner satisfactory to the General Manager of Growth and Infrastructure.
- If a wet pond is proposed, the wet pond is to meet the criteria of the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual latest edition, including but not limited to Table 4.6 Wet Pond – Summary of Design Guidance. Side slopes greater than 3:1 will not be permitted including retaining walls.
- The bottom of the Stormwater Management Facility/Facilities is to be at a higher elevation than the seasonal high groundwater level (i.e. water table).
- If the Stormwater Management Facility/Facilities propose infiltration, the receiving soil layer must have an infiltration rate of greater than or equal to 15 mm/hr., and underlying bedrock and seasonal high groundwater level (i.e. water table) is to be equal to or greater than 1 m below the bottom of the proposed facility.
- Capacity of all swales to be included in the Stormwater Management Report.
- Where drainage from the existing or future right-of-way is conveyed between residential properties, a block is required. Drainage easements are only permitted for the conveyance of drainage of runoff from private properties only.
- Minor storm drainage from the plan of subdivision shall not be drained overland onto adjacent properties; and,
- Existing drainage patterns on adjacent properties shall not be altered unless explicit permission is granted.

The owner shall be responsible for the design and construction of any required stormwater management works to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Growth and Infrastructure as part of the servicing plans for the subdivision and the owner shall dedicate the lands for stormwater management works as a condition of this development.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ray Marshall', written in a cursive style.

Ray Marshall
Director of Infrastructure Capital Planning

KS/TD/MA/CD/RM/cp

C.C. Karen Cardinal

Bailey Chabot

From: Apryl Lukezic
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2025 5:02 PM
To: Julie Lalonde; Bailey Chabot; Karen Cardinal; Denise Clement; Alex Singbush; Kris Longston
Cc: Kelsi Bernier
Subject: RE: 751-6/24-24 & 780-6/24002 - 0 Birmingham Drive, Sudbury - Circulation

Hi Everyone,

Please include the following financial implication for this report and let me know if any changes or concerns.

Thanks,
Apryl

If approved, staff estimates approximately \$400,000 in taxation revenue, based on the assumption of respective dwelling units at single units at \$500,000 assessed values; 24 semi-detached units at \$300,000 assessed values; 58 multiple dwelling units at \$275,000 assessed values and property tax rates.

This additional taxation revenue will only occur in the supplemental tax year. Any taxation revenue generated from new development will be taxed at the same rate as existing development in its first year. Therefore, the City does not receive additional taxation revenue in future years from new development as determined from the budget process, is spread out over all properties within the City.

The amount of development charges will be based on final review of the property by the Building Services department at the time of development.

Once development has occurred and the subdivision infrastructure has been transferred to the City, there will be additional on-going maintenance and capital replacement of the related infrastructure (ie. roads, water/wastewater linear pipes, etc).

From: Julie Lalonde <Julie.Lalonde@greatersudbury.ca>
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2025 9:02 AM
To: Bailey Chabot <Bailey.Chabot@greatersudbury.ca>; Andre Frappier <Andre.Frappier@greatersudbury.ca>; David Knutson <David.Knutson@greatersudbury.ca>; Apryl Lukezic <Apryl.Lukezic@greatersudbury.ca>; Joel Moncion <Joel.Moncion@greatersudbury.ca>; ndca <ndca@conservationsudbury.ca>; Jeff Pafford <Jeff.Pafford@greatersudbury.ca>; Angie Roy <Angie.Roy@greatersudbury.ca>; Carol Skanes <Carol.Skanes@greatersudbury.ca>; Kayla Schmidt <Kayla.Schmidt@greatersudbury.ca>; Robert Webb <Robert.Webb@greatersudbury.ca>; Doug White <Doug.White@greatersudbury.ca>
Cc: Donna Sonier <Donna.Sonier@greatersudbury.ca>; Beth Autio <Beth.Autio@greatersudbury.ca>; Tracy Leroux <Tracy.Leroux@greatersudbury.ca>; Corinne Poulin <Corinne.Poulin@greatersudbury.ca>; Brittany Coutu <Brittany.Coutu@greatersudbury.ca>; Akli Ben-Anteur <Akli.Ben-Anteur@greatersudbury.ca>
Subject: 751-6/24-24 & 780-6/24002 - 0 Birmingham Drive, Sudbury - Circulation

Good morning,



INTEROFFICE MEMO

Feb 3, 2025

TO: A. Singbush

FROM: C. Skanes

RE: File: 751-6/24-24 & 780-6/24002
Application for Zoning By-law Amendment and Draft Plan of Subdivision

PINs 73576-0496, -0499, -0526, -0122, -0183, and -0181, Parts of PINs 73576-0530, -0172, and -0173, Lots 45 to 69, 74 to 90, 97 to 106, and 133, part of Cambridge Crescent, and Block G, Plan M-1003, Lot 10, Concession 3, Township of Neelon (0 Birmingham Drive, Sudbury)

Building Services has reviewed your memo dated Jan 17, 2025, regarding the above noted property and request to rezone the subject lands from Low Density Residential (R1-5) to Medium Residential (R3) Special in order to permit a mixed use of residential built forms and to permit site specific relief for required planting strips.

We can advise that we have no objections with the proposed re-zoning of the property.

We have reviewed the documents provided for Plan of Subdivision and can advise of the following conditions:

1. That the owner shall provide an up-to-date soils report prepared by a Geotechnical Engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario to the satisfaction of the Chief Building Official. The soils report shall at a minimum provide factual information on the soils and groundwater conditions within the proposed development. The soils report shall also include design information and recommend construction procedures for the mass filling of land, surface drainage works including storm erosion control, slope stability, and building foundations. The geotechnical engineer must also address requirements as it relates to the development under Ontario Regulation 406/19: On-Site and Excess Soil Management.
2. That during the subdivision agreement registration process and based on anticipated quantities of removal of rock through blasting (if required) the following draft approval conditions are imposed:
 - a. That the owner provides a geotechnical report outlining how work related to blasting shall be undertaken safely in order to protect adjoining structures and other infrastructure to the satisfaction of the Chief Building Official. The geotechnical report shall be prepared by a qualified blasting consultant defined as a professional engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario having a minimum of five-years' experience related to blasting;
 - b. That the blasting consultant shall be retained by the owner and shall be independent

- of the contractor and any sub-contractor that is performing blasting work. The blasting consultant shall be required to complete specified vibration monitoring that is recommended in the geotechnical report and provide a report detailing recorded vibration levels to the satisfaction of the Chief Building Official. Copies of the recorded ground vibration documents shall be provided to the contractor and any sub-contractor administration weekly or upon request;
- c. That the geotechnical report provides recommendations and specifications to the satisfaction of the Chief Building Official on the following as a minimum, but not necessarily limited to:
 - i. Pre-blast survey of surface structures and infrastructure within the affected area;
 - ii. Trial blast activities;
 - iii. Procedures during blasting;
 - iv. Procedures for addressing blasting and damage complaints;
 - v. Blast notification mechanism(s) for adjacent residences; and,
 - vi. Structural stability of exposed rock faces.
 - d. That the geotechnical report shall be submitted to the satisfaction of the Chief Building Official prior to the commencement of any removal of rock from the lands via blasting. Should the owner's construction schedule require the commencement of blasting and rock removal prior to the subdivision agreement having been signed, the owner is advised that a site alteration permit shall be required under the City of Greater Sudbury's Bylaw 2009-170 and shall require a similar geotechnical report as a minimum prior to its issuance.
3. That engineered fill pads (if required) are to be surveyed by an Ontario Land Surveyor and a plan submitted indicating the location and extents for buildable area to the satisfaction of the Chief Building Official.
 4. That any retaining walls greater than 1.0 m in height be designed by a Professional Engineer, to the satisfaction of the Chief Building Official.

CAROL SKANES
MANAGER OF PLANS EXAMINATION



INTEROFFICE MEMO

TO: A. Singbush
FROM: Robert Webb
DATE: February 20, 2025
RE: Application for Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law
Amendment – 0 Birmingham Drive, Sudbury
File: 780-6/24002 & 751-6/24-24

Development Engineering has reviewed the above noted application.

The owner proposes to subdivide the subject property into a mix of singles (4), semis (24), linked dwellings (18), and multiple dwelling units (35-40) for a total of 81-86 residential units with blocks for stormwater infrastructure and road network.

With regards to this development, we have the following comments:

A capacity analysis was performed by our WaterCAD model at three separate locations, and developed the following results:

| Node ID | Node Elevation (m) | Pressure (max day) | Pressure (max hour) | Available Fire Flow (L/s) |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| Nottingham Ave | 266.70 | 85 | 85 | 221 |
| Dorsett Dr | 268.53 | 82 | 82 | 177 |
| Dorsett @ Birmingham | 271.00 | 79 | 79 | 205 |
| | | | | |
| CGS Minimum Requirements | | 50 | 40 | 75L/s - R1/R2 100L/s - R3 |

A review of the sewage mains downstream at MH 21879 revealed that the mains downstream are capable of conveying the additional 6.250L/s of flow expected from the development.

A review of the sewage mains downstream at MH 21881 revealed that the mains downstream are capable of conveying the additional 2.68L/s of flow expected from the development.

A review of the sewage mains downstream at MH 734764 revealed that the mains downstream are capable of conveying the additional 1.45L/s of flow expected from the development.

The proposed municipal gravity sanitary system for this subdivision will discharge to the existing 200mm sanitary sewer on Dorsett Drive, which as indicated above is capable of conveying the flows as described above.

The 150 mm diameter water distribution main located within the Dorsett Drive road allowance, and the 200 mm diameter main within the Birmingham Drive road allowance are all available to service this development. Note, the services for this development will be required to be looped to each of the connections provided and constructed with the required minimum sizing of 200mm diameter.

All roadways within the proposed subdivision are to be built to City urban standards using mountable curb and gutter complete with sidewalk on one side of each roadway.

With respect to the rezoning specifically, we make the following comment:

We have no objection to the change in Zoning By-law from "R1-5", Low Density Residential One, to "R3(SP)" Medium Density Residential Special, in order to permit the development as described above.

The following draft plan conditions of subdivision apply:

- 1) The owner shall provide a detailed lot grading plan prepared, signed, sealed, and dated by a professional civil engineer with a valid certificate of authorization for the proposed lots as part of the submission of servicing plans. This plan must show finished grades around building envelopes, retaining walls, swales, slopes and lot corners. The plan must show sufficient grades on boundary properties to mesh the lot grading of the new site to existing properties.
- 2) The owner shall be required to have all stormwater management facilities constructed and approved by the City prior to initial acceptance of roads and sewers or at such time as the Director of Planning Services may direct. The owner shall provide lands for said facilities as required by the City.
- 3) The proposed internal subdivision roadways are to be built to urban standards, including mountable curb and gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers and related appurtenances to the City of Greater Sudbury Engineering Standards at the time of submission.
- 4) Prior to the submission of servicing plans, the Owner shall, to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning Services, provide an updated geotechnical report prepared, signed, sealed, and dated by a geotechnical engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario. Said report shall, as a minimum, provide factual information on the soils and groundwater conditions within the proposed development. Also, the report should include design information and recommend construction procedures for storm and sanitary sewers, stormwater management facilities, watermain, roads to a 20-year design life, the mass filling of land, surface drainage works, erosion control, slope stability, slope treatment and building foundations. The geotechnical information on building foundations shall be to the satisfaction of the Chief Building Official and Director of Planning Services.
- 5) As part of the submission of servicing plans, the owner shall have any rear yard slope treatments designed by a geotechnical engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario incorporated into the lot grading plans if noted as required at locations required by the Director of Planning Services. Suitable provisions shall be incorporated into the Subdivision Agreement to ensure that the treatment is undertaken to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning Services.

- 6) Streetlights for this subdivision will be designed and constructed by Greater Sudbury Hydro Plus Inc. at the cost of the owner.
- 7) The owner will be required to ensure that the corner radius for all intersecting streets is to be 9.0 m.
- 8) The owner shall provide a utilities servicing plan showing the location of all utilities including City services, Greater Sudbury Hydro Plus or Hydro One, Bell, Union Gas, and Eastlink – as applicable. This plan must be to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning Services and must be provided prior to construction for any individual phase.
- 9) The owner shall provide proof of sufficient fire flow in conjunction with the submission of construction drawings for each phase of construction. All costs associated with upgrading the existing distribution system to service this subdivision will be borne totally by the owner.
- 10) The owner shall provide proof of sufficient sanitary sewer capacity in conjunction with the submission of construction drawings for each phase of construction. All costs associated with upgrading the existing distribution system to service this subdivision will be borne totally by the owner.
- 11) Draft Approval does not guarantee an allocation of sewer or water capacity. Prior to the signing of the final plan, the Planning Services Division is to be advised by the General Manager of Growth and Infrastructure that sufficient sewage treatment capacity and water capacity exists to service the development.
- 12) The applicant/owner shall provide to the City, as part of the submission of servicing plans a Siltation Control Plan detailing the location and types of sediment and erosion control measures to be implemented during the construction of each phase of the project. Said plan shall be to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Growth and Development and the Nickel District Conservation Authority. The siltation control shall remain in place until all disturbed areas have been stabilized. All sediment and erosion control measures shall be inspected daily to ensure that they are functioning properly and are maintained and/or updated as required. If the sediment and erosion control measures are not functioning properly, no further work shall occur until the sediment and/or erosion problem is addressed.
- 13) The owner agrees to provide the required soils report, stormwater, water, sanitary sewer and lot grading master planning reports and plans to the Director of Planning Services prior to or concurrently with the submission of servicing plans for the first phase of the subdivision.
- 14) Final approval for registration may be issued in phases to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning Services, provided that:
 - i) Phasing is proposed in an orderly progression, in consideration of such matters as the timing of road improvements, infrastructure and other essential services, and;
 - ii) All agencies agree to registration by phases and provide clearances, as required, for each phase proposed for registration; furthermore, the required clearances may relate to lands not located within the phase sought to be registered.

- 15) That the owner shall have completed all major outstanding infrastructure deficiencies that are critical to the overall function of the subdivision in previous phases of the plan that have been registered, or have made arrangements for their completion, prior to registering a new phase of the plan, to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Growth and Infrastructure.

Regards,

Robert Webb
Supervisor of Development Engineering
JB/

Bailey Chabot

From: Andre Frappier
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2025 5:37 PM
To: Bailey Chabot
Subject: RE: 751-6/24-24 & 780-6/24002 - 0 Birmingham Drive, Sudbury - Circulation
Attachments: Section 59 Review_0.Birmingham_751-624-24_780-624002.pdf

Hi Bailey,

Please see attached S.59 Review.

There are no significant drinking water threats identified at this time.

Thanks!

Andre

André Frappier

Legislative Compliance Supervisor
Water/Wastewater Treatment and Compliance
City of Greater Sudbury

tel: 705-674-4455 ext. 4827

cell: 705-677-9247

fax: 705-671-2742

www.greatersudbury.ca



From: Julie Lalonde <Julie.Lalonde@greatersudbury.ca>

Sent: Friday, January 17, 2025 9:02 AM

To: Bailey Chabot <Bailey.Chabot@greatersudbury.ca>; Andre Frappier <Andre.Frappier@greatersudbury.ca>; David Knutson <David.Knutson@greatersudbury.ca>; Apryl Lukezic <Apryl.Lukezic@greatersudbury.ca>; Joel Moncion <Joel.Moncion@greatersudbury.ca>; ndca <ndca@conservationsudbury.ca>; Jeff Pafford <Jeff.Pafford@greatersudbury.ca>; Angie Roy <Angie.Roy@greatersudbury.ca>; Carol Skanes <Carol.Skanes@greatersudbury.ca>; Kayla Schmidt <Kayla.Schmidt@greatersudbury.ca>; Robert Webb <Robert.Webb@greatersudbury.ca>; Doug White <Doug.White@greatersudbury.ca>

Cc: Donna Sonier <Donna.Sonier@greatersudbury.ca>; Beth Autio <Beth.Autio@greatersudbury.ca>; Tracy Leroux <Tracy.Leroux@greatersudbury.ca>; Corinne Poulin <Corinne.Poulin@greatersudbury.ca>; Brittany Coutu <Brittany.Coutu@greatersudbury.ca>; Akli Ben-Anteur <Akli.Ben-Anteur@greatersudbury.ca>

Subject: 751-6/24-24 & 780-6/24002 - 0 Birmingham Drive, Sudbury - Circulation

Good morning,

Please find attached the above noted Circulation.

Comments are kindly requested by **February 3, 2025**. Please send all comments to **Bailey Chabot**, cc'd on this e-mail.

Thank you,

Julie

Clerk to the Consent Official/Secretary-Treasurer

Planning Services

City of Greater Sudbury

PO Box 5000, STN 'A'

200 Brady Street

Sudbury, ON P3A 5P3

Tel: 705-674-4455 ext 4376

Fax: (705) 673 2200

À la Ville du Grand Sudbury, nous apprécions et respectons les modalités de travail souples. Ma journée de travail ne ressemble pas nécessairement à la vôtre. Ne vous sentez pas obligé de me répondre en dehors de vos heures de travail régulières.

At the City of Greater Sudbury, we value and respect flexible work arrangements. My work day may look different than yours. Please do not feel obligated to respond outside of your normal working hours.

Bailey Chabot

From: Melanie Venne
Sent: Tuesday, January 28, 2025 10:37 AM
To: Bailey Chabot
Subject: Re: 751-6/24-24 & 780-6/24002 - 0 Birmingham Drive, Sudbury - Circulation

Good morning Bailey,

Conservation Sudbury has no objection to the rezoning the properties at 0 Birmingham Drive from "R1-5" to "R3(SP)". There are no features regulated by Conservation Sudbury on these properties.

Melanie Venne, MES

Conservation Sudbury - Office and Communication Coordinator
401-199 Larch St, Sudbury ON
705-674-5249 ext 200

From: Julie Lalonde <Julie.Lalonde@greatersudbury.ca>
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2025 9:01 AM
To: Bailey Chabot <Bailey.Chabot@greatersudbury.ca>; Andre Frappier <Andre.Frappier@greatersudbury.ca>; David Knutson <David.Knutson@greatersudbury.ca>; Apryl Lukezic <Apryl.Lukezic@greatersudbury.ca>; Joel Moncion <Joel.Moncion@greatersudbury.ca>; ndca <ndca@conservationsudbury.ca>; Jeff Pafford <Jeff.Pafford@greatersudbury.ca>; Angie Roy <Angie.Roy@greatersudbury.ca>; Carol Skanes <Carol.Skanes@greatersudbury.ca>; Kayla Schmidt <Kayla.Schmidt@greatersudbury.ca>; Robert Webb <Robert.Webb@greatersudbury.ca>; Doug White <Doug.White@greatersudbury.ca>
Cc: Donna Sonier <Donna.Sonier@greatersudbury.ca>; Beth Autio <Beth.Autio@greatersudbury.ca>; Tracy Leroux <Tracy.Leroux@greatersudbury.ca>; Corinne Poulin <Corinne.Poulin@greatersudbury.ca>; Brittany Coutu <Brittany.Coutu@greatersudbury.ca>; Akli Ben-Anteur <Akli.Ben-Anteur@greatersudbury.ca>
Subject: 751-6/24-24 & 780-6/24002 - 0 Birmingham Drive, Sudbury - Circulation

Good morning,

Please find attached the above noted Circulation.

Comments are kindly requested by **February 3, 2025**. Please send all comments to **Bailey Chabot**, cc'd on this e-mail.

Thank you,

Julie
Clerk to the Consent Official/Secretary-Treasurer
Planning Services
City of Greater Sudbury
PO Box 5000, STN 'A'
200 Brady Street
Sudbury, ON P3A 5P3
Tel: 705-674-4455 ext 4376