# Maley Revisited

Presented by:

Mathieu Labonté

March 2016

### 

- 1) From CGS Cost-Benefit Analysis of Maley Drive Extension Phase 1
- 2) Assuming no increase in benefits or maintenance costs

Cost-benefit ratio (C/B)

#### Phase2

Requires additional -34% increase in benefits, no increase in maintenance costs in order to break even

Will be mandatory, as benefits of Phase 1 require more traffic on Phase 2 roads

- Projected AADT of 16,000 from College Boreal to Lasalle vs.
- 11,500 from 2005 Transportation study
- Projected AADT of 13,000 from Barrydowne to Falconbridge rd. vs 7500 from 2005 Transportation study

#### Cost-Benefit of Maley Drive Extension Rackage Impaci (2015-2048) Value (\$ 2015) 5667600000000000000000000000000 Methoresentivalité (NEV) \$\$ IE\$\$#6(0!0)(0\0)0\0 Cost-benefit ratio (C/B) ...47 (compare to 2.75

- Assumes phase 2 is tendered by completion of phase 1, no additional maintenance costs or benefits from phase 2, completion of phase 1 by December 2019.
- My own calculations based on report numbers

## Issues with Projected Benefits (1)

- According to AECOM study, vehicle kilometers traveled (VKT) reduction at peak hour amounts to 2,649 VKT, with 26,490 VKT saved each day
- Extension within ~1km of existing route, benefits per driver within 0-2km depending on route
- 13,245 26,490 vehicles @ 26,490 VKT higher than projected AADT

## in a Issues with Projected Benefits (2)

- Does not include truck traffic in simulation, only adds estimate to total
- Increased distance to New Sudbury Center, Riocan Center, new commercial construction on Kingsway for most users.

# General ssues

- Growth outward puts more strain on city resources
- Could promote a population shift towards lower tax rate areas
- Unlikely to see population growth beyond 1970s high, given current economic conditions
- Money could be better focused to help Sudburians during hard times (development vs. growth)

Ouestio	

• Thank you for your time.