Capital Investment Prioritization

Allocating the 2020 Capital Levy



We started with Asset Management Principles

Will a closed of the

THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

TITLE:

s to provide riscal control and accountability related to pital Outlook The Minininal Ant resources includes the

3 fiscal control and accountability related to

Capital Budget Policy

4, 2001; Revised May 11,2012.

Revised December 6, 2018

Appendix A

DEPARTMENT: Finance

CONTEXT AND NEED FOR A POLICY

Asset management planning is the process of making the best possible decisions areaardina the acquisition, operatina, maintainina, renewina, replacing and dispos Asset management planning is the process of making the best possible decisions infrastructure assets and is regarded as best practice for long-term financial planning.

regarding the acquisition, operating, maintaining, renewing, replacing and is regarded as best practice for long-term financial planning of the City of Greater Sudbury Enterprise Asset Management Policy is infrastructure assets and is regarded as best practice for long-term financial planming brinciples which farget a coordinated and consistent The objective of the City of Greater Sudbury Enterprise Asset Management approach for all asset classes in accordinated and consistent of all asset classes in accordinated and consistent of the city of all asset classes in accordinated and consistent of the city of all asset classes in accordinated and consistent of the city of all asset classes in accordance with O. Reg. 388/12. long-term sustainability through principles which target a coordinated and consistent Asset Management Planning for Municipal Intrastructure.

The City of Greater Sudbury (the City) strives to ensure a high quality of life is provided services including water and wastewater The City of Greater Sudbury (the City) strives to ensure a high quality of life is provided services. storm water management. intricate transportation networks. Dublic transit. to the public through municipally funded services including water and waster management, intricate transportation metworks and wasterwater and recreation. Cultural services and solid waste ^{Services,} storm water management, intricate transportation networks, public transportation networks, public transportation networks, public transportation solid waste

e purpose of this policy is to prov

Enterprise Asset Management Policy

the preparation and monit

Many of the assets belonging to the City have long-term lifecycles sage Many or the assets belonging to the City have long-term lifecycle

Long-ferm lifecycles require operational mainter activities to ensure the established levels

nanagement strate and implem

SECTION: All Sections APPROVED BY: Executive Leadership Team

- Minimize cost of ownership over the full asset life-cycle/
- Meet legislative and customer expectations
- Reduce maintenance costs
- Manage risk of asset failure
- Shrink our asset "footprint"
- Minimize impact on the natural environment
- Protect public and employee health and safety

We defined service levels

- Services and service levels place demands upon assets:
 - Number and style of trucks for standard winter control service level
 - Building condition and features required by housing clients
 - Number and type of apparatus required to meet emergency response service levels
 - Size and condition of linear infrastructure to meet drinking water quality guidelines and distribution requirements
 - Meeting various legislated requirements like accessibility, health and safety, building code, designated substances etc.



We're collecting volumes of asset information

- Ontario Reg. 588-17
- Building Condition Assessments
- Bridge Condition Index from Structural Inspections
- Storm and Sanitary CCTV inspections
- Roads pavement condition index
- Potential for Safety Improvements
- Hours, mileage, estimated economic useful life for Fleet
- Assessments of outdoor playing surfaces and structures



We created a single tool to prioritize

- Strategic Priority 26%
- Leveraging Funding 12%
- Risk Management 44%
- Asset Renewal / Restoration
- 18%



| | Project Name | | | Tub Lift Replacements | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Department | Community De | evelopment | Asset Class | Equipment | Pr | epared By | ł | | | | | |
| | Special Proje | ct Type | | Asset at or B | eyond End of Life | | | | | | | | |
| | Special Proje | cts are those that | are studies, i | new assets (n | ot replacements), ass | ets at, or beyond the | ir useful life | | | | | | |
| | Project Des | cription/Scope f | for Budget I | Document | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | t objectives and projec | t limitations includin | ig items that a | are out of sc | | | | | |
| | | takeholders that v | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | tee in their review of th | - | | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | ial, Preliminary Design | , Detailed Design, F | easibility, Pre | e-Feasibility | | | | | |
| | | re there that is pro | | | | act at the forecasted | loost | | | | | | |
| Project Nan | Project Name Distas | | | | Lin your assessment of success of this project at the forecasted cost aster Mitigation and Adaptation Fund | | | | | | | | |
| Department | | ICP | Asset Class | Roads | Infrastructure | Prepared By | | P Javor | | | | | |
| Special Proje | ect Type | | Asset at or E | Beyond End of | f Life | | | | | | | | |
| Special Proje | cts are those t | that are studies, r | new assets (| not replacem | ents), assets at, or be | yond their useful life | e | | | | | | |
| Project Des | cription/Sco | pe for Budget I | Document | | | | | | | | | | |
| What will this | s project acco | mplish? Clearly | identify proje | ct objectives a | and project limitations | including items that | at are out of s | cope and w | | | | | |
| Identify the s | stakeholders ti | hat will be affecte | d by this proj | ect, if require | t | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | eview of the scoring | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | ary Design, Detailed D | esign, Feasibility, f | Pre-Feasibilit | у | | | | | |
| What risks are there that is project will not be completed as described | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Please provide the confidence level in your assessment of success of this project at the forecasted cost. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| First provide a project description/scope that would be acceptable in the budget document. The City was successful in obtaining external funding in a joint application with Conservation Sudbury to the Disaster N | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | nada. This program prov | | | | | | | | |
| | | | · · · | | re Capital Budget include | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | • | eet north to the CN track | | | | | | | | |
| | | on the Nickeldale | e branch of Ju | nction Creek. B | oth of these projects wil | I help to improve flood | l resiliency in th | ne Flourmill ar | | | | | |
| | | * | | - | onmental condition. The (| | - | | | | | | |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | | | | | including detailed design, | approvals and a port | ion of construc | ction. We are | | | | | |
| BUDGET DOC | | approval of the r | remaining need | is to see the DI | IAF program to its end. | | | | | | | | |
| 500011000 | UNLINI | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Each year we create a capital plan and outlook

• Recommended plan 5-year outlook

500 2.500

| | | Capital Project Cost | | | | | Total Recommended Funding | | | | | | | |
|------|---|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|---------------------------|--------|---------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Page | Capital Project | Total Project | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | Beyond | Total Funding | Annual Contribution to Capital | Capital Reserves | Obligatory Reserves | Development Charges | Federal Grant |
| | GROWTH & INFRASTRUCTURE | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | INFRASTRUCTURE CAPITAL PLANNING | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ROADS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 397 | Annual Recurring Road Programs & Projects | 5,075 | 5,075 | 0.6% | | | | | 5,075 | 4,475 | | | | |
| 398 | Arterial/Collector Roads Rehabilitation & Resurfacing | 23,450 | 14,450 | 9,000 | | | | - | 23,450 | 5,235 | - | | | 18,215 |
| 399 | Arterial/Collector Roads Rehabilitation & Resurfacing | 35,315 | 10,265 | 24,050 | 1,000 | | | | 35,315 | 14,534 | | - | | 1,466 |
| 400 | Bridges & Culverts Replacement & Rehabilitation | 15,600 | 12,000 | 1,800 | 1,800 | | | | 15,600 | 2,614 | | | | |
| 401 | Bridges & Culverts Replacement & Rehabilitation | 17,650 | 7,000 | 2,800 | 7,850 | | | | 17,650 | 464 | | 20 | | |
| 402 | Capital Project Delivery Resources | 717 | 234 | 239 | 244 | | | | 717 | 717 | | - | | |
| 402 | Complete Streets Design Guidelines | 50 | 50 | | | | | | 50 | 50 | | - | | |
| 403 | Cycling Infrastructure | 4,500 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 4,500 | 4,500 | | | | |
| 403 | GIS Database & As-built Drawing Updates | 773 | 233 | 238 | 244 | 58 | | | 773 | 773 | | | | |
| 404 | Lively Sewer Upgrades - Phase 2 | 8,400 | 3,400 | 1,000 | 4,000 | | | | 8,400 | 5,000 | | | | |
| 405 | Local Roads Rehabilitation & Resurfacing | 5,270 | 5,270 | | | | | | 5,270 | | | | | |
| 405 | Lorne Street (MR55) | 10,616 | 559 | 559 | 559 | 559 | 559 | 7,823 | 10,616 | 10,616 | | | | |
| 406 | Maley Drive Extension | 5,322 | 2,285 | 2,285 | 753 | | - | | 5,322 | 5,322 | | | | |
| 406 | MR35 | 46,404 | 1,934 | 1,934 | 1,934 | 1,934 | 1,934 | 36,737 | 46,404 | 46,404 | | | | |
| 407 | New Sidewalks | 3,600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 3,600 | 3,600 | | | | |
| 407 | Road & Water/Wastewater Improvements | 3,780 | 450 | 3,330 | • | | | | 3,780 | 1,820 | | | | |
| 408 | Road & Water/Wastewater Improvements | 8,690 | 3,340 | 5,350 | | | | | 8,690 | 4,094 | | | | |
| 409 | Sidewalks - Existing Repairs | 400 | 400 | - | | | | | 400 | 400 | | 2 | | 2 |
| 409 | Subdivision Surface Asphalt | 5,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | | 5,000 | 750 | | 4,250 | | |
| 410 | Surface Treatment | 5,000 | 5,000 | | | | | | 5,000 | 1,275 | | | | |
| 411 | Traffic Signal System Renewal | 3,902 | 57 | 1,233 | 984 | 913 | 715 | | 3,902 | 1,054 | | | | 1,951 |
| 412 | Transportation Demand Management | 300 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 300 | 300 | | | | |
| | TOTAL - ROADS | 209,815 | 74,402 | 56,217 | 21,767 | 5,863 | 5,607 | 45,959 | 209,815 | 113,998 | | 4,250 | | 21,632 |
| | DRAINS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 412 | Countryside Drainage Improvements - Phase 2 | 1.200 | 1.200 | | | | | | 1,200 | 771 | | 429 | | |

| 021-2024 Capital Outlook | | | | in thousands |
|--|--------|-----------|---------|--------------|
| | | Capital O | utlook | |
| Capital Project | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| ROWTH & INFRASTRUCTURE | | | | |
| INFRASTRUCTURE CAPITAL PLANNING | | | | |
| ROADS | | | | |
| Annual Recurring Road Programs & Projects | 6.000 | 5,200 | 5,900 | 5,200 |
| Arterial/Collector Roads Rehabilitation & Resurfacing | 1,150 | 29,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| Asphalt Patching Machine | 400 | - | | - |
| Bridges & Culverts Replacement & Rehabilitation | 11,500 | 10,200 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Depot Master Plan - Black Lake Depot Salt Dome - Note 1 | 2,310 | 4,620 | - | - |
| Depot Master Plan - Depot & Public Works Administrative Upgrades | - | - | | 1,500 |
| Depot Master Plan - Frobisher Administration Depot | 12 | | 9,470 | 9,470 |
| Depot Master Plan - Frobisher Depot Salt Dome | 2,680 | 5,360 | | |
| Depot Master Plan - Frobisher Works Facility | - | - | - | 9,825 |
| Depot Master Plan - St Clair Depot Facility | - | - | - | 2,875 |
| Depot Master Plan - St Clair Depot Salt Dome | 3,387 | 6.773 | - | - |
| Depot Master Plan - Suez Depot Facility | - | - | 2,505 | 2,505 |
| Depot Master Plan - Suez Salt Dome | 2,170 | 4.340 | - | 2,000 |
| Depot Master Plan - Vehicle Storage Facility | - | - | | 3,730 |
| Depot Master Plan - Waste Management Vehicle Storage Facility | | | | 515 |
| Depot Master Plan - Whitefish Depot Salt Dome | 707 | 1,413 | - | - |
| East West Corridor Design (includes Four Corners/Ramsey Lake/Alternate Access) | - | | | 2,000 |
| East West Corridor EA (includes Four Corners/Ramsey Lake/Alternate Access) | 1 | 150 | 350 | 2,000 |
| Existing Sidewalk Repairs | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| Four Corners Improvements | | | | 21,000 |
| John Street Valley East Easterly Extension | 10 | | | 2,185 |
| Kingsway Realignment - EA and Design | - | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Kingsway Realignment - Construction (2024-2028) | | - | | 6,000 |
| Local Roads Rehabilitation & Resurfacing | 5,210 | 5,000 | 5.000 | 5,000 |
| Lorne Street - Note 2 | 8,300 | 5,800 | 9,200 | 9,200 |
| Maley Drive Extension - Phase 2 (2023-2028) | - | - | 11,667 | 11,667 |
| New Traffic Lights | | | - | 4,030 |
| New Traffic Signals - Regent & Douglas | | | | 180 |
| North South Arterial Road EA Study (Notre Dame and Barry Downe) | | | | 500 |
| Notre Dame Widening - Lasalle to Kathleen | | | | 15,450 |
| Road with Water/Wastewater Improvements | 2.050 | 9,100 | 10,000 | 10,400 |
| Surface Treatment | 5.000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Transportation Master Plan Update | 3,000 | 3,000 | 250 | 5,000 |
| Total - ROADS | 51,263 | 92,857 | 105,242 | 173,73 |
| DRAINS | 01,203 | 92,007 | 105,242 | 175,75, |
| Stormwater Management Regreening | 125 | 125 | 150 | 150 |
| Stormwater Management Regreening St. Agnes Street Stormwater Quality Facility | 120 | 1,500 | 150 | 150 |
| Ellen Street at Laurie Street West Stormwater Quality facility | - | 1,000 | 150 | 2.000 |
| Lich of etce at each of etce o | | | 150 | 2,000 |

We have a thoughtful, objective method to prioritize any new funding

- Allowed for the design of several potential scenarios for investment of the 1.5% Capital Levy:
- 1. Transformational Renewal
- 2. Community Priorities
- 3. Asset Renewal / Restoration
- 4. Strategic Priorities
- 5. Third Party Funding Priorities
- 6. Roads Priorities



Recommendation

- Approve the use of the \$4.1 million capital levy to debt finance \$80 million of asset investment
- Scenario 1 Transformation (Pioneer Manor Bed Redevelopment and Lorne St. MR 55)

