

# **For Information Only**

# 2011 Committed Capital Year End Position and Capital Budget Variance

Presented To:	Audit Committee
Presented:	Tuesday, Jun 19, 2012
Report Date	Thursday, Jun 07, 2012
Type:	Correspondence for Information Only

# **Recommendation**

For information only.

### **Background**

Council has adopted a pay as you go capital financing strategy directly funding capital projects through the operating budget each year.

The capital fund balance represents the Council approved capital funding for capital projects that is unspent at the end of the year. The committed and unspent funding is for capital projects that have not commenced and/or is in progress. The majority of the projects are underway and funding will be spent in 2012 or shortly thereafter.

# Signed By

### **Report Prepared By**

Apryl Lukezic Co-ordinator of Capital Digitally Signed Jun 7, 12

### **Recommended by the Department**

Lorella Hayes Chief Financial Offic

Chief Financial Officer/Treasurer Digitally Signed Jun 7, 12

### Recommended by the C.A.O.

Doug Nadorozny Chief Administrative Officer Digitally Signed Jun 12, 12

### Year End Balance

The 2011 year-end balance in the Capital Fund was \$58.8 million, an increase of \$4.5 million from the 2010 closing balance.

Appendix A is a summary which shows the breakdown of the Fund, by major category as at December 31, 2011, with comparative figures for 2010. Also attached in Appendix B are explanatory notes which include a brief description of the approved capital projects underway at the end of 2011.

The capital fund balance of \$58.8 million is net of the approved internally debt financed expenditures of \$17.2 million that will be funded in future years. These are capital projects approved by Council to be funded by future year's capital envelopes, development charges and Section 391 charges. The projects include: Levack Water Supply, South End Rock Tunnel, Kingsway water project, Countryside Arena, South Branch Library, 1160 Lorne Street and the Lionel E. Lalonde Centre.

### **Closed Capital Projects**

Also included in this report is the capital project budget variances. Appendix C is a summary of all

completed capital projects over \$200,000 from the period of November 2011 to March 2012.

The Capital Budget Policy was followed and has given authority to staff to reallocate funds between capital projects.

# CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY Committed Capital as at December 31, 2011

			2011		2010
		Committed Capital	Internal Debt Financing (Note 1)	Net Capital	Net Capital
	Executive and Legislative				
(1)	Healthy Community Initiatives	512,835	0	512,835	450,132
(2)	Administrative Services				
` '	Administration	1,156,027	0	1,156,027	1,070,789
	Information Technology	980,620	0	980,620	1,303,178
	ERP Projects	1,944,059	0	1,944,059	1,548,523
	Subtotal-Administrative Services	4,080,706	0	4,080,706	3,922,490
(3)	Human Resources & Organizational Development				
(0)	Human Resources & Org Dev	74,850	0	74,850	118,657
(4)	Growth & Development				
	Planning and Development	189,988	0	189,988	408,781
	Economic Development	2,008	0	2,008	37,519
	Facilities (excl. Community Development)	1,435,301	0	1,435,301	455,934
	Solid Waste	3,330,432	0	3,330,432	3,890,522
	Parking	406,954	0	406,954	408,324
	Subtotal-Growth & Development	5,364,683	0	5,364,683	5,201,080
(5)	Community Development				
	Health & Social Services	205,969	(604,384)	(398,415)	(279,545)
	Healthy Community	20,235	0	20,235	72,788
	Leisure Services	1,345,399	(4,612,556)	(3,267,157)	4,292,372
	Leisure Services - Facilities	1,315,785	0	1,315,785	661,381
	Library Services	131,762	(991,712)	(859,950)	333,015
	Cemetary Services	64,204	0	64,204	241,280
	Subtotal-Community Development	3,083,354	(6,208,652)	(3,125,298)	5,321,291
(6)	Infrastructure Services				
	Water	16,288,380	(3,404,962)	12,883,418	12,675,184
	Wastewater	21,049,910	(3,264,795)	17,785,115	19,172,467
	Roadways	19,185,630	0	19,185,630	6,660,808
	Fleet	435,280	0	435,280	1,113,831
	Transit	- 3,181,705	(903,108)	(903,108) 3,181,705	(2,151,656)
	Drains and stormwater management Subtotal-Infrastructure Services	60,140,905	(7, <b>572,865</b> )	52,568,040	2,509,678 <b>39,980,312</b>
	Subtotal-Illiastructure Services	00,140,303	(1,312,000)	32,300,040	03,300,012
(7)	Emergency Services				
	Emergency Medical Services	1,311,717	0	1,311,717	1,383,831
	Emergency Planning	113,273	(3,380,021)	(3,266,748)	(3,567,777)
	Fire Services	737,418	0	737,418	(214,818)
	Subtotal-Emergency Services	2,162,408	(3,380,021)	(1,217,613)	(2,398,764)
(8)	Police Services	595,486	0	595,486	2,054,498
	TOTAL - COMMITTED CAPITAL	76,015,227	(17,161,538)	58,853,689	54,434,878

**Note 1** - Internal Debt Financing represents capital projects approved by Council to be funded from future years' contribution. The annual debt repayments for this internal debt financing will be funded through operating budgets, future capital envelopes, development charges collected, and/or Section 391 Charges collected.

# (1) Healthy Community Initiatives (HCI) Fund

Remaining balance is to be spent in future years in support of the corporate goal of creating a Healthy Community as approved by Council on February 23, 2011. These funds are distributed at the discretion of each Councillor for their respective ward, to one specific project or to facilitate a variety of smaller projects in support of the Healthy Community Initiative's four strategic priorities. The unspent funds are reported quarterly to Council with the year-end report presented on May 1, 2012.

# (2) Administration Services

### Administration

Projects outstanding include a records management system, mailroom equipment, furniture upgrades, telephone system upgrades, and print shop equipment.

# Information Technology

The main projects outstanding in this section are Microsoft office conversion, document management, application system upgrades, E-messaging and collaboration and some Hardware and Software costs.

## ERP Projects

Funds have been approved for the implementation of ERP applications such as Peoplesoft Finance upgrade, new MMMS system, commitment control and other ERP projects. In accordance with the ERP roadmap, it is anticipated that the balance will be largely spent during 2012 to 2015.

# (3) Human Resources

This balance relates to ergonomic assessment equipment.

# (4) Growth and Development

### Planning and Development

The balance includes funding designated for the Official Plan.

### Economic Development

The balance represents funds for the development of a Tourism Reservation system and will be spent in 2012.

### **Facilities**

The balance is for various roofing, interior renovation and building shell projects that were not completed prior to year-end including Tom Davies Square, LEL Centre and several public works depots.

### Appendix B – Explanatory Notes – Committed Capital – December 31, 2011

### Solid Waste

A number of projects remain in progress including Azilda Landfill Site road and stormwater pond, hauled sewage site closure, Sudbury Landfill Site various projects including recycling site and the solid waste management facility at Frobisher.

# **Parking**

The available funding is for completion of outstanding projects including the gate arm / cash system for the Tom Davies Square parking garage, replacement of pay and display machines and signage.

# (5) <u>Community Development</u>

### Health and Social Services

There is a balance of \$600,000 to be financed from the 2012 capital envelope for the shipping and receiving area project. There are various other Pioneer Manor projects to be completed.

# Health Community

The balance is for leasehold improvements for the Chelmsford Family Health Team.

### Leisure Services

There is \$4.6 M in internally debt financed expenditures as approved by Council to be funded in future years for the construction of the second ice pad at Countryside Arena. A number of capital projects have been tendered and will be completed in 2012. Projects to be completed include: purchase of parks equipment, Minnow Lake dog park, Eyre playground, McClelland Arena parking lot/main entrance along with general playground and parks upgrades.

### Leisure Services - Facilities

The majority of the capital projects have been tendered in 2011 and will be completed in 2012. Projects carried over into 2012 include: various arena health and safety retrofits, playground building roofing projects along with the balance of arena heating, ventilation and dehumidification projects.

### Library Services

There is \$1 M in internally debt financed expenditures as approved by Council to be funded in future years for the construction of the South End Library. The balance represents funds relating to the Archives building and the library automated system.

# Cemetery Services

The balance represents unexpended funds for data management equipment.

# (6) Infrastructure

### Water

There are internally debt financed expenditures as approved by Council that will be funded in future years in the amount of \$3.4 M for the Levack Water System. There are a number of multi-year projects which have been budgeted for and funded such as numerous watermain replacements for Robinson Drive, Sellwood Street, Bancroft Drive and Levesque Street, well upgrades and inspections, mandated operating manuals, SCADA and safety/security upgrades at facilities and tank inspections. Also, there are upgrades in progress at the Wanapitei and David Street water treatment plants. In addition, there are multi-phase projects such as the Valley East Water Supply Systems (Looping and Storage), Val Caron Booster and New Valley Wells. These projects will be completed over the next few years as staffing resources are available.

### Wastewater

There are internally debt financed expenditures as approved by Council of \$1.8M for the Kingsway Wastewater and Water that is to be recovered from Section 391 charges. In addition, there was \$1.475M of projects internally debt financed expenditures for the South End Rock Tunnel as approved by Council that will be funded from the 2012 capital envelopes. There are a number of ongoing projects such as the Biosolids project, Gatchell Outfall Sewer, numerous sewer replacements and rehabilitations such as Charette Street, mandated operating manuals, upgrades at wastewater facilities including Sudbury, Chelmsford, Valley East, Walden, Azilda and Lively Wastewater Treatment Plants as well as the St. Charles Street, Jacob Street and O'Neil Drive lift stations. In addition, an ongoing project is the W / WW master plan. These projects will be completed over the next few years as staffing resources are available.

### Roadways

Balance includes outstanding roads projects such as LaSalle and Notre Dame intersection improvements, Maley Drive extension, Bancroft Drive, Champlain Street, Winchester Drive, Levesque Street as well as various property acquisitions in relation to road construction projects. In addition, there are several outstanding bridge projects budgeted in 2011 that have not commenced during 2011 due to shortage of staffing resources including Balsam St Bridge, MR 15 Bridge, Bowlands Bay Bridge, Government Road Bridge, William Avenue Bridge, and Little Panache Lake Narrows Bridge.

### **Fleet**

Starting in 2011, funds are not transferred from reserve funds until purchases are incurred. Therefore, there are no unspent approved funds in capital for fleet in 2011. The balance represents unspent approved funds from prior to 2011 capital budget year for equipment/vehicle purchases. Since year end, a portion of this balance has been identified as surplus and will be transferred back to the reserve during 2012. The reason for the surplus is better tender pricing than budgeted.

### Appendix B – Explanatory Notes – Committed Capital – December 31, 2011

### Transit

Balance represents unfinanced expenditures as approved by Council that will be funded in future years for the purchase and renovations for the new Transit/Fleet maintenance facility located at 1160 Lorne St.

# Municipal and Agricultural Drains and Storm Water Management

The balance outstanding is for various storm and trunk sewer projects including the East Branch Junction Creek, Horizon Stormwater Facility, Mountain Street storm outlet and Capreol storm drainage improvements. Also outstanding are various municipal and agricultural drains as the construction spans a number of years, from the initial planning stage to the completion and billing stage. Active projects at the end of 2011 were the Joanette, Val Caron, Lavallee, Horizon and Whitson Paquette drains.

# (7) <u>Emergency Services</u>

### Emergency Medical Services (EMS)

The available funding in EMS is primarily for station development, penless technology and various equipment and supplies. It is anticipated that the balance of the funds will be spent during 2012, while funding for station development will be spent within the next few years.

# Emergency Planning

There are unfinanced expenditures of \$3.4 M for the Lionel E Lalonde Centre which will be recovered through capital envelope commitments until 2021. The remaining balance is for public washrooms at LELC, site improvements and equipment upgrades.

### **Fire Services**

The available balance is for the purchase of a pumper, small fleet vehicles and other fire equipment.

# (8) Police Services

The capital balance relates to renovation costs and police equipment and supplies.

# Appendix C - Completed Capital Projects over \$200,000 between November 2011 and March 2012

Completed between November 2011 and March 2012 Completed Capital Projects over \$200,000 City of Greater Sudbury

Note - For all projects below any under (over) expenditure has been funded from other completed (underspent) projects or from contingencies. Only variances greater than \$50,000 have been explained below.

	***************************************	a citation of the citation of	Year	Total Original	† 0		• •
DIVISION	Department	Project Description	orarien	lagnng	rinai Cost	variance	Notes
Community Development	Leisure	ISF Grace Hartman Amphitheatre	2010				
		2010 Capital Budget		1,666,667			
		ISF Funding - Provincial		1,665,000			
		ISF Funding - Federal		1,665,000			
		NOHFC Grant	!	835,000			
			I	5,831,667	6,178,713	(347,046) <b>Note 1</b>	Note 1
		RINC James Jerome Sports Complex	2010				
		2010 Capital Budget		1,000,000			
		2008 Capital Budget - Accessibility		80,000			
		RINC Funding - Provincial		000'066			
		RINC Funding - Federal		000'066			
		NOHFC Grant	!	525,598			
			ı	3,585,598	3,571,731	13,867	
	Citizen Services	Archive Projects	2010				
		2009 Capital Budget		153,192			
		2011 Capital Budget	!	143,858			
			I	297,050	305,890	(8,840)	
	Senior Services	Pioneer Manor - Shipping & Receiving Council Report (April 14, 2010)	2010				
		- Municipal Infrastructure Investment Initiative	ative	500,000			
		- Reserve Fund		150,000			
		2011 Budget	I	340,000			
				000'066	1,005,304	(15,304)	

Appendix C - Completed Capital Projects over \$200,000 between November 2011 and March 2012

Division	Department	Project Description	Year Started	Total Original Budget	Final Cost	Variance	Notes
Community Development	Senior Services	Pioneer Manor - York Wing Interm Beds Council Report (Sept 15, 2010) LHIN Funding	2010	888,000			
			•	958,000	894,258	63,742	Note 2
Emergency Services	EMS	<b>Ambulance Type III</b> 2011 Capital Budget	2011	426,240	394,633	31,607	
Infrastructure Services	Roads	Downing / Picard 2010 Capital Budget 2011 Capital Budget	2010	90,000			
				170,000	266,074	(96,074)	Note 3
		<b>Radar Road</b> 2011 Capital Budget	2011	1,500,000	2,316,549	(816,549) <b>Note 4</b>	Note 4
		<b>Sellwood</b> 2011 Capital Budget	2011	200,000	246,234	(46,234)	
		Regent St (Armstrong to Loach's Road) 2011 Capital Budget	2011	1,650,000	1,996,108	(346,108)	Note 4
		<b>MR 8</b> 2011 Capital Budget	2011	2,900,000	1,715,009	1,184,991	Note 4
		Panache Lake Road 2010 Capital Budget	2010	1,000,000	992,135	7,865	
		<b>Crack Sealing</b> 2011 Capital Budget	2011	200,000	188,737	11,263	
		Tributary 8A Drain 2006 Capital Budget 2007 Capital Budget 2008 Capital Budget 2009 Capital Budget 2010 Capital Budget	2007	425,000 200,000 500,000 200,000 105,000 1,430,000	1,408,073	21,927	

Appendix C - Completed Capital Projects over \$200,000 between November 2011 and March 2012

			Year	Total Original			
Division	Department	Project Description	Started	Budget	Final Cost	Variance	Notes
Infrastructure Services	Roads	Pilon Municipal Drain	2009				
		Council Report (July 27, 2009)		271,325	260,585	10,740	
	Water	Ramsey Lake Watermain Looping	2011				
		2009 Capital Budget		850,000			
		2011 Capital Budget	I	100,000			
				950,000	631,108	318,892	Note 5
		Maley Drive Watermain	2011				
		2011 Capital Budget		325,000	489,317	(164,317) <b>Note 6</b>	Note 6
		Dicard / Downing Watermain	2011				
		2010 Capital Budget	1 0 7	145,000			
		2011 Capital Budget	!	155,000			
				300,000	243,846	56,154	Note 7
		Ash Street Water Tank Demolition	2011				
		2009 Capital Budget		250,000	208,082	41,918	
	Wastewater	Picard / Downing	2011				
		2010 Capital Budget		35,000			
		2011 Capital Budget	ļ	285,000			
				320,000	124,130	195,870	Note 8
		Sudbury WWTP - Aeration Tank, Pumps					
		and Lift Station Upgrades	2010				
		2008 Capital Budget		1,045,782			
		2009 Capital Budget		750,000			
		2010 Capital Budget		2,000,000			
		Approval from August 11, 2010 Council Report	ort	2,499,302			
			•	6,295,084	6,336,520	(41,436)	
		Walden WWTP - Clarifier #1 Upgrades	2008				
		2007 Capital Budget 2011 Canital Budget		70,000			
			Ī	620,000	644,927	(24,927)	

# Appendix C - Completed Capital Projects over \$200,000 between November 2011 and March 2012

Division		Department	Project Description	Year Started	Total Original Budget	Final Cost	Variance	Notes
_	Notes:	All transfers have be For all projects abo contingencies. Onl	All transfers have been completed in accordance with the Capital Policy. For all projects above any under (over) expenditure has been funded from other completed (underspent) projects or from contingencies. Only variances greater than \$50,000 have been explained below.	Policy. ded from othe cplained below	r completed (und	erspent) projec	ts or from	
	1	Actual costs were higher Amphitheatre included: system. These change or expenditure was funded parks capital as well as a	Actual costs were higher than budgeted due to the challenges experienced during the construction of the Grace Hartman Amphitheatre included: unforeseen geotechnical issues, infrastructure requirements and the cost for the performance system. These change orders resulted in additional costs for design and consultation from the architect. The overexpenditure was funded from approved budgets for Bell Park - Contract Costs, Bell Park - Bike Path, contingency general parks capital as well as a grant from Greater Sudbury Utilities.	perienced duri ucture requirei gn and consult ontract Costs, E	ng the constructic ments and the costation from the ar- sell Park - Bike Pal	on of the Grace st for the perfo chitect. The ov th, contingency	Hartman rmance rer- r general	
	7	Actual costs were low Capital Budget Policy.	Actual costs were lower than budgeted due to favourable pricing. The surplus of funds will be used in accordance with the Capital Budget Policy.	. The surplus c	of funds will be us	ed in accordan	ce with the	
	m	Actual costs were hanticipated during material. The over-	Actual costs were higher than budgeted due to poor soils in the road base encountered during construction that were not anticipated during design. Additional cost was required to over excavate poor soils and replace with suitable granular material. The over-expenditure was funded from the surplus for the Roy Avenue project as well as Roads Contingency.	oad base enco xcavate poor s the Roy Avenu	untered during cc oils and replace v e project as well a	onstruction thal vith suitable gra งร Roads Contir	t were not anular ngency.	
	4	The tenders for the favourable pricing asphalt and granuls contract to spend vunder-expenditure the Purchasing By-l	The tenders for these three contracts (Radar Road, Regent Street from Armstrong to Loach's Road, and MR 8) received favourable pricing due to the current economic climate regarding commodity pricing which resulted in lower prices for asphalt and granulars that were unexpected. As these roads had additional needs, staff extended the limits in each contract to spend within the total budget allocation for the three combined projects. These three capital projects had a net under-expenditure of approximately \$22,000 as of March 2012. The extension of the contract limits is in compliance with the Purchasing By-Law and the Capital Policy at that time.	from Armstro 5 commodity pu additional nee combined pro The extension	ng to Loach's Roaricing which resulicing staff extender jects. These three of the contract lin	d, and MR 8) re ted in lower pri d the limits in e e capital projec nits is in compli	sceived ices for ach :ts had a net iance with	
	ហ	This project was av tender price to the what was anticipat be used in accorda	This project was awarded to the lowest tender at \$767,000, including a \$150,000 contingency allowance. The variance in tender price to the original budget price was due to favourable unit prices. Field conditions did not vary signficantly from what was anticipated during detailed design, so the majority of the contingency allowance was not utilized. The surplus will be used in accordance with the Capital Budget Policy.	ding a \$150,00 nit prices. Fiek ne contingency	10 contingency allı d conditions did n allowance was nı	owance. The vary signfica ot utilized. The	ariance in intly from s surplus will	
	9	Actual costs were higher accurate estimates were alternative had to be fou	Actual costs were higher than budgeted amount because the budgeted amount was based on a conceptual design and accurate estimates were not available at the time. Unexpected ground conditions also caused additional expense as an alternative had to be found. The over-expenditure was funded from 2011 Watermain Rehab account.	lgeted amount round conditio om 2011 Wate	was based on a cons and also cansed act	conceptual desi Iditional expens	gn and se as an	
	2	The original capital budge detailed design estimate. some of the contingency	The original capital budget of \$300,000 was based on a conceptual design whereas the tender price was comparable to the detailed design estimate. As field conditions did not vary significantly from what was anticipated during detailed design, some of the contingency was not utilized. The surplus will be used in accordance with the Capital Budget Policy.	al design wher antly from wha ed in accordanc	eas the tender pri It was anticipated e with the Capita	ice was compar during detailec I Budget Policy.	rable to the d design,	
	<b>∞</b>	The original capital budge detailed design estimate.	The original capital budget of \$285,000 was based on a conceptual design whereas the tender price was comparable to the detailed design estimate. As field conditions did not vary significantly from what was anticipated during detailed design,	al design wher antly from wha	eas the tender pri It was anticipated	ice was compar during detailec	rable to the d design,	

some of the contingency allowance was not utilized. The surplus will be used in accordance with the Capital Budget Policy.