

2010 Supplementary Taxation Revenue and 2011 Assessment Growth

Presented To:	Finance Committee
Presented:	Monday, Feb 28, 2011
Report Date	Thursday, Feb 24, 2011
Туре:	Presentations

Recommendation

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

Background

The purpose of this report is to identify the reasons for the unprecendented supplementary taxation revenue that resulted from the increased taxable assessment being captured on the assessment rolls.

In the September 2010 Cost Centre Variance Report, it was reported that supplementary taxation revenues would

Signed By

Report Prepared By Ed Stankiewicz Manager of Financial Planning & Policy *Digitally Signed Feb 24, 11*

Recommended by the Department Lorella Hayes Chief Financial Officer/City Treasurer *Digitally Signed Feb 24, 11*

Recommended by the C.A.O. Doug Nadorozny Chief Administrative Officer *Digitally Signed Feb 24, 11*

exceed budget by approximately \$4.5 million. Based on updated 2010 year end projections, which are now inclusive of the fourth supplementary tax run, this supplementary taxation will provide additional revenue over budget in excess of \$5 million.

There are three main reasons, as follows, for this generation of taxation revenue from the supplementary tax rolls:

1. The City has seen a tremendous amount of new construction in the last few

years in all sectors. Residential construction has remained strong over this period. New major commercial projects such as Wal-Mart and Lowes have lead their sector in continued growth. As well, the industrial expansion which includes Xstrata's Nickel Rim project, Podolski and Totten mine sites, has generated growth in this tax class.

2. Finance staff are reviewing building permits and occupancies in conjunction with Building Services staff to ensure completed projects are added to the supplementary tax roll in a timely basis. Finance liaising with MPAC has proven to be beneficial to the City as specific properties are discussed between the two parties, which has led to a faster turnaround time for application to the rolls.

3. MPAC's ability to address the backlog of properties has resulted in the larger than normal supplementary taxation runs. They had implemented a number of key performance initiatives that have resulted in properties added to the taxation rolls faster. In addition, MPAC has delivered a fourth supplementary taxation roll as a result of the heavier than normal construction activity.

The following chart reflects the tax revenues generated from finalized construction in 2008, 2009 and 2010 that are now being added to the assessment rolls.

	Residential (Municipal Only)		Non-Residential (Municipal Only)		Total (Municipal Only)	
2008	\$	216,152.91	\$	331,466.37		\$ 547,619.28
2009		967,975.11		1,197,439.21		2,165,414.32
2010		2,055,525.27		3,105,171.82		5,160,569.09
	\$	3,239,525.29	\$	4,634,077.40		\$ 7,873,602.69

Impact of 2011 Budget (Assessment Growth)

In addition to generating additional supplementary tax revenue in 2010, the measures previously mentioned have also created a larger tax base as reflected in the 2011 assessment growth figure of 2.4%.

Attached is the spreadsheet which reflects the growth by tax class relating to new

construction. As a result of previous tax policy decisions, 2011 tax class ratios had to be reduced in accordance with legislation, therefore reflecting a lower increase in weighted assessment. If the ratios were able to be left at 2010 values, the City's assessment growth would have reflected a 2.8% increase.

Through the development of 2011 property tax policy with the implementation of revenue neutral tax ratios for the Commercial and Industrial classes, some additional tax burden may be transferred away from the Residential class to the non residential classes. The development of property tax policy will be undertaken from February to April of 2011.

The adoption of property tax policy decisions and the affects of area rating will impact the final property tax rates for all properties.

Summary

The City has realized larger than normal supplementary taxation in 2010 due to the efforts of City and MPAC staff and the continued strong economy in Sudbury. This has also translated into significant assessment growth of 2.4%, which will assist in lessening the impact of the 2011 Operating Budget on property owners.

2010-2011 PHASED-IN ASSESSMENT (Assessment Growth) RETURNED ROLL CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY

2.39	316,660,842	13,553,552,328	13,236,891,486			10,843,740,866	10,593,332,389	TOTAL
(0.45)	(9,159)	2,004,539	2,013,698	0.250000	0.250000	8,018,156	8,054,790	Managed Forest
(1.58)	(44,563)	2,773,534	2,818,097	0.250000	0.250000	11,094,134	11,2/2,38/	Freed Former
0.86	628,488	74,013,014	73,384,526	1.764048	1./64048	41,930,349	41,000,015	Famlande
(1.28)	(19,390)	1,491,161	/00'010'1	2000 10.7	2.100020		41 600 072	Pinelines
70.01	nininni		4 640 667	2 070603	2 10662R	719 898	719.898	-arge Industrial - Vacant
10.62	71 656 815	752.971.735	681.314.920	3.199527	3.240966	235,338,453	210,219,706	-arge Industrial - Occupied
3 29	591.214	18,559,588	17,968,374	1.834840	1.858604	10,115,099	9,667,672	HUUSUIAI - VACANI LANDS
(6.45)	(1.457.551)	21,124,298	22,581,849	1.834840	1.858604	11,512,883	12,149,898	ndustrial - Excess Lands
511	19.031.591	391,207,968	372,176,377	2.822831	2.859391	138,587,102	130,159,316	naustrial - Occupied
(7.18)	(3,854,908)	49,806,599	53,661,507	1.401130	1.419277	35,547,450	37,809,044	commercial - Vacant Lands
7 14	1.388.201	20.822.997	19,434,796	1.401130	1.419277	14,861,574	13,693,448	commercial - Excess Lands
2 10	54.876.628	2.667.853.280	2,612,976,652	2.001613	2.027537	1,332,851,695	1,288,744,251	commercial - Occupied
547 57	11.293.527	13.356.015	2,062,488	1.000000	1.000000	13,356,015	2,062,488	vew Multi-Residential
	(41.463)	1,000,946,809	1,000,988,272	2.208780	2.208780	453,167,273	453,186,045	Nucu-Residential
1.94	162,621,412	8,536,614,785	8,373,993,373	1.000000	1.000000	8,536,614,785	8,373,993,373	Multi Dooldooriot
0/	•	,	1					City Totals
	3	÷	S			returned roll	returned roll	
Growth	Assessment	Assessment	Assessment	Tax Ratio	Tax Ratio	S	ю	Class
Annanana	in occordin	2011 Wainhtad	2010 Weinhted	2011	2010	2011 Assessment	ZUTU ASSESSMENT ZUTT ASSESSMENT	i inchesi i