## 2018 Annual Repayment Limit

## Background

Each year, the Province calculates an Annual Repayment Limit (ARL) for every munic ipality in the Province as of J a nuary 1st. The 2018 Annual Repayment Limit for the City of Greater Sudbury has been received and is attached to this report. It is based on information extracted from the 2016 Financial Information Retum (FIR). The 2017 FIR, which was filed in May, will form the basis for the calculation of the 2019 annual repayment limit.

Under Provincial guidelines, no munic ipa lity should spend more than $25 \%$ of its net revenues on debt repayment. Based on this percentage, the ARL indic ates the maximum debt repayment a munic ipality could support. Under the Provincial formula of $25 \%$, the City of Greater Sudbury has the capacity to increase debt repayments to $\$ 90.9$ million.

For illustrative purposes, the Province indic ates that, ba sed upon the stated $\$ 90.9$ million a nnual repayment limit at a $5 \%$ and $7 \%$ interest rate over an a mortization period of 20 years, the City could undertake a further $\$ 1.1$ billion or $\$ 962$ million respectively in longterm borrowing. Of course, if this were done, the operating budget would have to increase by the $\$ 90.9$ million necessary for the debt repayments. However, Council has approved setting a limit of $10 \%$ of the City's net revenue for a nnual debt repayment. Using the Council approved 10\%threshold of net revenue, the City hasthe capacity to inc rease annual debt repayments by $\$ 30.9$ million. The City could borrow $\$ 533$ million over 30 years at $4 \%$ and remain within Council guidelines.

At 2017 year-end, the total long-term liabilities of the City of G reater Sudbury was a pproxima tely $\$ 77.5$ million (2016-\$82.6 million) with a nnual debt repa yments inc luding interest, of $\$ 9.1$ million. As contained in the 2017 BMA Study, the City of Greater Sudbury's taxdebt interest asa percentage of own source revenues was $0.3 \%$ at December 31, 2016, well below the survey average of $1.3 \%$. Also, the City of Greater Sudbury's debt to reserve ratio at December 31, 2016 was 0.5 , which is below the BMA survey average of 1.0. Ba sed on the recently submitted 2017 FIR these ratios are $0.3 \%$ and 0.5 respectively.

Council has approved a number of projects that will be paid for using extemal debt financing. The a pproved a mount and forecasted a nnual payment follow:

| Project | Long Tem Borrowing | Annual Payment | Budget Year <br> Approved |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Place Des Arts | $\$ 5.0$ Million | $\$ 350,000$ | 2017 |
| Event Centre | $\$ 90.0$ Million | $\$ 5.2 \mathrm{M}$ | 2018 |
| MR 35 | $\$ 30.8$ Million | $\$ 1.9 \mathrm{M}$ | 2018 |
| Playground Revitalization | $\$ 2.3$ Million | $\$ 150,000$ | 2018 |
| Total | $\$ 128.1$ Million | $\$ 7.6$ Million |  |

The above projects inc rease the debt repayments to $\$ 16.7$ million from $\$ 9.1$ million and the City borrowing capacity maintainsa robust $\$ 401$ million. However the tax debt interest as a percentage of own source revenues is estimated to inc rease to $1.6 \%$ from $0.3 \%$ a nd will be above the average of $1.3 \%$ from the BMA Study. The debt to reserve ratio increases to 1.4 from 0.5 which is also above the 1.0 average from the BMA Study.

The remaining large project, The Junction, has an estimated budget of approximately $\$ 110$ million a nd would require an annual debt payment of $\$ 6.3$ million based on estimated rates. The City would still have $\$ 291$ million of borrowing capacity, under current policy, however the tax debt interest to own source revenue inc rea ses $2.8 \%$ and the debt to reserve ratio 2.2. Both of these ratios are signific a ntly above the averages in the BMA Study.

Based on the current Council a pproved limit of $10 \%$ of net revenues and taking into account: debt currently incurred; projects approved in current and prior budgets a nd the remaining large project the City does have the capacity to incur more debt. As the City ratios move higher tha $n$ our compa rators there may be an impact on our debt rating and may increase the cost of borrowing.

