MCSCS Proposed Changes to Fire Service Regulations

Managers' Report Presented to: Emergency Services Committee on April 18, 2018

Background

The Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services (MCSCS) has proposed changes to the Fire Protection & Prevention Act 1997 (FPPA). The three proposed regulatory changes being considered include: mandatory training and certification for firefighters; community risk assessments; and public reporting on fire response. These regulatory changes arose as a result of MCSCS's establishment of the Fire Safety Technical Table. The mandate was to address public and firefighter safety based on coroner's inquests over the last few years. The Table included representatives from municipalities, firefighter associations and fire departments, including composite and volunteer fire departments.

The Ministry developed draft regulations to address the recommendations from the Table and released them for public consultation in January and February of this year. If these changes proceed as proposed, it will bring significant impacts to Greater Sudbury Fire Services. The Community Safety Department submitted comments during this process expressing local concerns as well as support of the positions of both the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) and the Ontario Association of Fire Chiefs (OAFC). These submissions are attached in the Appendix for your information.

The proposed legislative changes to the FPPA are in line with the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), an international trade organization that creates and maintains private, copyrighted standards and codes for usage and adoption by local governments. NFPA's code development process is open and consensus-based, meaning that anybody can participate in the development of these documents. More than 9,000 volunteer committee members with a wide range of professional expertise periodically review all NFPA codes and standards. The three proposed changes to the legislation are summarized below.

1. Mandatory Certification and Training for Fire Protection Services

The MCSCS has proposed the establishment of mandatory certification requirements, as set out by NFPA, for all new firefighters (both career and volunteer). The level of certification is dependent on the types of skills and services being provided and is laid out for many different employees within the fire service including: suppression firefighters, pump operators, technical rescuers, fire officers, and fire educators. These certification requirements would come into force January 1, 2019 with the exception of technical rescue certifications, which would come into force January 1, 2020. The MCSCS is also proposing that the mandatory certification requirements be applied to existing career and volunteer firefighters currently working in fire department, through a grandfathering and testing process, which would come into force on January 1, 2020.

The proposed legislation does not differentiate between career and volunteer firefighters. Within the legislation a firefighter is a firefighter. If they are going to perform a certain level and type of service, they must be trained and certified to do so under the applicable NFPA standard.

2. Community Risk Assessment

The proposed Community Risk Assessment regulation details a process to identify, analyze, evaluate and prioritize public safety risks which should form the basis of City decision-making on the provision of fire protection services, fire safety education and fire prevention programs.

The risk assessment legislation contains nine profiles that must be completed within five years of the date of enforcement of the regulation (January 1, 2019) and must be reviewed annually and updated to properly reflect the current profiles. There will be a standard mandatory reporting form which will be set out by the Ontario Fire Marshall (OFM).

3. Public Reporting on Fire Responses

The public reporting regulation is set to be in force as of January 1, 2020, with a goal to increase transparency and accountability by ensuring that fire services submit data on a number of response time criteria. These reporting measures would be reported to Council prior to submission to the OFM who will then publish publically in a manner yet to be determined. While not implicitly stated within the regulation, as was done regarding firefighter certification, the proposed reporting standards are based on the NFPA standards. The NFPA 1710 standard is for full-time fire services and the NFPA 1720 standard is for volunteer and composite fire services. Since Greater Sudbury has a composite fire response model, we may need to use both standards depending on response zone and stations. Additionally, there are response times listed for fire departments to report on emergency medical responses.

Analysis

While the Community Safety Department supports the work of the Fire Safety Technical Table and also recognizes that regulatory change is a necessary step in the modernization of Ontario's Fire Services, we have identified several concerns with the proposed legislative changes related to firefighter certification:

- Training Costs
- Training Capacity
- Time-lines
- Recruitment and Retention of Volunteer Firefighters
- Technology Support (e-learning at stations)
- Provincial liability indemnification
- Two-year intern application
- Grandfathering process

We have also identified some concerns regarding the proposed Community Risk Assessments and Public Reporting requirements but in those cases, the impact to the City is not as significant as the Firefighter Certification requirements.

It is important to understand that each municipality has the ability to not only determine whether fire suppression services are established but to also set levels of service. So while the proposed changes in

the FPPA direct minimum training requirements for firefighters, each municipality has the ability to determine service levels within the confines of the community. However, the proposed legislative changes mean that if the City wishes to continue to provide established suppression services and/or technical rescue such as water rescue or vehicle extrication, then CGS firefighters will need to be trained and certified to the appropriate level.

The standard to which a front-line firefighter must be certified to is NFPA 1001 which has two components: Firefighter Level 1 and Firefighter Level 2. Level 1 certification is deemed to be the standard for fire suppression activities for exterior attack only, while Level 2 is intended for both interior and exterior attack. The following table details the Mandatory Certification within the proposed legislation.

Item	Column 1	Column 2			
	Fire protection service	Certification standard			
1.	Fire suppression activities, if the	NFPA 1001, "Standard for Fire Fighter Professional			
	level of service provides for	Qualifications", 2013 Edition, Level I			
	exterior attack only				
2.	Fire suppression activities, if the	NFPA 1001, "Standard for Fire Fighter Professional			
	level of service provides for	Qualifications", 2013 Edition, Level II			
	exterior and interior attack				
3.	Pump operations	NFPA 1002, "Standard for Fire Apparatus Driver/Operator			
		Professional Qualifications", 2017 Edition, Chapter 5			
4.	Supervise other firefighters	NFPA 1021, "Standard for Fire Officer Professional			
		Qualifications", 2014 Edition, Level I			
5.	Develop, implement or deliver a	NFPA 1035, "Standard on Fire and Life Safety Educator,			
	public education program and	Public Information Officer, Youth Firesetter Intervention			
	supporting materials	Specialist and Youth Firesetter Program Manager			
		Professional Qualifications", 2015 Edition, Chapter 4, Level I			
6.	Fire prevention inspections or	NFPA 1031, "Standard for Professional Qualifications for			
	plans examination activities	Fire Inspector and Plan Examiner", 2014 Edition, Level I			
7.	Training courses for fire	NFPA 1041, "Standard for Fire Service Instructor			
	protection services	Professional Qualifications", 2012 Edition, Level I			
8.	Dispatch fire department	NFPA 1061, "Professional Qualifications for Public Safety			
	resources (personnel and	Telecommunications Personnel", 2014 Edition, Level I			
	equipment)				
9.	Fire investigation activities	NFPA 1033, "Standard for Professional Qualifications for			
		Fire Investigator", 2014 Edition			
10.	Technical rescue activities	NFPA 1006, "Standard for Technical Rescue Personnel			
		Professional Qualifications", 2017 Edition, in accordance			
		with the level of service provided			
11.	Hazardous materials response at	NFPA 1072, "Standard for Hazardous Materials/Weapons			
	the Technician Level	of Mass Destruction Emergency Response Personnel			
		Professional Qualifications", 2017 Edition			

Training Costs

Training for career firefighters would be performed on duty and thus no additional costs would be incurred for training hours; however, volunteer firefighters would require additional training hours above the existing hours. To certify a new volunteer to NFPA 1001 (Level 1&2) within a two-year timeframe, a volunteer would need to attend and be paid for an additional hours at a cost of \$2,706 per firefighter. With an annual intake of nearly 40 volunteer firefighters per year, this would result in an additional cost of approximately \$108,240 per year for the City of Greater Sudbury. The following table details these requirements and the associated costs.

NFPA 1001 New Recruit Training	Level 1 (exterior)	Level 2 (interior)	
Total Training Hours	120	80	
New Recruit Training	*20	-	
Annual Minimum Training Requirements per CBA	**24	**24	
Additional Training Required Per New Recruit	76	56	
Average probationary rate & volunteer firefighter rate	\$ 20.50	\$20.50	
Total cost per new recruit (over 2 years)	\$1,558	\$1,148	
Average number of new recruits per year (cohort)	40	40	
NFPA 1001 Certification Cost per level	\$62,320	\$45,920	
Total Certification Train	\$108,240		

^{*} Approx. 20 hours of new hire training is dedicated to corporate training (i.e. health and safety, WHMIS, etc)

Estimated costs related to Technical Rescue will be in addition to the NFPA 1001 Level 1&2 training (200 hours). For example, nine of the City's fire stations currently offer Vehicle Rescue. To certify to the Operational level, allowing firefighters to respond utilizing a piece of equipment such as the "jaws of life" would require an additional 40 hours of training per firefighter for a total cost of \$136,776.

Maintenance of the current technical rescue capabilities within our stations are noted in the table below and would require an additional 200 hours of training per firefighter at a total cost of \$235,176 for current personnel. This is in addition to costs associated with the base firefighter training requirements previously identified (i.e. NFPA 1001). The following table details only the costs associated to technical rescue under Item #10 Technical Rescue of the Mandatory Certification.

^{**} Minimum volunteer training required within the collective agreement (they may attend more).

NFPA Standard:	Hours of	# of	# of	# of	Training
	Training	Stations	Career	Volunteers	Costs
1006 (8) - Vehicle Rescue: Operations Level	40	14	108	139	\$136,776
1006 (9) - Animal Rescue: Technician Level	20	7	108	25	12,300
1006 (16) - Surface Water Rescue:	20	7	108	25	12,300
Technician Level					
1006 (19) - Ice Rescue: Technician Level	30	7	108	25	18,450
1006 (21) - Watercraft Rescue: Technician	30	7	108	25	18,450
Level					
1006 (22) - Floodwater Rescue: Technician	60	7	108	25	36,900
Level					
* Assumes pre-requisites are completed					
TOTAL	200				\$ 235,176

The increased costs associated with the proposed regulatory changes are substantial. In order to offset the costs being downloaded by the Province to our municipality, the Community Safety Department supports AMO's recommendation for adequate provincial funding to support the implementation and certification compliance of firefighters in meeting the new standards so that this does not become an unfunded mandate.

Training Capacity

The Greater Sudbury Fire Service only has three training officers to provide training and testing to nearly 400 firefighters. The time requirement to meet basic certification for new firefighters will be substantive. On average, each year the Service recruits approximately 40 firefighters to fulfill career and volunteer positions. The proposed standards will significantly increase the amount of time and cost to complete recruitments. This may require additional full time staffing and associated funding for the Fire Services Training Section which is being investigated in more detail.

Timelines

Given the amount of time and resources necessary to implement the changes required by the proposed legislation, along with suggestions to clarify some of the language, we support the recommendation by AMO and OAFC to extend the deadlines. Consideration of the municipal political landscape with an upcoming election and resulting delay in the adoption of the 2019 budget until after the end of this year must be taken into account. Additionally, current tax rates have already been approved through the 2018 budget process and there is no mechanism to adjust in-year. Without the time to establish proper funding through the normal budget process, the Service will not be able to achieve compliance within the timelines being proposed.

Lastly, certifications for technical rescue disciplines are complex and require the completion of a number of prerequisites. This means that compliance would not be achievable across the Fire Service within the proposed the timelines. Additionally, some materials for the technical rescue certification currently do

not exist. The additional recommended time will allow municipalities to complete the necessary planning, training and funding decisions, prior to the compliance period.

Recruitment and Retention of Volunteer Firefighters

As one of the largest composite fire services in the Province, Greater Sudbury currently has over 260 volunteer firefighters, and shares AMO's concern that if this regulation is instituted, the substantial time commitment required for certification will further erode the ability to attract, recruit and retain volunteer firefighters. With an annual attrition rate of 15%, the Service needs to recruit 40 new volunteer firefighters each year to maintain the current complement. Requiring a commitment of 200 hours to attain NFPA 1001 certification may be too much for volunteer firefighters to dedicate and thus could be detrimental to both the attrition and recruitment rates. These training hours are related specifically to firefighter certification and do not address additional hours required for technical rescue and corporate training (i.e. health and safety).

Technological Support & Other Concerns

The ability to support the proposed changes over a short time period would require significant investment in technology to support an online Learning Management System (LMS). The current infrastructure within many fire stations would not support this learning model and substantial funding would be required to enable volunteer firefighters to obtain certification.

We have also noted other areas of concern within our submission to the MCSCS many of which align with AMO and the OAFC. In summary, we believe the new internship period should be expanded to allow for Officer level positions to have the same advantage. We agree with AMO's concern of the significant risk of potential liability associated with not certifying under the grandfathering clause and only requiring certification for new hires. We further agree with the OAFC position, that the grandfathering clause should be reevaluated, "to be made as broad as possible with updated eligibility so all current fire service personnel could be grandfathered to their current level". The Department also supports AMO's position in asking for provincial liability indemnification for all municipal governments who comply with the new regulations, similar to what occurred in the Province of Quebec. This would help to protect the City of Greater Sudbury for all existing firefighters not certified to the new mandatory standard for all categories of fire operations.

Community Risk Assessment

The Community Safety Department supports OAFC's position with respect to community risk assessments. Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC) data should be used to classify building usage and amendments should be made to existing municipal agreements regarding what information is available to fire services. Finally, reporting against provincial trends would be more appropriate than making comparisons to other "like" municipalities.

Public Reporting on Fire Responses

The Community Safety Department shares both AMO's and OAFC's positions on the proposed public reporting regulation. Until the Ontario Standard Incident Reporting (SIR) system is overhauled and inconsistencies are corrected, the goal of standardization outlined will be unachievable and municipalities will continue to struggle with flawed data results. There are also many ambiguous and uncertain terms and definitions contained within the proposed public reporting legislation. It is also apparent that the reporting standards within the legislation align with NFPA 1710 and 1720, however without reference to such and with a glaring omission in allowances for local populations, densities and distances; the regulation lacks consistency and accuracy. Lastly, within the proposal are reporting metrics that are already the legislatively mandated responsibility for Paramedic Services within Ontario. This being the case, these measures should not be part of Fire Service reporting.

Conclusion/Next Steps

While the Community Safety Department supports the intent of this legislation to improve firefighter and community safety, the speed in which this regulatory change being proposed, creates a significant challenge for the Municipality. Representing municipalities and their fire services, both AMO and the OAFC consultative submissions are in alignment with each other and are reflective of concerns for Greater Sudbury. Together, all are recommending a series of amendments to the proposals and state that without provincial support, in terms of funding and resources, compliance may not achievable within the proposed timelines. With a lack of time for preparation, municipalities may be forced to make some difficult decisions regarding service levels. The Fire Service continues to monitor this evolving issue to determine what if any amendments come out of the consultation process. In addition, we continue to evaluate training options that would allow us to deliver firefighter certification training within the new legislative framework, if passed.

Resources Cited

Ontario Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services. (1997). Fire Protection and Prevention Act. Retrieved from the Government of Ontario website:

https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/97f04

Association of Municipalities of Ontario – Final Response and Information on Proposed MCSCS Fire Regulations. Retrieved from the AMO website:

https://www.amo.on.ca/AMO-PDFs/Letters/2018/Fire-Regulations-Response-FINAL-2018-03-07.aspx

Ontario's Regulatory Registry - Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services: Public consultation on proposed regulations for mandatory training and certification and conducting community risk assessments. Retrieved from the Government of Ontario website: http://www.ontariocanada.com/registry/view.do?postingId=26546&language=en

Ontario's Regulatory Registry - Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services: Public consultation on a proposed regulation for public reporting on fire department response times. Retrieved from the Government of Ontario website:

http://www.ontariocanada.com/registry/view.do?postingId=26806&language=en

Ontario Association of Fire Chiefs – Response and Information on Proposed MCSCS Fire Regulations. Provided by OAFC.