

EXHIBIT "C"

Leading Pedestrian Interval Suitability Assessment Work Sheet

#	Intersection	Left turn mode	Intersection		Safety concerns		Pedestrian Volume		% increase total delay		Through phase		Total 8 hour vehicular		Ped/turning vehicle per 1000 -8hr ped crossing			Rate of conflict		Distance from the		Elderly activity		Total Score	Warrant	Notes
			Type	Score	Safety concerns	Score		Score	%	Score	%	Score		Score	Ped collision / 5 years		score		score		score		Score			
1	Lasalle @ Lansing	Perm	C	0	None	0	303	1	10.7	0	11.1	-1	14,610	-1	5	1.51	1	1.51	1	No	0	yes	2	3	NO	Crossing Lasalle
2	Barrydowe @ Westmount	Perm	C	0	None	0	101	0	0.5	0	21	-1	12,324	-1	3	0.3	1	0.3	1	270	1	yes	2	3	NO	Crossing Barry Downe
3	Falconbridge @ Penman	Perm	T	2	None	0	105	0	0	0	0	0	9,781	-1	3	0.32	1	0.32	1	500	1	med	1	3	NO	Crossing Falconbridge
4	Lasalle @ Auger	Perm	T	2	None	1	195	0	1.2	0	0	-1	19,113	-2	4	0.78	1	0.78	1	535	1	yes	2	3	NO	Crossing Lasalle
5	MR80 @ Main	Prot	C	0	O	1	127	0	3.2	0	0	-1	19,722	-2	3	0.38	1	0.38	1	280	1	yes	2	3	NO	Crossing MR80
6	Paris @ Brady	Prot & Perm	C	0	B	1	688	1	2	0	0	-1	26,319	-2	3	2.06	1	2.06	1	No	0	yes	2	3	NO	Crossing Paris
7	Paris @ Walford	Perm	C	0	C,R, O	0	50	0	0.3	0	1	-1	19,781	-2	3	0.150	1	0.15	1	400	1	yes	2	2	NO	Crossing Paris
8	Regent @ Long Lake	Prot	C	0	O, R	1	453	1	5	0	3.5	-1	28,169	-2	5	2.27	1	2.27	1	No	0	yes	2	3	NO	Crossing Regent
9	Elm @ Regent	Prot & Perm	C	0	V,O, B	1	246	1					9,914	-1	3	0.73	1	0.74	1	No	0	yes	2	5	NO	Crossing Elm
10	Frood @ College	Prot & Perm	C	0	V,O, B	1	667	1	10.3				7,285	-1	3	2	1	2	1	250	1	yes	2	6	NO	Crossing College
11	Notre Dame @ Elm	Prot & Perm	C	0	R O B	1	1783	2					22,738	-2	3	5.35	2	5.35	2	No	0	yes	2	7	NO	Crossing Notre Dame Crossing Elm

- Visibility
- Offset
- Wide Turning Radius
- Crosswalk Placement
- Obstruction
- Blind sun angle
- V
- O
- R
- C
- B
- S