

Supporting evidence

Without going through the Official Plan Amendment (OPA), our lakefront property could have been approved for a split down the middle as there is 500 feet of lakefront. This split would make 2 lots at approximately 50 meters (150' wide) for 1.2 kl (1 mile) in length. This is not a favorable idea as it seems illogical to divide this property this way.

We want to conserve part 1 at 30.79 acres as it is a natural and mature forest with an area with an old farm house and garage remaining.

Part 2 has to remain as is since it is an easement road for 7 neighbors.

Part 3 has 500' of lakefront divided by the easement road from part 1. It has ample room to accommodate 2 houses with septic systems.

We are suggesting splitting part 3 in 2 parts. We understand that the suggested split of part 3 does not conform to the requirements of 0.8 ha per lot. We want to prevent interfering with parts 1 and 2 to eliminate complications from further surveying.

To extend the 2 suggested lakefront properties to conform with 0.8 ha. It would necessitate using a section of part 1, but the easement road would divide the properties making complications and uselessness for all property owners.

By creating 3 residential properties, the city could also benefit from property taxes.